DRAFT: Blueprint for the Design and Delivery of Bird Conservation in the Atlantic Northern Forest

Compiled by Randy Dettmers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

















| Introductory Note | 3 |
|---|-----|
| Acknowledgements | 3 |
| Executive Summary | 4 |
| 1. Introduction | 6 |
| 1.1. Major Landforms, Habitat Types, and Characteristic Birds | 7 |
| 1.2. Land Use History | 9 |
| 1.3. Conservation Issues | 10 |
| 2. Vision and Goals | 11 |
| 2.1. Vision | 11 |
| 2.2. Goals | 11 |
| 3. Biological Foundation | 11 |
| 3.1. Existing Conservation Plans and Other Biological Tools | 12 |
| 3.2. Species Prioritization | 19 |
| Priority Species List | 20 |
| 3.3. Priority Habitats and Species-Habitat Suites | 21 |
| Priority habitat types | 22 |
| 3.4. Population and Habitat Objectives | 23 |
| 4. Implementation and Evaluation | 24 |
| 4.1 Focus Areas for Habitat Conservation | 25 |
| 4.2. Other Approaches to Identifying Important Areas for Habitat Conservation | 25 |
| 4.3. Priority Projects for Implementation | 27 |
| 4.4. Implementation and the BCR Partnership | 28 |
| 4.5. Evaluation of Progress toward BCR Objectives | 28 |
| Literature Cited | 30 |
| Appendix A. Annotated bibliography of existing bird conservation plans | 31 |
| Appendix B. Amount of area covered by land cover types in BCR 14 | 40 |
| Appendix C, Part 1. Specific methods used to identifying priority species | 41 |
| Appendix C, Part 2. Priority species data sheets | 51 |
| Appendix D. Priority species-habitat suites for BCR 14 | 135 |
| Appendix E, Part 1. Issues, Goals, Objectives, and Implementation Strategies by Habitat | and |
| Focal Species | 140 |
| Appendix E, Part 2. DRAFT population and habitat objectives | 198 |
| Appendix F. BCR 14 Habitat Focus Areas | 220 |
| Appendix G. Priority projects (conservation, research/monitoring, and education) | 333 |
| Appendix H. List of BCR 14 partner organizations and contact information for individua | ıls |
| participating in the BCR 14 initiative | 347 |

Table of Contents

Introductory Note

This document is a compilation of myriad information on birds, their habitats, and conservation in the Atlantic Northern Forest Bird Conservation Region. It reflects the wealth of knowledge and expertise contributed by the many partners participating in this bird conservation initiative. Appropriate recognition of all contributions to this effort may not be possible, but nonetheless we apologize for leaving anyone out.

The document is labeled *draft*, although it is close to the final form for its first version. It is intended to be a "living" document that will be updated and improved over time. The hope is for it to be a tool that will be useful for all the partners in their effort to conserve the native birds of the Atlantic Northern Forest. The final reviews of this version of the document provide opportunities to correct remaining errors, fill in missing information, and revise sections of this document that aren't quite right. Sections that are still particularly in need of review include the focus area delineations and descriptions, Section 4.2 in the main body of the document, and the population objectives. Please also keep an eye open for errors, incomplete or inappropriate information, and additions that would strengthen its usefulness.

The document is organized as a fairly short main body (about 30 pages), followed by extensive appendices with supporting information. The main text provides background on the BCR and existing bird conservation initiatives and then presents a framework for the design and delivery of bird conservation in the BCR. The appendices present detailed information on priority birds, their habitats, and recommendations on where to focus bird conservation efforts both in terms of actions and geographic locations. The document is set up with hyperlinks between the table of contents and main sections in the text and appendices, as well as links between the main body and associated appendices, between associated information within appendices, and to internet or e-mail addresses, with the intent of making it easier to locate the information referred to in the main text of the document. Please be sure to activate the "Web" toolbar in MS Word to have full ability for moving back and forth within this document. Please send all comments on this draft document to <u>Randy Dettmers</u>.

Acknowledgements

The many participants in the BCR 14 workshop held in Maine during December of 2002 provided much of the raw material that went into this document, and all the partner organizations are warmly thanked for allowing their personnel to work on this effort. Special thanks are due to Andrew Milliken, Reg Melanson, and Joe McCauley for fostering this BCR effort through its infancy and bringing the many partners together. David Pashley and the American Bird Conservancy have also been strong supporters from the very beginning. Tom Hodgman was instrumental in organizing and hosting the workshop in Maine and has been an invaluable source of information for the BCR effort. The BCR 14 Steering Committee members have responded very cooperatively to requests for follow-up information after the Maine workshop and their efforts are greatly appreciated. And very special thanks goes to Linda Welch for compiling all the information that went into the appendix with the detailed summary of conservation objectives and recommendations for priority species and habitats as compiled from existing bird conservation plans – thanks for letting us "steal" your work for the good of the BCR!

Executive Summary

The Atlantic Northern Forest Bird Conservation Region (BCR) encompasses a wealth of forest, coastal marine, and inland freshwater wetland resources that support an incredible diversity and abundance of birds. For three days in early December 2002, seventy biologists representing two countries, four provinces, five states, numerous non-government organizations, and private industry met in Rockland, Maine, to begin a process for international delivery of all-bird conservation within this region. Over the course of this workshop the participants identified 101 priority species, 132 focus areas, and 49 projects for bird conservation action. These products, in conjunction with existing plans from the major bird conservation initiatives, form the backbone of a strong biological foundation which will inform on-the-ground actions for achieving bird conservation goals within this BCR. This document presents a strategic design of the key components that will need to be implemented in order to maintain healthy populations of birds native to the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR. A brief summary of this BCR Blueprint for bird conservation includes:

- A relatively brief main body of text (about 20 pages) provides the conceptual basis for the design and implementation of bird conservation in this BCR. Extensive appendices provide detailed information on priority species, habitats, recommendations on management and research actions, habitat focus areas, and priority implementation projects. Hyperlinks allow readers to follow links back and forth between and among the main body of the document and the appendices. Highlights of this document include:
- 17 species are identified as the highest priority birds in this BCR, which supports a large proportion of their population; there also are high continental and regional concerns for these species. They include American Black Duck, American Woodcock, Barrow's Goldeneye, Bay-breasted Warbler, Bicknell's Thrush, Canada Warbler, Common Eider, Great Cormorant, Greater Shearwater, Eastern Harlequin Duck, Ipswich Savannah Sparrow, Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow, Piping Plover, Purple Sandpiper, Red-necked Phalarope, Semipalmated Sandpiper, and Wood Thrush.
- Partners have identified 48 shorebird, 29 waterfowl, and 20 waterbird focus areas, many of which overlap and the majority of which represent coastal and estuarine habitats. Common areas of importance to all these birds include coastal Maine, Bay of Fundy coast, southeastern coast of Nova Scotia, Gulf of St. Lawrence coast, Northumberland Strait, mouth of the St. Lawrence River, and St. John River floodplain. Numerous inland freshwater areas are also recognized as important.
- Given the dynamic nature of the extensive managed forests of this BCR, a need was recognized for alternative approaches to traditional focus areas for forest bird conservation. A subcommittee was tasked with developing models and GIS tools that land managers can use to design landscapes supporting the full spectrum of disturbance-dependent and mature forest birds. 42 habitat focus areas are identified for landbirds associated with grasslands, high elevation conifer forests, and saltmarshes.

- 18 priority conservation projects that target easements, acquisition, or management agreements for improved protection of critical habitats are identified, including sites in Downeast Maine, St. Lawrence estuary, Gaspe Peninsula, Magdalen Islands, upper Bay of Fundy, the Connecticut River valley, and mountaintop/regenerating conifer forest in Maine and Atlantic Canada. 21 priority research/monitoring projects are described to help fill critical information gaps on priority species. 10 priority outreach projects to better inform managers and the public about conservation threats and appropriate management techniques are described.
- A summary of issues, objectives, and recommended implementation strategies (management, research, and monitoring activities) from existing plans of the major bird conservation initiatives is presented. The summary is organized by habitat types, including information on focal species from all bird groups that are associated with a given habitat type.

The products from the BCR workshop and information from existing bird plans have been compiled into a summary of current data and recommendations for implementing all bird conservation across the region. This BCR "blueprint" describes bird conservation issues for the region, the priority species, focus areas for habitat conservation, priority projects for scientific investigation and conservation action, and ties this information to goals of the major bird conservation initiatives. This plan will help partners in this BCR effort to focus on the critical actions needed to fulfill the vision of restoring and sustaining populations and habitats of native birds of this region.

Bird Conservation in the Atlantic Northern Forest

1. Introduction

From Bicknell's Thrushes on mountain peaks, to the large populations of American Black Duck, American Woodcock, and Black-throated Blue Warblers breeding throughout the expanses of northern forests and freshwater wetlands, to the millions of shorebirds, waterbirds, and waterfowl using the thousands of miles of coastline in the Canadian Maritimes and Maine, the Atlantic Northern Forest Bird Conservation Region (BCR) encompasses a wealth of forest and wetland resources that support an incredible diversity and abundance of birds. The Atlantic Northern Forest BCR effort was initiated in response to a recognition by bird conservation leaders in the northeastern U.S. and eastern Canada that significant threats to native bird populations exist across habitat types, taxonomic groups, and jurisdictional borders within this BCR, and that working cooperatively through a regional partnership would be an effective and efficient way to work toward minimizing these threats so that bird populations could be maintained or restored.

Bird Conservation Regions are ecologically-based units, as defined by the North American Bird Conservation Initiative (NABCI), for planning, implementing, and evaluating cooperative bird conservation efforts across North America. The aim of NABCI is to ensure that populations and habitats of North America's birds are protected, restored and enhanced through coordinated efforts at international, national, regional, and local levels guided by sound science and effective management. It is designed to increase the effectiveness of existing and new bird conservation initiatives through enhancing coordination, building on existing regional partnerships, and fostering greater cooperation among the nations and the people of the continent (from the <u>US-NABCI website</u>).

This document presents a strategic design of the key components that this BCR initiative will need to implement in order to maintain healthy populations of birds native to the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR. It establishes a series of goals, which will help move the BCR partnership toward realizing its vision of sustained bird populations in the region; it presents the biological foundation upon which recommendations for conservation actions are based; and it lays out a framework for implementing the recommended actions and for evaluating whether or not those actions are helping to meet the objectives of the BCR initiative. The document reflects the familiar conceptual framework in which conservation design and delivery are visualized as a



Figure 1. Conceptual framework for conservation design and delivery.

repeating cycle and incorporate the concepts of adaptive management. The cycle begins with biological planning based on sound science, followed by implementation, followed by evaluation to assess the effectiveness of the actions taken, and so on (Fig. 1). This bird conservation initiative should be a dynamic effort that incorporates new and up-to-date information into its planning and implementation processes at regular intervals.

1.1. Major Landforms, Habitat Types, and Characteristic Birds

The Atlantic Northern Forest BCR (BCR 14) encompasses a geographic area stretching southwest to northeast from the Taconic Hills of eastern New York/western Massachusetts and the Adirondack Mountains (cut off from the remainder of the BCR by the Lake Champlain valley), through most of Vermont, New Hampshire and Maine, Quebec south of the St. Lawrence River including the Gaspe Peninsula, and all of the Maritime provinces of New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Nova Scotia (Fig. 2). Landforms within the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR range from low coastal plains (including offshore islands) in Maine and the Maritime provinces to high Appalachian peaks (4,000-6,000 ft. [1,220-1830 m]) in the White Mountains, Green Mountains, and Adirondacks. The northeastern terminus of the Appalachian Mountains is in northern New Brunswick, with only a few peaks reaching 2,500 ft. (750 m) in that area. Most of the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR, however, is low-mountainous or open hilly country, interspersed with valleys and plains. Highlands within this area constitute the headwaters of nearly every major river in the Maritime provinces and the New England states, including the Connecticut, St. John, and much of the St. Lawrence drainages. Highlands also contain numerous lake and pond systems with associated forested wetland habitats. The BCR collectively contains over 3 million acres (1.2 million ha) of open freshwater habitat. The region also contains some relatively large areas of farmland in the Connecticut, Androscoggin, Kennebec, Penobscot, St. John, and Miramichi River valleys, as well as on Prince Edward Island.



Figure 2. Atlantic Northern Forest Bird Conservation Region (BCR 14). Cross hatched area indicates geographic boundaries of the region.

The major habitat types of this BCR reflect the combination of mountainous, lowland plain, and coastal landforms encompassed within this geographic area. As suggested from the BCR's name, northern temperate forest habitat types dominate a large portion of this region. The most predominant general forest types include spruce-fir conifer, northern hardwood, and mixed deciduous-coniferous forests. Spruce-fir forests are typical of mountaintops and higher elevations in the Adirondack Mountains, Green Mountains, White Mountains, and the Gaspe Peninsula, as well as the interior portions of Maine and New Brunswick including lowland areas with poor soils or with boggy or swampy conditions. Maritime spruce-fir communities also occur in eastern Maine, Nova Scotia, and northern New Brunswick. Characteristic bird species associated with the more extreme mountaintop conditions (including stunted tree growth) include Bicknell's Thrush, Swainson's Thrush, Blackpoll Warbler, and Yellow-rumped Warbler. In other areas of spruce-fir dominated forests, characteristic species include Boreal Chickadee, Baybreasted Warbler, Cape May Warbler, Blackburnian Warbler, Purple Finch, Red Crossbill, Spruce Grouse, and Pine Grosbeak. The Northern Hardwood forest type, dominated by beech, birch, and maple species, is a common forest type of the lower to middle elevations throughout this BCR, typical of areas with richer soil types. Characteristic bird species include Ruffed Grouse, Yellow-bellied Sapsucker, Wood Thrush, Veery, Black-throated Blue Warbler, American Redstart, Ovenbird, Blue-headed Vireo, and Rose-breasted Grosbeak. Deciduous forest types dominated by oaks are not very common in this BCR, but they do occur in the lower elevations of southern and western portions of the region, including the Adirondack and Green Mountains, and the Taconic and Berkshire Hills. These forests are dominated by red and white oak with hickory or ash as a co-dominant. Mixed deciduous-coniferous forest types are also common in the low to middle elevations of this region, including mixtures of northern hardwoods or oaks with hemlock and white pine in the southern portions of this region, and northern hardwoods with spruce-fir in the northern areas. While these mixed forest types support birds characteristic of both deciduous and coniferous forests, some species seem to be particularly well-suited to the mixed types, including Canada Warbler, Blackburnian Warbler, and Black-throated Green Warbler.

Early successional/shrubland habitat is another major type in the Atlantic Northern Forest, including early successional stages of all the forest types discussed in the previous paragraph, plus naturally-maintained shrubland habitats, such as those associated with rocky high-elevation areas, sandy flood plains of larger rivers, sandy coastal plains, or shrub swamps (including beaver flowages) and bogs. Birds characteristic of early successional forest conditions include American Woodcock, Ruffed Grouse, Chestnut-sided Warbler, Tennessee Warbler, Mourning Warbler, and Whip-poor-will. Birds associated with shrubby swamps and bogs or other disturbances such as openings created by fire include Palm Warbler, Olive-sided Flycatcher, Yellow-bellied Flycatcher, Nashville Warbler, Black-backed Woodpecker, and Rusty Blackbird.

In addition to the extensive upland forest and early successional habitats, this BCR also encompasses considerable amounts of wetland habitats, including extensive coastline in the Maritime provinces, the St. Lawrence Estuary, and Maine (e.g., emergent saltmarsh, mud flats, sandy beaches, rocky shoreline and island areas, open water areas associated with estuaries and bays), freshwater emergent marshes, freshwater forested wetlands, and open freshwater streams, rivers, and lakes. Characteristic birds associated with the freshwater wetlands include Common Loon, American Bittern, American Black Duck, Wood Duck, Spotted Sandpiper, and Bald Eagle. Birds typical of saltmarshes include American Black Duck, Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow, Willet, Black-crowned Night Heron, and Short-eared Owls. Coastal beaches and mudflats provide nesting and feeding habitat for colonial waterbirds (e.g., Roseate Tern, Common Tern, Arctic Tern) and several shorebirds (e.g., Piping Plover, American Oystercatcher), as well as migrating stop-over habitat for many shorebirds (e.g., Semi-palmated Sandpiper, Red Knot, Black-bellied Plover, Whimbrel, Short-billed Dowitcher, Sanderling, Least Sandpiper). Rocky shorelines and islands provide breeding habitat for seabirds (e.g., Common Eider, Great Cormorant, Northern Gannet, Leach's Storm-Petrel, Atlantic Puffin, Razorbill) and migrating/wintering Purple Sandpipers. Open water, near-shore areas (including estuaries, channels, and bays) support significant numbers of migrating and wintering waterfowl and waterbirds, including Common Eider, Black Scoter, Common Goldeneye, Long-tailed Duck, Harlequin Duck, Black Guillemot, Razorbill, Red-throated Loon, Common Loon, and Rednecked Grebe.

1.2. Land Use History

The land use history of BCR 14 reflects the difficult terrain and weather conditions typical of this region. Human populations have never been large in this part of the continent and continue to be sparse throughout much of this BCR, with the largest concentrations in coastal areas and along major river valleys. Vast areas in northwestern Maine, northern New Brunswick, and Quebec are largely uninhabited, and only recently have been roaded. Initial exploitation of forest resources was close to coastal habitations, opening a strip along the Maritime and Maine coasts. Settlement and farming in the regions away from the coast generally began after 1750. Agriculture was never a major land-use in the region, amounting to roughly 10% -15% of the land being cleared during its maximum extent in the early 1900s. (Hodgman and Rosenberg 2000). However, important agricultural areas still remain in the BCR in parts of the Maritime provinces, Quebec, and Maine.

The major land use since European settlement has been harvesting of the extensive forest resources. The first cutting largely supplied the worldwide ship-building industry for much of the 19th century. In the 1900s, pulp and paper production became the primary use of commercial forests. Large pine and mature spruce were the primary species of interest to early timber operators, and transport to mills occurred along rivers. Log driving on rivers persisted until the 1970s, after which roads were built to facilitate transport. A large proportion of this commercial forestry in the U.S. portion of the BCR takes place on private lands; for example, nearly half of the forested land in Maine is owned by the forest industry. In Canada, private companies hold long-term leases, but lands remain in public (crown) ownership. Clearcutting of spruce-fir forests, and associated road-building became more intensive after the late 1960s, at least in part because of salvaging trees killed during a spruce-budworm epidemic (Seymour and Hunter 1992, as cited in Hodgman and Rosenberg 2000). Since then, some landowners have reduced the area harvested by clearcutting and have used partial harvesting methods more frequently.

In addition to timber production, recreational activities, aquaculture, and agriculture are other land uses that significantly effect portions of this BCR. Recreation is a long-standing tradition on both public and private lands, but recreational demands have influenced the designation of large expanses of wilderness on public lands in the New England states. Development of highelevation sites for ski resorts is a recent factor, with potential impacts on high-priority bird habitats and species. Aquaculture is another recent development that has turned into a large-scale industry in the Maritime provinces (where New Brunswick is the largest producer) and Maine. This industry is impacting near-shore marine and estuary habitats through alteration of shoreline and bottom habitat and release of excess nutrients and waste leading to eutrophication of the coastal areas. Atlantic salmon is the primary species being raised, but mussels, clams, and oysters are also produced. Revenue from aquaculture has surpassed the amount raised from any other agricultural export in New Brunswick (Olin 2001). While agriculture is not a major land use for the BCR as a whole, blueberry and potato production are significant land uses in parts of eastern Maine, and agriculture is a major land use on Prince Edward Island, as well as parts of the St. John and Connecticut River valleys.

1.3. Conservation Issues

The Atlantic Northern Forest BCR contains a wealth of natural resources, particularly in terms of forest, water, and wildlife, but it also faces numerous threats to those resources. These threats define many of the major bird and habitat conservation issues for this BCR. In the forested portions of the region, the major conservation issue revolves around how to balance the management of the extensive forest resources for the benefit of both human needs for timber resources and ecological needs for supporting biodiversity across the spectrum of forest successional stages. In general, the southwestern portion of the BCR (e.g., Adirondack region of NY, Vermont, and New Hampshire) is facing declines in availability of early successional forest habitats, while the northern portion of the BCR (especially New Brunswick) will be facing shortages of older-aged forests as harvest rotation periods shorten on Crown-owned and industrial forest lands. True old growth forest is a rare commodity across the entire BCR, while the sale and subdivision of privately owned forest lands is another region-wide concern. Working forest easements and the development of sustainable forestry initiatives have become more common across the Northern Forest and provide promise for ecologically sound forest management, but these efforts need to be closely monitored and evaluated to determine their true conservation value. Overall forest health issues relating to the spread of various invasive forest pest species and atmospheric deposition of toxic substances (such as mercury, acid rain) represent additional concerns across the region.

In coastal areas, aquaculture represents a threat to birds by reducing habitat availability, increasing eutrophication and pollution, and in some instances increasing disturbance. Shoreline erosion and threats from various types of pollution (including oil spills) are also issues of concern for the conservation of birds across BCR 14.

Two issues that impact both terrestrial and aquatic habitats are wind power and the continuing expansion of human development. Interest in wind power as an alternative renewable energy source has increased rapidly in recent years. Efforts are underway to increase the proportion of electric power generated from wind and other renewable sources; in the U.S., federal tax incentives are available to energy companies for wind power projects. Exposed mountain summits or ridge crests generally have high wind power potential, making them likely targets for wind power development. Some off-shore areas also have high wind power potential, which has led to interest in off-shore wind power projects in these areas. Impacts of wind power "farms" on birds is largely unknown for both terrestrial and off-shore sites in eastern North America,

although the potential exists for sites that have high wind power potential to lead to increased bird mortality if wind power is developed at those sites.

Continued human development is a major concern across this BCR, including such actions as suburbanization radiating outward from population centers, construction of second homes along the seacoast and lakefronts, and development of ski areas in the mountains. The activities associated with human development tend to reduce natural habitats for birds, increase disturbance of birds from increased human presence, increase populations of some predators, and lead to increased pollution or spread of invasive species.

2. Vision and Goals

2.1. Vision

The vision for the BCR 14 effort includes a cooperative, coordinated approach across jurisdictional boundaries to addressing conservation issues in order to restore and maintain populations of the region's birds as key components of healthy ecosystems and for the benefit of current and future generations:

"Integrate international planning and implementation efforts among bird conservation initiatives across the Atlantic Northern Forest in order to restore and sustain, at the landscape level, populations of native birds and their habitats in this region."

2.2. Goals

The following goals help to identify accomplishments that will be needed to move the BCR partnership toward realizing its vision:

- Identify priority species and their associated habitat (e.g., species-habitat suites).
- Undertake targeted research and monitoring to fill gaps in our basic knowledge of priority species, including identifying factors that limit population growth and knowledge of population size and trend.
- Develop population objectives for priority species (where applicable) and develop habitat objectives for helping to meet the population objectives. Where habitat availability is not a major limiting factor, develop objectives for reducing impacts of other limiting factors.
- Identify priority projects (e.g., management, restoration, acquisition, research, monitoring, and outreach) that will help meet population and habitat objectives, and identify focus areas for conservation actions.
- Develop geospatial resources for mapping and tracking habitat/land use trends, modeling habitat associations, and identifying focus areas.
- Implement priority projects and conservation actions, especially within focus areas, through state/provincial, regional, and international partnerships.
- Develop evaluation protocols for monitoring progress toward population and habitat objectives for adaptive management purposes.

3. Biological Foundation

A key component in the framework for a solid conservation design and delivery process is establishing a sound biological foundation upon which implementation actions are based. The biological foundation for the BCR 14 effort includes three primary pieces: 1) species prioritization, 2) grouping of priority species by habitat types into priority species-habitat suites, and 3) establishing population and habitat objectives, which if met, will achieve the goal of restoring and/or sustaining healthy bird populations within the priority species-habitat suites. The BCR 14 workshop in Maine helped to bring existing biological pieces together to form the integrated foundation necessary for this all-bird BCR effort to move forward. The workshop completed the task of assembling the first two pieces of the biological foundation – the species prioritization and the description of priority habitats. The remaining pieces have been worked on since the workshop and are presented in this document to the extent they are complete, although considerable work still remains to develop meaningful objectives for many of the priority species and habitats.

3.1. Existing Conservation Plans and Other Biological Tools

A considerable number of conservation plans that address various aspects of bird conservation within BCR 14 already existed at the time this BCR initiative began, as did numerous other biological tools that can assist in developing and evaluating priority species and biological objectives. The following list describes the existing conservation plans and other the biological resources that were used in building the biological foundation for the BCR 14 initiative and that are available to assist in evaluating progress toward the objectives set out in this document.

- *Existing conservation plans* these existing products provide much of the backbone upon which the foundation for establishing priority species and the biological objectives for this BCR initiative is based. These plans include taxon-specific plans for the four major bird conservation initiatives (i.e., the "four pillars" – waterfowl, landbirds, shorebirds, and waterbirds), ecosystem-based planning efforts (such as The Nature Conservancy's Northern Appalachian ecoregional plan), jurisdictional- and agency-specific plans (such as New Brunswick's Forest Management Agreements, Maine's "Beginning With Habitat" initiative, and the U.S. Forest Service's national forest management plans), and species-specific plans (such as the Black Duck Joint Venture plan, the regional tern management handbook, woodcock management plan, and recovery plans for threatened and endangered species). <u>Appendix A</u> contains an annotated bibliography with citation, contacts, and brief summary of information available from each of these existing plans. A detailed summary of specific conservation objectives and recommended actions from the existing national and regional plans applicable to BCR 14, organized by priority species within priority habitats has also been compiled – see further discussion under *Population and Habitat Objectives* section.
- *ii)* Land cover and ecological land type maps The Nature Conservancy has created a seamless land cover map (Fig. 3) for all of BCR 14 using remotely sensed Landsat TM data for the U.S. and Quebec and generalized stand data from New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island, combining these different data sources into a consistent cover type classifications across the BCR. Based on these data, we are able to describe the amounts of the different land cover types occurring across the entire BCR and within each state and province (Fig. 4). BCR 14 encompasses an area greater than 88 million acres, of which nearly 85% is classified as some type of forest (including regenerating forest). Of the broad categories of forest that could be classified across the BCR, evergreen forest occupies the largest total area (23.9 million acres), with deciduous forest and mixed forest occupying nearly identical amounts of area (21.9 and 21.8 million acres) among all the

jurisdictions, while Maine supports the largest amounts of the deciduous (4.7 million acres) and mixed (6.33 million acres) forest classes among all the jurisdictions. Maine also contains the largest amount of forest wetland (728,000 acres) of any of the jurisdictions in the BCR, while New Brunswick and Nova Scotia both have over 615,000 acres of the emergent herbaceous wetland category within their boundaries - far and above what any other jurisdictions supports. Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Hampshire, Vermont, and New York all contain greater than 4 million acres of forest in their portions of BCR 14. Agriculture accounts for the largest amount of non-forest land cover (5.7 million acres), while there are also approximately 2 million acres of residential/commercial/industrial development in the BCR. Quebec supports the largest amount of agriculture in the region (1.53 million acres) but Prince Edward Island has, by far, the largest percentage of its area in agriculture (40%). A complete table with the amount of each land cover type in each state or province is provided in Appendix B. TNC has developed a map of ecological land units (Fig. 5), which combines land cover types with various measures of surficial geology and environmental moisture, to produce a more detailed representation of ecological niches across the region. The ecological land units have been combined with cover type information to provide a more detailed analysis of fine-scale ecological systems (Fig. 6). The Nature Conservancy has also developed an extensive library of remotely sensed data for BCR 14. For more information, contact Mark Anderson.



Figure 3. Map of land cover types within the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR.



Figure 4. Acreage of land cover types within the BCR 14 portion of states and provinces.



Figure 5. Map of Ecological Land Units in the Northern Appalachian Ecoregion, as defined by The Nature Conservancy.



Figure 6. Map of fine-scale ecological systems, which reflect a combination of land cover and ecological land units, for BCR 14.

- iii)Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) maps of bird distribution, relative abundance, and population trend – maps of these analyses, as well as raw data, covering Canada and the U.S. are available from the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center's <u>BBS website</u>. The BBS data are essential to almost all aspects of landbird conservation planning, and these data can provide useful information for some breeding species of other taxonomic groups. In addition to the standard maps and analyses available on the website, maps of distribution and trends at the scale of BBS blocks and 30-minute blocks have also been created to assist in addressing issues at finer scales. Contact John Sauer for more information about these additional analyses.
- *iv*) *Bird-habitat models* several projects have been undertaken to model bird-habitat relationships within various parts of BCR 14. These efforts include models of bird distribution developed as part of the GAP Analysis efforts in each U.S. state (Maine, Vermont <u>& New Hampshire</u>, and <u>New York</u>). Another habitat modeling project that is applicable to BCR 14 is the <u>Gulf of Maine Habitat Analysis</u> developed by the USFWS Gulf of Maine office. This project developed models that relate bird occurrence to habitat types and landscape-level habitat configuration and then mapped predicted suitable habitat for species of concern throughout the Gulf of Maine watershed. Models for several BCR 14 priority bird species were applied across the U.S. portion of BCR 14 as a pilot project to see if these models were appropriate beyond the Gulf of Maine watershed. For selected priority species, TNC has developed preliminary models of bird distributions across the BCR based on BBS data and ecological systems data.
- v) Waterfowl surveys and objectives annual mid-winter waterfowl inventories are conducted by federal and state agencies to obtain annual indices of wintering waterfowl populations along the Atlantic Coast. Data and analyses are available from the USFWS <u>Migratory Bird</u> <u>Data Center</u> website. Waterfowl population objectives for different flyways are presented in the 2004 update of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. Since many of the priority waterfowl species in BCR 14 are primarily migrating or wintering species in the BCR, the mid-winter inventories provide a means of tracking wintering population trends and distributions. However, surveys for breeding American Black Ducks and seaducks are conducted annually.
- *vi)* Shorebird surveys and population estimates regional assessments of important sites for monitoring shorebird are being developed as part of PRISM, which seeks to accomplish the monitoring goals of the U.S. and Canadian shorebird conservation plans. Preliminary information for the assessment of the North Atlantic region has been compiled and is available at

http://www.shorebirdworld.org/fromthefield/PRISM1.htm.

These assessments are based in large part on International Shorebird Survey data, which are housed at the Manoment Center for Conservation Biology and are also available for review. Contact Stephen Brown for more information on shorebird survey data.

In addition to survey data, shorebird population estimates have been presented at the continental level in the <u>Canadian Shorebird Conservation Plan</u> and the <u>U.S. Shorebird</u> <u>Conservation Plan</u>, as well as at the regional level in the <u>Northern Atlantic shorebird plan</u>.

vii) Waterbird surveys, population estimates, and site data – surveys of waterbird colonies along the Atlantic Coast have occurred regularly in both Canada and the United States.

Summaries of these survey data for individual species, including regional population estimates, are part of the species profiles that have been completed through the regional waterbird planning process by <u>MANEM</u>. Summaries of waterbird sites by jurisdictions as well as site-specific data are also being compiled and made available on the <u>MANEM</u> <u>website</u>. Access to colonial waterbird survey data from the mid 1990s for Atlantic coast states, as well as some colonial waterbird atlas data from Canada and the U.S., is available on the <u>Patuxent Wildlife Research Center waterbird database</u>.

- viii) Landbird population estimates and objectives with the completion of the Partners in Flight's North American Landbird Conservation Plan (Rich et al., 2004), population estimates for landbirds are available at the continental, BCR, and jurisdictional scales. See the *Population and Habitat Objectives* section for discussion of landbird estimates pertaining to BCR 14. These estimates are based on extrapolations of relative abundance data from the Breeding Bird Survey and employ several simplifying assumptions about detection probabilities and about survey routes representing random samples of habitat within a geographic area. Correction factors for detection distances and time of day detectability were also calculated and applied to the population estimates. Details on the methods for deriving these population estimates are provided in Rosenberg and Blancher (In Press). Contact Ken Rosenberg for more information.
- ix) Breeding Bird Atlases Breeding bird atlas projects have been completed for all the provinces and states within BCR 14. These efforts produce a snap-shot in time of the breeding distribution of all breeding birds within each jurisdiction. Atlas projects typically attempt to identify all birds that are breeding within every atlas block in a jurisdiction. Atlas blocks are the geographic areas that form the basic survey units typically 25 km² areas in the U.S. (Laughlin and Kibbe 1985, Adamus 1987, Andrle and Carroll 1988, Bevier 1994, Foss 1994, Petersen and Meservey 2003) but 100 km² areas for the atlas efforts in the Maritime provinces (Erskine 1992) and Quebec (Gauthier and Aubry 1996). New York is currently conducting field work for its second breeding bird atlas, and interim data can be previewed on the New York State Breeding Bird Atlas website.

3.2. Species Prioritization

During the BCR 14 workshop held in Maine during December 2002, participants compiled lists of priority species organized by the four major taxonomic bird groups. Work on these lists began during a series of pre-meetings prior to the Maine workshop and then were revised further through email and phone conversations following the workshop to arrive at the current version, which integrates all bird groups into a single list. This list reflects the priorities already identified in the different bird initiative plans, as modified through the collaborative assessment process engaged in during and after the Maine workshop. For this list, three categories were used to identify priority species - Highest, High, and Medium - based on rules and criteria (Table 1) agreed upon at the Maine workshop, with some modification by each taxonomic group to accommodate differences among and special issues associated with each group. Appendix C, Part 1 provides the details on how the taxonomic groups applied the rules and criteria in Table 1 to come up with the resulting BCR 14 priority species list (Table 2). This approach to prioritization facilitated the assignment of species to categories based primarily on objective criteria, with each species being evaluated using information from the continental/national and regional bird conservation plans of the four major bird initiatives. However, additional subjective expert opinion was occasionally used to resolve situations where information was

lacking, or where data from different parts of the BCR suggested quite different levels of abundance or concern. The categories reflect levels of priority for conservation action, but no ranking is assigned to the species within each category - all are simply considered as members of priority pools.

| Priority | Criteria/Rule |
|----------|--|
| HIGHEST | High BCR Concern and High BCR Responsibility and (High or Moderate Continental Concern) |
| HIGH | High Continental Concern <i>and</i> Moderate BCR Responsibility OR Moderate BCR Concern <i>and</i> High BCR Responsibility |
| MODERATE | Moderate BCR Concern <i>and</i> Moderate BCR responsibility <i>OR</i> High Continental Concern and Low BCR Responsibility <i>OR</i> High BCR Responsibility <i>and</i> Low BCR Concern |

Table 1. Conservation priority categories for bird species in BCR 14.

A fourth category – *Management Concern* – was used to identify species for which management is deemed beneficial in order to reduce conflicts with humans, to improve ecosystem health, or to maintain recreational opportunities.

The BCR 14 Priority Species List (Table 2) currently contains a total of 103 species and should be a dynamic list that is revised periodically to reflect new information that becomes available over time. A data sheet has been completed for each priority species (except shorebird data sheets are still being completed). Each data sheet provides information on the species' population status; the importance of BCR 14 to the species; conservation issues and threats in the region; monitoring, research and outreach needs; and suggested conservation objectives for its primary habitat type(s) and critical focus areas/sites. Data sheets are provided in <u>Appendix C, Part 2</u> with links for individual species from the Priority Species List below.

Table 2. BCR 14 Priority Species List, with primary season/s of occurrence: breeding (B), migration (M), winter (W).

| Hig | hest | <u>Prior</u> | <u>ity</u> | |
|-----|------|--------------|------------|--|
| | | | | |

American Woodcock (B) Barrow's Goldeneye (W) Bay-breasted Warbler (B) Bicknell's Thrush (B) American Black Duck (B,W) Canada Warbler (B) <u>Common Eider</u> (B,W) <u>Eastern Harlequin Duck</u> (W) <u>Great Cormorant</u> (B,W) <u>Greater Shearwater</u> (M) <u>Ipswich Savannah Sparrow</u> (B) <u>Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow</u> (B) Piping Plover (B) Purple Sandpiper (W) Red-necked Phalarope (M) Semipalmated Sandpiper (M) <u>Wood Thrush</u> (B)

High Priority

American Golden-Plover (M) <u>American Redstart</u> (B) <u>Arctic Tern</u> (B) Black-bellied Plover (M) <u>Black-crowned Night Heron</u> (B) <u>Black Guillemot</u> (B,W) <u>Black Scoter</u> (W) <u>Black-throated Blue Warbler</u> (B) <u>Blue-winged Warbler</u> (B) <u>Bobolink</u> (B) <u>Boreal Chickadee</u> (B,W) <u>Canada Goose - N. Atlantic Pop.</u> (M)

Moderate Priority

American Bittern (B) Atlantic Brant (M) Atlantic Puffin (B,W) American Oystercatcher (B) **Bald Eagle** (B,W) Bank Swallow (B) Barn Swallow (B) Black-backed Woodpecker (B,W) Black-billed Cuckoo (B) Blackburnian Warbler (B) Black-legged Kittiwake (W) Blackpoll Warbler (B) Black-throated Green Warbler (B) Boreal Owl (W) Brown Creeper (B,W) Common Goldeneye (B,W)

Cape May Warbler (B) Chestnut-sided Warbler (B) Chimney Swift (B) Common Nighthawk (B) Common Tern (B) Eastern Wood-Pewee (B) Herring Gull (B,W) Long-eared Owl (B) Northern Gannet (B) Olive-sided Flycatcher (B) Purple Finch (B,W) Razorbill (B,W)

Common Loon (B,W) Gray Jay (B,W) Greater Scaup (W) Horned Lark (B) Horned Grebe (W) Hudsonian Godwit (M) Killdeer (B) Leach's Storm-Petrel (B) Least Sandpiper (M) Long-tailed Duck (W) Northern Flicker (B) Northern Goshawk (B,W) Northern Harrier (B) Northern Parula (B) Ovenbird (B) Palm Warbler (B)

Red Knot (M) <u>Red-necked Grebe</u> (W) Red Phalarope (M) <u>Roseate Tern</u> (B) Ruddy Turnstone (M) <u>Rusty Blackbird</u> (B) Short-billed Dowitcher (M) <u>Upland Sandpiper</u> (B) <u>Veery</u> (B) Whimbrel (M) <u>Yellow-bellied Sapsucker</u> (B) Red Knot (M)

Peregrine Falcon (M) Pine Grosbeak (B,W) Red-throated Loon (W) Rose-breasted Grosbeak (B) Ruffed Grouse (B,W) Sanderling (M) Semipalmated Plover (M) Short-eared Owl (B,M) Surf Scoter (W) Vesper Sparrow (B) Whip-poor-will (B) Willet (B) Wilson's Snipe (B) Wood Duck (B) Yellow-bellied Flycatcher (B) Yellow Rail (B)

Management Concern

Resident Canada Goose (B,W) Greater Snow Goose (M) Mallard (B)

3.3. Priority Habitats and Species-Habitat Suites

Fifteen general habitat types were identified during the BCR 14 workshop as important for supporting one or more of the priority bird species during at least one of their life stages (Table 3). These habitats are either in need of critical conservation attention or are critical for long-term planning to conserve continentally and regionally important bird populations.

Table 3. Priority habitat types for BCR 14, with corresponding definitions and landcover classifications used in developing a landcover map for the region.

| Habitat Type | Definition | Landcover Classification for mapping purposes |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Marine Open | Open waters from 20 km off the coast out to | Open Water |
| Water | the limit of 320 km for the Economic | - |
| | Exclusion Zone (offshore); Open waters | |
| | within 20 km of the coast (nearshore) | |
| Estuaries and Bays | Open water lacking any vegetation, or open | Open Water |
| | water dominated by plants that grow | |
| | principally at or under the surface of the water | |
| | - within a protected bay or estuary | |
| Rocky Coastline | Exposed consolidated rocky shore with little | Bare rock/sand |
| (including islands) | persistent or non-persistent vegetation | |
| Unconsolidated | Sandy shores, exposed sand flats, sandspits | Bare rock/sand |
| Shore – beach, | and gravel beaches; areas dominated by | |
| sand, and mudflats | particles smaller than sand with virtually no | |
| | vegetation; range of flooding regimes possible | |
| Estuarine | Emergent marshes dominated by persistent | Emergent Herbaceous |
| Emergent | and non-persistent vegetation – estuarine | Wetland |
| Saltmarsh | systems | |
| Freshwater Lakes, | Open water lacking any vegetation, or open | Open Water |
| Rivers and Streams | water dominated by plants that grow | |
| | principally at or under the surface of the water | |
| | - freshwater systems (lacustrine, riverine, | |
| Delessing | paiustrine) | Emerand Heathers |
| Palustrine | Emergent marsnes dominated by persistent | Emergent Herbaceous |
| Emergent Marsh | rivorino | wettand |
| Forested Wetland | Wetlands dominated by woody vegetation | Forested Wetland |
| Torested Wetland | greater than 6 m tall | Torested wethind |
| Deciduous Forest | Forest dominated by deciduous trees | Deciduous Forest |
| Coniferous Forest | Forest dominated by coniferous evergreen | Evergreen Forest |
| | trees | |
| Mountaintop | Forest and/or woodland occurring at high | Evergreen Forest |
| forest/woodland | elevations | |
| Mixed Forest | Forest with a mix of deciduous and coniferous | Mixed Forest |
| | trees | |
| Shrub-scrub/ Early | Shrub and/or sapling dominated uplands, or | Shrubland/ Cultivated or |
| successional | wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less | Transitional Barren |
| | than 6 m tall including bogs and shrub | |
| | swamps | |
| Grasslands | Native grasslands, pastures, hay fields and | Agriculture/Transitional |
| | fallow fields | Barren |

| Urban/Suburban | Land within municipalities, including a range of short non-native grass shrubs and a mix of | Other grass/low intensity |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|
| | deciduous and coniferous trees, as well as | developed |
| | buildings and other structures providing nesting substrates | |

The priority species can be sorted according to the habitat types they use most frequently, forming <u>Species-Habitat Suites</u> for BCR 14 (Appendix D). The highest priority species do not form cohesive habitat groups, but rather are distributed among most of the different priority habitat types within this region. Therefore, no attempt is made in this document to rank these species-habitat suites. All priority habitat types support numerous priority species and require some level of conservation attention in order to maintain long-term, healthy populations of those priority species. It will be up to the collective BCR 14 partnership to prioritize among species-habitat suites, if it decides such prioritization is appropriate.

3.4. Population and Habitat Objectives

Ideally, population objectives should reflect the population levels necessary to maintain a high probability that a species will persist in the region for a long time. Also, habitat objectives should reflect the amount of habitat necessary to support the population levels of priority species set forth in the population objectives. Knowing what the relationship should be between a population objective and the corresponding habitat objective requires knowledge about how a species' abundance and viability change as habitat conditions and quantities vary. Since our knowledge of these relationships is frequently incomplete or imperfect, the population and habitat objectives suggested at this time should be considered very rough and preliminary estimates and should be viewed with a sense of reality and skepticism. They will need to be updated and revised as better and more complete information on species-habitat relationships becomes available. Additionally, some species may not be limited by habitat availability during the breeding season, and for these species, conservation objectives addressing issues other than habitat should be developed to support the desired population goal.

It must also be recognized that for migratory species, population objectives are most meaningful for species whose primary season of occurrence in the BCR is during the breeding season. Estimating populations of migrating and wintering species in a region is complicated by the movements of individuals among locations and the interchange of individuals at any specific location during migration and within different parts of the wintering season. Also, annual variability in the numbers of birds either migrating through or wintering in a given location is often high. The relationships between local habitat conditions and abundance of birds is less direct during migration and wintering than during breeding because of the larger number of factors external to the local conditions that determine how many birds will pass through a particular area. Setting population objectives is also not very meaningful when it is difficult to estimate the size of the population using an area during the migration or wintering periods. Better methods for monitoring population sizes and trends using survey data from the migration and wintering species in BCR 14, especially the shorebirds, waterfowl, and pelagic waterbirds.

Even with the many limitations that impact our ability to develop and evaluate progress toward population and habitat objectives, having even preliminary estimates for these objectives serves the purpose of informing the conservation delivery process as to what kind of actions need to be implemented to begin working toward achieving the goal of sustained populations of priority species. This concept has commonly been used by the major bird conservation initiatives in setting population goals at the continental level. The objectives set at the BCR scale should reflect a stepping-down of the continental level goals to a particular BCR and should indicate that region's contribution to the overall continental goal. Likewise, BCR level objectives can be stepped down to smaller geographic areas such as jurisdictions or focus areas, with the contributions of all the smaller areas adding up to the overall BCR objective. The objectives set for BCR 14 reflect this approach. In addition, consideration should be given to the idea that population goals for smaller geographic areas are best defined in terms of demography-based objectives (e.g., reproductive rates, survival rates, body condition) rather than objectives for population size, which can be highly influenced by factors outside of small geographic areas.

<u>Appendix E, Part 1</u> provides a detailed summary of specific conservation objectives and recommended actions from existing bird initiative plans, organized by priority species within priority habitats (i.e., species-habitat suites). The recommendations include estimates of current populations along with recommended population and habitat objectives where such information was available at the time of the current draft of this document. <u>Appendix E, Part 2</u> breaks down specific population and habitat objectives from the BCR level to the contributions for which each jurisdiction is responsible in order to support the total BCR objective. Such population and habitat objectives have been proposed primarily for landbirds and waterfowl at this time, but the other bird groups are working on developing similar objectives. All such objectives presented in this document should be considered preliminary and in need of much further review and revision. They are provided mostly as suggestions for bird conservationists throughout the BCR to consider as initial conservation targets and to promote further discussions about objectives.

4. Implementation and Evaluation

Implementation of the BCR 14 plan will entail undertaking the actions recommended in the various bird initiatives' plans, as summarized in <u>Appendix E</u>, in conjunction with the specific activities recommended in the following sections on focus areas and priority projects, which are intended to identify some of the most important actions and locations for initial bird conservation work by the BCR 14 partnership. The purpose for undertaking these implementation actions is to contribute to the BCR 14 habitat and population objectives discussed in the previous section. These actions will include various forms of habitat conservation (e.g., protection from development, active management, restoration from degraded conditions), monitoring of bird populations and habitat conditions, conducting research projects to fill information gaps, and undertaking education/outreach activities to raise awareness of the bird conservation issues in the region and communicate solutions for those issues to the public and private sectors. As implementation moves forward, periodic evaluations of progress toward the habitat and population objectives will need to be conducted to determine if the actions that have been taken are having the intended effects.

4.1 Focus Areas for Habitat Conservation

One of the tools of conservation design being used to foster implementation in the BCR 14 initiative is the focus area concept. These geographically explicit areas correspond to the general habitat affinities of priority birds. While other areas within the BCR do or could provide high quality bird habitat, focus areas are intended to have high conservation and restoration potential at a landscape scale because they have been identified by partners within the BCR as areas with significant biological value (e.g., large blocks of high quality habitat, large concentrations of birds), with significant public lands that can provide a core for conservation efforts, and/or good potential for public-private partnerships. Atlantic Northern Forest focus areas were defined by technical staff of partner agencies and organizations during the Maine workshops held in December 2002. These focus areas should be considered an initial draft list for the BCR and will need to be revised and enhanced through a further review process. Focus areas were identified independently for each taxonomic group; these areas have been digitized. Maps of focus areas for each bird group have been created, as well as a composite map with the focus areas for all bird groups (Appendix F). The composite map illustrates where overlap occurs in areas considered to be important for the different taxonomic groups and where conservation efforts can benefit multiple groups of birds. However, it should also be recognized that focus areas with habitat for only one taxonomic group are not necessarily less important than focus areas supporting multiple group of birds, because they might be extremely important for some of the highest priority species in that single bird group. Data sheets for each focus areas are also presented in Appendix F. These data sheets provide site descriptions, importance of the area to priority birds, threats to the area, conservation actions needed, protection status of the area, and a knowledgeable contact person.

4.2. Other Approaches to Identifying Important Areas for Habitat Conservation

The focus area concept works well for identifying important habitats that occur in fairly discrete patches, either as large blocks or as major components within a landscape, and are likely to remain in the same habitat type and successional stage for a relatively long time. Wetlands and grasslands are examples of general habitat types that lend themselves well to the focus area concept in BCR 14. However, the focus area approach is difficult to apply to expansive habitat types (i.e., "matrix" habitats) that extend over very large areas with minimal variation at the most course levels of habitat description, such as the vast forested areas of BCR 14. Identifying geographically-explicit areas that have high habitat values for priority species compared to other areas is not a simple task. Other approaches and tools will need to be developed and applied in BCR 14 for these other habitat types. This primarily applies to forests and forest-associated birds, including the full suite of successional stages and myriad forest types. Methods incorporating bird-habitat models, forest growth simulations, and collective knowledge of management prescriptions on both public and private lands will need to be developed to determine if specific areas or landscapes within the BCR can be identified where habitat for priority forest-associated landbirds can be maintained on a long-term basis. Given the dynamic nature of the industrial forest portions of this BCR, identifying specific areas to target long-term habitat conservation actions for a particular suite of species may not be practical. Rather, longterm conservation actions for the "working forest" may need to be developed across broad spatial and temporal scales where adequate amounts of habitat for several priority species suites can be maintained within a shifting mosaic framework.

An outline for a general process that would lead to the kinds of recommendations described in the preceding paragraph is provided below. A much more detailed and specific plan for carrying out this kind of work will need to be developed in cooperation with a variety of partners. However, this outline suggests the general activities that would need to be undertaken:

(A) Select a set of focal species representing the primary forest and successional stages. Develop regional-scale bird-habitat models suitable for mapping the predicted current distributions of these focal species across the BCR. Use the mapping results to identify key areas at a very gross scale within the BCR for targeting conservation and management actions for each of the focal species.

** Note: <u>Mark Anderson</u> (with the TNC Eastern Resource Office in Boston) has developed preliminary bird-habitat models based on BBS data and TNC Ecological Systems data. Further development and validation work will be needed to determine the utility of these models, but they may provide products which can be used for the purposes of identifying key areas for conservation at a coarse scale. Figure 7 provides a draft example of a predicted distribution map that Mark's modeling efforts might produce.



Figure 7. Map of predicted distribution for Blackburnian Warbler. Darker regions represent a higher probability of repeat occurrence over multiple years. Red squares indicate state breeding bird atlas survey areas (ME and NH only) where this species is a confirmed breeder. This is a preliminary, draft map and is presented here only as an example. The accuracy of the model used to develop this map has not been rigorously tested.

(B) Within the key areas for forest-bird conservation identified through (A), describe overlapping/conflicting needs of priority species in that area (e.g., if an area is a key area for multiple focal species with conflicting habitat needs) and provide recommendations on which set of habitat types should receive priority conservation attention with a particular area. Developing such recommendations will require knowledge about the distribution of priority birds and their habitats across the BCR, which the models from (A) should help to provide.

(C) Within each key area selected through (A), identify primary landowners/managers and develop dialogues with them to determine if their current forest management practices could be altered to improve habitat conditions for priority species, especially those associated with the priority habitats identified through (B). The types of landowners/managers and management practices will vary across the BCR and approaches will likely need to be tailored to the different areas within the BCR. For many priority species, current knowledge of what forest management recommendations to make in order to improve habitat for birds should be at least advanced enough so that dialogues with land owners/managers can be started. However, adequate information for making even initial recommendations might be lacking for some of the priority species, in which case initial experimental research will be needed to acquire appropriate information for making recommendations. A group of experts on bird-habitat relationships and forest management practices within the BCR should be assembled to help make these recommendations. In all cases, forest management recommendations should be developed and implemented within the framework of adaptive resource management whereby management recommendations are implemented in conjunction with proper monitoring schemes to assess bird responses to the management actions. Future management recommendations should then reflect any modifications suggested by the monitoring results.

(D) Evaluate current contribution of each key area to BCR-wide population/habitat goals for the focal species and further refine recommendations on how landowners/managers in the different areas could adjust forest management actions to help reach conservation targets (if targets are not already met). Ultimately, we would also want to predict future forest conditions based on anticipated forest management plans and try to ensure that conservation targets would be met into the future. Carrying out this step will require more complex analyses for which a detailed action plan will need to be developed, including the many assumptions that will need to be made and tested as part of this process, such as how appropriate population and habitat goals are defined and how to define landscape level breeding densities for priority species in various habitat types. Steps (C) and (D) are not mutually exclusive, but Step (D) might require more extensive technical work on conservation design and fine-scale bird-habitat modeling, which will require considerable time and financial resources to complete.

4.3. Priority Projects for Implementation

During habitat breakout sessions at the BCR 14 workshop, technical staff from the partner organizations identified priority projects that they thought were of critical importance and/or of a timely nature in terms of addressing the most pressing bird conservation issues in the Atlantic Northern Forest. These projects can be considered a "short list" of conservation actions that will benefit priority species and habitats and that partner organizations should work together to implement in the near future. Appendix G provides a list of the priority projects within major

habitat groupings, including project descriptions, priority species and habitats targeted, a key contact person for the project, and potential funding sources. Numerous on-going or recently completed projects that were initiated prior to the BCR 14 initiative also have applicability toward achieving BCR 14 objectives. These existing projects in the region are listed at the end of Appendix G.

4.4. Implementation and the BCR Partnership

The BCR 14 Partnership, which includes those agencies and organizations with an interest in working together to affect bird conservation in the Atlantic Northern Forest (see <u>Appendix H</u> for a list of partners and contact information), should foster and facilitate the effective delivery of bird conservation objectives across the BCR by bringing the programmatic capabilities of all partners to bear in a coordinated fashion to affect landscape change and maintenance of the region's native bird populations. Implementing the plans of the various bird conservation initiatives, which form the foundation for the BCR 14 effort, will come in many forms and will include habitat conservation activities (e.g., management, protection, restoration, enhancement), population management activities (e.g., predator control, disturbance reduction, setting harvest regulations, providing nesting structures, contaminant removal), education and outreach activities, as well as research and monitoring projects. Several specific recommendations that the BCR Partnership could undertake to foster bird conservation implementation are provided here:

- Develop working groups organized by jurisdictions that can collectively contribute to landscape design and plan implementation at multiple spatial scales (e.g. regional, landscape, and project levels).
- Outline the habitat improvements or population management activities needed in each focus area and develop a plan for addressing those needs. Use acreage or population objectives to estimate the ability of those improvements to contribute to the BCR's bird population goals.
- Facilitate and enhance the ability of the BCR partners to develop and implement projects (especially within the focus areas delineated in this plan) that fulfill the BCR's mission of restoring and maintaining healthy bird populations.
- Develop strategies to integrate bird conservation objectives into private land management within the Atlantic Northern Forest.
- Work to increase funding available to BCR partners through a variety of mechanisms.

The BCR Partnership should also foster and maintain good communications among partners to facilitate cooperation in sharing information and effective/efficient use of all resources available for bird conservation. Another aspect of implementation that was brought up several times during the BCR 14 workshop was the need to develop links and partnerships with other Bird Conservation Regions that share migratory species with the Atlantic Northern Forest BCR. These relationships with other BCRs will help partners in BCR 14 better understand where within their annual cycles migratory species are facing the most stringent limiting factors and which actions that can be taken within the Atlantic Northern Forest will be most effective in positively affecting bird populations.

4.5. Evaluation of Progress toward BCR Objectives

To complete the conservation design and delivery framework discussed in the introduction of this document, methods will need to be developed for assessing how the outcomes of the

conservation actions taken by the BCR Partnership contribute to the BCR objectives. Such evaluation of progress toward objectives is a crucial component of a successful conservation initiative, but one that often is not given adequate consideration. Evaluation components may vary from simple monitoring of the results of routine management to rigorous experimental delivery of alternative management options. Regardless of how the evaluation is conducted, it must be done in such a way that it can be reconciled across multiple geographic scales and ultimately be compiled at the regional level for comparison with BCR-wide objectives.

The biological tools described in section 3.1. provide a source of existing resources for evaluation, especially with regard to monitoring bird populations. These tools have already provided a fairly good record on the current status of bird populations and can continue to be used to monitor population trends in the future. Better methods for monitoring population sizes will need to be developed for many species. Developing the means to track trends in habitat types across the BCR will also need to be developed. The BCR-wide land cover map produced for the Maine workshop is a first step toward being able to evaluate progress toward BCR habitat objectives, because it provides a general sense of current conditions. However, cost-effective techniques for tracking changes in habitats over time at different spatial scales will need to be developed if the BCR Partnership is going to be able to assess progress toward habitat goals on a periodic basis.

Literature Cited

Adamus, P. R., editor. 1987. Atlas of breeding birds in Maine, 1978-1983. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, ME.

Andrle, R. F., and J. R. Carroll, editors. 1988. The Atlas of breeding birds in New York State. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.

Bevier, L. R., editor. 1994. The Atlas of breeding birds of Connecticut. State Geological and Natural History Survey of Connecticut, Hartford, CT.

Erskine, A. J. 1992. Atlas of breeding birds of the Maritime Provinces. Nova Scotia Museum and Nimbus Publishing, Halifax, NS.

Foss, C. R. 1994. Atlas of breeding birds of New Hampshire. Audubon Society of New Hampshire, Concord, NH.

Gauthier, J., and Y. Aubry. (eds.) 1996. The Breeding Birds of Québec: Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Southern Québec. Association québécoise des groupes d'ornithologues, Province of Quebec Society for the Protection of Birds, and Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Quebec Region, Montreal.

Hodgman, T. P., and K. V. Rosenberg. 2000. Partners In Flight Landbird Conservation Plan: Physiographic Area 28 – Eastern Spruce-Hardwood Forest (Draft 1.0). Available at <u>http://www.partnersinflight.org</u>.

Laughlin, S. B., and D. P. Kibbe. 1985. The atlas of breeding birds of Vermont. University Press of New England, Hanover, NH.

Olin, P.G. 2001. Current status of aquaculture in North America. In R.P. Subasinghe, P. Bueno, M.J. Phillips, C. Hough, S.E. McGladdery & J.R. Arthur, eds. Aquaculture in the Third Millennium. Technical Proceedings of the Conference on Aquaculture in the Third Millennium, Bangkok, Thailand, 20-25 February 2000. pp. 377-396. NACA, Bangkok and FAO, Rome.

Petersen, W.R., and W.R. Maservey. (eds.) 2003. Massachusetts Breeding Bird Atlas. University of Massachusetts Press, Amherst, MA.

Rich, T. D., C. J. Beardmore, H. Berlanga, P. J. Blancher, M. S. W. Bradstreet, G. S. Butcher, D. Demarest, E. H. Dunn, W. C. Hunter, E. Iñigo-Elias, J. A. Kennedy, A. Martell, A. Panjabi, D. N. Pashley, K. V. Rosenberg, C. Rustay, S. Wendt and T. Will. 2004. Partners in Flight North American Landbird Conservation Plan. Cornell Lab of Ornithololgy. Ithaca, NY.

Rosenberg, K.V., and P.J. Blancher. In Press. Setting numerical population objectives for priority landbird species. Pp. xx-xx *in* Proceedings of the Third International Partners in Flight Conference, C.J. Ralph and T.D. Rich, eds. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-xxx. Albany, CA.

| Type/Name of Document | Summary | Level of Information | Geographic | Suitability to | Availability/ |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | Available | Area | State/Province | Contact |
| Continental/National Plans | | | | | |
| North American Bird Conservation Initiative Partners USFWS, International Association of Fish & Wildlife Organizations, American Bird Conservancy, Partners in Flight, Ducks Unlimited, Wildlife Management Institute, National Flyway Council, Federal Agency Subcommittee USDA Forest Service International Programs, Association of Joint Venture Management Board Chairs, Resident Game Bird Working Group | Vision: Populations and habitats of North America's birds are protected, restored, and enhanced through coordinated efforts at international, national, regional, state, and local levels, guided by sound science and effective management. Goal: To deliver the full spectrum of bird conservation through regionally based, biologically driven, landscape-oriented partnerships. | Website offers: Partner links and plans Tools and resources • BCR's • Species assessment • Bird info & data • Funding resources • etc News and events | Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) are ecologically distinct regions in North America with similar bird communities, habitats, and resource management issues. Click on the link below to find appropriate BCR. http://www.nabci -us.org/bcrs.html | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | http://www.nabci- us.org |
| PIF North American Landbird Conservation Plan, March 2003, Draft Authors Comision Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso del la Biodiversidad, Bird Studies Canada, National Audubon Society, Canadian Wildlife Service, Cornell University, Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory, American Bird Conservancy, USFWS | The purpose of the plan is to provide an overview of the highest priority landbirds in North America. These birds include not only those species that are of conservation concern due to population declines and small ranges, but those that are characteristic of major habitat types and are essential to the biotic integrity and long-term stability of entire eco-regions. | Data and attributes for 448 species including continental population estimates Priority Species Suite tables for "super regions" (ie: associations of BCRs) Priority Species watch list Links to databases with PIF species assessment scores | United States and Canada | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Ken Rosenberg Cornell lab of Ornithology Ithaca, NY 14850 607-254-2412 <u>KVR2@cornell.ed</u> <u>U</u> |
| Canadian Shorebird Conservation Plan | The Canadian Shorebird Conservation Plan's vision is for healthy populations of shorebirds to be distributed across their range and diversity of habitats in Canada and throughout their global range. The plan thus recognizes the need to collaborate internationally as well as regionally | Population estimates and trends Priority setting system for shorebirds | Canada Plan developed with input from United States | New Brunswick Nova Scotia PEI Quebec | http://www.cws- scf.ec.gc.ca/public ations/spec/cscp/in dex_e.cfm |

Appendix A. Annotated bibliography of existing bird conservation plans

| U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan May 2001, Current Edition This plan is a partnership of state, federal agencies, non-government organizations, academic institutions, and individuals from across the country. | and locally. This plan has established goals designed to fulfill the needs for research, monitoring, and evaluation as well as conservation, communication, and international linkages. The U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan is a partnership involving organizations throughout the United States committed to the conservation of shorebirds. This document summarizes all of the major technical reports and recommendations produced by the various working groups that participated in developing the Plan. The organizations and individuals working on the Plan have developed conservation goals for each region of the country, identified critical habitat conservation needs and key research needs, and proposed education and outreach programs to increase awareness of shorebirds and the threats they face. The shorebird partnership created during the development of the Plan will remain active and will work to improve and implement the Plan's recommendations. | Key staging sites in Canada for migrating shorebirds Conservation goals and implementation strategies Hemispheric, National and regional goals Population estimate and target table (and other tables) Shorebird planning regions | United States Plan developed with input from Canada, Mexico and Australia | Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire New York Vermont | http://manomet.or g/USSCP/ files.htm |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| The North American Waterbird Conservation Plan, Version 1 is current and addresses colonial waterbirds Version 2 is due out 2004 and will cover marshbirds This plan is a partnership of state, US and international federal agencies, US and international non-government organizations, academic institutions, private industries, and individuals from across the country. | This document, Version 1 of the North American Waterbird Conservation Plan, provides an overarching continental framework and guide for conserving waterbirds. It sets forth goals and priorities for waterbirds in all habitats from the Canadian Arctic to Panama, from Bermuda through the U.S. Pacific Islands, at nesting sites, during annual migrations, and during nonbreeding periods. It advocates continent-wide monitoring; provides an impetus for regional conservation planning; proposes national, state, provincial and other local conservation planning and action; and gives a larger context for local habitat protection. Taken together, it is hoped that these activities will assure healthy populations and habitats for the waterbirds of the Americas. | Waterbird Conservation Region's Broad species population, habitat, education & information, and coordination & integration goals. Tables including: Conservation status & distribution Population estimates and conservation status Distribution and activity Ideas for outreach projects | North America, Central America, the islands and pelagic waters of the Caribbean Sea and western Atlantic, the U.Sassociated Pacific Islands, and pelagic waters of the pacific. | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Available in hard copy only: Scott Johnston, USFWS Hadley,MA 01035 413.253.8557 Scott_Johnston@f Ws.gov |
| Management Plan, | wetlands to North Americans and the need for | and population goals and | Canada, Mexico | Delaware | an.fws.gov/NAW |

| Updated in 1998 In Canada the Plan is administered by the North American Wetlands Council In the U.S. the Plan has become a network of Joint Ventures with a variety of partners including several federal land-management agencies, state agencies, private corporations, and conservation organizations In Mexico conservation under the Plan is coordinated through the National Institute of Ecology | international cooperation to help in the recovery of a shared resource, the Canadian and United States governments developed a strategy to restore waterfowl populations through habitat protection, restoration, and enhancement. The strategy was documented in the North American Waterfowl Management Plan signed in 1986 by the Canadian Minister of the Environment and the United States' Secretary of the Interior, the foundation partnership upon which hundreds of others would be built. With its update in 1994, Mexico became a signatory to the Plan. The Plan is innovative because its perspective is international in scope, but its implementation functions at the regional level. Its success is dependent upon the strength of partnerships, called Joint Ventures, involving federal, state, provincial, tribal, and local governments, businesses, conservation organizations, and individual citizens. Joint Ventures develop implementation plans focusing on areas of concern identified in the Plan. | objectives Important Waterfowl Habitat Areas in North America Tables include: • Population estimates for ducks in NA • Status &Goals for NA Goose Pop. • Status &Goals for NA Swan Pop. • NAWMP joint venture objectives (acres) | | Maine Maryland Massachusetts New Hampshire New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Rhode Island Vermont Virginia West Virginia | <u>MP/nawmphp.htm</u> |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Regional | Plans | ſ | | |
| Partners in Flight Rird | With a table on landbirds, aspectally neatronical | | | 37.1 | D' 10 |
| Conconnection plans | with a focus on failed fus, especially feotiopical | Priority habitat and suite | There are 12 | Maine Massachusette | Bird Conservation |
| Conservation plans | migrants (species that breed in North America | of species lists | There are 12 physiographic | Maine Massachusetts | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring torrectrial habitats | of species lists | There are 12 physiographic areas in the | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on wabsite: |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIE's premice has been to combine, coordinate | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U S | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> rsinflight.org/ |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. <u>http://www.blm.</u> goy/wildlife/pifp | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Ouebec | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. <u>http://www.blm.</u> gov/wildlife/pifp <u>lans.htm</u> | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To | migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers Regional |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: | with a focus on failebrids, especially neotropical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht | with a focus on failed fields, especially neonopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus on failebrids, especially neotropical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht <u>m</u> | with a focus on failebrids, especially neotropical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus on failed fids, especially neotropical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus of failabilities, especially fleohopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend population and habitat objectives for managing | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified Some outreach strategies | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation Regions | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) and |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus of failabilities, especially fleohopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend population and habitat objectives for managing these priority species, and provide | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified Some outreach strategies | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation Regions http://www.cws- | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) and Jean Gauthier |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus of failabilities, especially fleohopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend population and habitat objectives for managing these priority species, and provide implementation and management strategies for | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified Some outreach strategies | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation Regions http://www.cws- scf.ec.gc.ca/birds | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: <u>http://www.partne</u> <u>rsinflight.org/</u> or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) and Jean Gauthier (Quebec) |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m | with a focus of failabilities, especially fleohopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend population and habitat objectives for managing these priority species, and provide implementation and management strategies for reaching objectives. | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified Some outreach strategies | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation Regions http://www.cws- scf.ec.gc.ca/birds /lb_ot_e.cfm | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) and Jean Gauthier (Quebec) |
| Conservation plans "Landbirds" Organization launched in 1990 Partners There are approximately 200 organizations contributing to the Partners in Flight organization. To view the complete list: http://www.abcbirds.org/pif/pifsig.ht m Northern Atlantic Regional | with a focus of failabilities, especially fleohopical migrants (species that breed in North America and winter in Central and South America) as well as other species requiring terrestrial habitats, PIF's premise has been to combine, coordinate, and increase the resources of public and private organizations in North and South America. PIF is a cooperative partnership between government agencies, private organizations, individuals, academic communities, and industry. Bird Conservation Plans for have been developed for Physiographic Areas in the Northeast by PIF. These plans identify priority species for conservation efforts in each area, recommend population and habitat objectives for managing these priority species, and provide implementation and management strategies for reaching objectives. The North Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan steps | Priority habitat and suite of species lists Population estimates Habitat and population objectives Implementation strategies/management objectives outlined Research & monitoring needs identified Some outreach strategies Broad Regional Goals | There are 12 physiographic areas in the northeastern U.S. http://www.blm. gov/wildlife/pifp lans.htm Canada is developing regional PIF plans based on Bird Conservation Regions http://www.cws- scf.ec.gc.ca/birds /lb_ot_e.cfm Encompasses all | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | Bird Conservation Plans for the U.S. are available on website: http://www.partne rsinflight.org/ or from Randy Dettmers Regional Canadian PIF information is available from Dan Busby (Atlantic Canada) and Jean Gauthier (Quebec) |

| June 2000 Partners New Jersey, Delaware, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, New York, , Rhode Island, Virginia, Maine, Center for Conservation Sciences, Natural Lands Trust, Atlantic Coast Joint Venture, Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, The Nature Conservancy, New Jersey Audubon Society, and Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences | scales to identify priority species, habitat and species goals, and prioritizes implementation projects. | Habitat goals and objectives, management needs, research goals, education goals, funding goals Tables include: Species priority list Priority species list by guild-group Estimated population Species priority by habitat Estimated acreage of habitat by state (excluding Connecticut and Maryland) | following states: Connecticut Delaware Maine Maryland Massachusetts New Hampshire New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Rhode Island Vermont Virginia | Maine Maryland Massachusetts New Hampshire New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Rhode Island Vermont Virginia | IShorebird/Region alPlans.htm or Larry Niles NJ Div. Of Fish, Game & Wildlife Trenton, NJ 08625 609-292-9100 Larry.Niles@dep. state.nj.us |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Atlantic Coast Joint VentureImplementation Plan,Update of the plan due in 2004This plan is a partnership of state,federal agencies, non-governmentorganizations, and individuals fromacross the country.Eastern Habitat Joint Venture | The goals and objectives for the Atlantic Coast Joint Venture (ACJV) significantly expand those that were identified in the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. Specifically, the ACJV objectives are to protect, manage, or enhance approximately 879,138 acres of wetland and upland buffer habitats from Maine to South Carolina. It also calls for improving or enhancing an additional 165,977 acres of federal and state wetland habitats, i.e., National Wildlife Refuges and state wildlife areas now managed for waterfowl. Objectives are to protect and restore wetland and upland buffer habitats in Eastern Canada. | Focus area maps, summaries, and tables for each state. Management strategies to achieve objectives. Protection, enhancement, and evaluation components | Maine to Florida and Puerto Rico The six eastern- most provinces of Canada | Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire New York Vermont New Brunswick Nova Scotia PEI Quebec | Andrew Milliken USFWS Hadley,MA 01035 413-253-8269 andrew milliken @fws.gov AND http://northeast.fw s.gov/migratorybir ds/acjv.htm , which includes focus area maps Reg Melanson |
| Mid-Atlantic/New England Maritime Regional Working Group for Waterbirds | The MANEM Working Group is a regional partnership of organizations and individuals working to facilitate waterbird conservation in Bird Conservation 14 (Atlantic Northern Forest) and 30 (New England/id-Atlantic Coast), and in Large Marine Ecosystem 7 (Northeast US Continental Shelf) and 8 (Scotian Shelf). | Management strategies to achieve objectives. Draft species profiles with legend and sources; draft habitat profiles and waterbird site data | BCR 14 (Atlantic Northern Forest) and 30 (New England/Mid- Atlantic Coast), and in Large Marine | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Ouebec | Scott Johnston, USFWS Hadley,MA 01035 413-253-8557 Scott_Johnston@f ws.gov Or |

| | The working group hopes to help local resource managers within the region protect waterbirds and their habitats by facilitating the development and distribution of information on the status and conservation needs of waterbirds and habitats, and by building partnerships between wildlife managers, scientists, conservationists and supporters. | | Ecosystems 7 (Northeast US Continental Shelf) and 8 (Scotia Shelf). Together these biologically based spatial units make up the MANEM region. | Vermont | Kathy Parsons Manoment Center for Conservation Parsonk@manom et.org For Species Profiles: <u>http://birds.fws.go</u> <u>v/regionalplanning</u> <u>internal/MANEM/</u> |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| TNC Northern Appalachian Forest Ecoregion Plan | Ecoregional plans identify targets the species, communities and ecosystems that represent an ecoregion's natural diversity. For each target, scientists determine how much is enough based on rarity and distribution; teams then use GIS programs to map and display distributions of targets. With the help of local experts and computer models, planning teams determine where to direct conservation efforts to achieve stated goals. | Portfolio of prioritized sites for conserving ecoregional targets Habitat objectives (amount and configuration) for supporting long-term survival of regional populations of target species | BCR 14 | Maine Massachusetts New Brunswick New Hampshire New York Nova Scotia PEI Quebec Vermont | |
| | Species-Specific Cor | nservation Plans | 1 | 1 | I |
| America Woodcock Management Plan, 1990 Prepared by USFWS | The purpose of this Plan is to guide the conservation of woodcock in the United States. It describes ways in which the USFWS, state conservation agencies, other public agencies, and private organizations can work cooperatively in addressing problems, developing management programs, and otherwise assuring the future well- being of woodcock. | Population and habitat objectives, recommendations, strategies to implement plan. | Eastern and Central regions | Maine Massachusetts New York New Jersey Vermont | Contact individual state game managers for guidance. |
| A Landowner's Guide to Woodcock Management in the Northeast Prepared by USFWS and University of Maine | This guide covers the biology of the woodcock, its habitat requirements, and management techniques used to improve woodcock habitat. Actual management situations are stressed. | Habitat requirements and management recommendations | Northeastern US | Maine New Hampshire Vermont New York Massachusetts | http://www.umain e.edu/mafes/elec_ pubs/miscrepts/ne _woodcock.pdf |
| Tern Management Handbook, Coastal Northeastern United States and Atlantic Canada June 2002 Partners | This handbook provides historic background, a review of factors limiting populations, biology and techniques for managing and monitoring the tern species nesting from Long Island, New York to Newfoundland and the north shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The handbook also identifies | History of populations, biology, limiting factors, management techniques, monitoring techniques, and research needs. | Newfoundland to Long Island | Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire New York Rhode Island | Kress, S.W. and C.S. Hall. 2002. Tern Management Handbook: Coastal Northeastern |

| USFWS, Canadian Wildlife Service, National Audubon Society | research needs and includes maps showing the location of tern populations within the United States. The handbook focuses on coastal populations of Common, Arctic, Roseate and Least Terns. It also provides information about less common southern species, including Forster's and Gull-billed Terns, Black Skimmers that edge into the southern boundaries of the region, and Caspian Terns which nest in Newfoundland. | Tables include: Population estimates Criteria for selecting restoration sites Figures include information on management and monitoring techniques Maps of tern colony locations | | | United States and Atlantic Canada. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Hadley, MA 01035 <u>http://northeast.fw</u> <u>s.gov/migratorybir</u> <u>ds/publications.ht</u> <u>m</u> |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Piping Plover Recovery Plans – Atlantic Coast Population US Fish and Wildlife Service (1996), Piping Plover, Atlantic Coast Population, Revised Recovery Plan, USFWS, Hadley, MA. Canadian Wildlife Service (2002), National Recovery Plan for the Piping Plover. National Recovery Plan No. 22. Recovery of Nationally Endangered Wildlife. Ottawa. | These recovery plans describes recovery progress to date and delineates further actions required to recover and/or protect the Atlantic Coast population of the Piping Plover. | Population status and distribution, reasons for lists and continuing threats, recovery objectives, recovery criteria, recovery tasks, implementation schedule | Newfoundland to North Carolina | Maine New Brunswick Nova Scotia | http://ecos.fws.go v/docs/recovery_p lans/1996/960502. pdf |
| Roseate Tern Recovery Plans US Fish and Wildlife Service (1998), Roseate Tern Recovery Plan, Northeastern Population, First Update. USFWS, Hadley, MA. <i>and</i> Lock, A.R., S. Boates, S. Cohrs, T.C. D'Eon, B. Johnson, and P. Laporte. 1993. <i>Canadian Roseate</i> <i>Tern recovery plan</i> . RENEW Report No. 4. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada. Ottawa. | These plans describe actions required to recover the population of Roseate Tern in northeastern North America | Population status and distribution, reasons for lists and continuing threats, recovery objectives, recovery criteria, recovery tasks | Quebec to New York | Maine Nova Scotia | |
| Black Duck Joint Venture Strategic Plan USFWS & CWS 1993 | The BDJV is an international project designed to gather information vital to ensuring sustained populations of black ducks and other waterfowl that share their breeding range. | The Strategic Plan describes objectives and strategies for breeding ground surveys, pre- season banding, and research programs. | Atlantic and Mississippi Flyways | All jurisdictions | http://www.qc.ec. gc.ca/faune/sauva gine/html/bdjv.ht ml and http://www.pwrc.u sgs.gov/bdjv/bdjvs |
| | | | | | | | | tpl.htm |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Sea Duck Joint Venture Strategic | The mission of the Sea Duck Id | oint Venture is to | Identifies priority | | | | | http://www.seaduc |
| Plan | promote conservation of North | American sea | information needs | for | | | | kiv org/index html |
| CWS & USEWS | ducks by providing greater kno | wledge and | sea ducks and describes | | | | | <u>njvorg/maeximin</u> |
| | understanding to effectively m | anage sea ducks | implementation | | | | | |
| | anderstanding to effectively in | unuge seu dueks. | strategies to meet those | | | | | |
| | | | information needs | 11050 | | | | |
| Status Assessment and | Describes the status of the Con | amon Loon and its | Includes sections of | m | North America | All inr | isdictions | Dave Evers |
| Conservation Plan for the | conservation needs across its ra | ange using | Taxonomy Legal | Status | 1 tortii 7 mieriea | 7 m jui | istictions | or |
| Common Loon in North America | historical and current informati | ion | Geographic Distrik | ution | | | | Scott Johnston |
| | instorical and current information | | Biology Populatio | n | | | | <u>Deott Johnston</u> |
| By Dave Evers Biodiversity | | | Estimates and Trer | nds | | | | |
| Research Institute for USEWS | | | Monitoring Activit | ies | | | | |
| Research institute, for OSI VIS | | | Habitat Requireme | ents | | | | |
| | | | Threats Managem | ent | | | | |
| | | | and Conservation | ent, | | | | |
| | | | Actions: also state | and | | | | |
| | | | province- specific | und | | | | |
| | | | overviews within f | he | | | | |
| | | | breeding range | iic | | | | |
| | | Other Conserve | ation Plans | | | | | |
| Conservation Plan or F | Project description | Responsible | Species | | Key contacts | | Citatio | n, if published |
| (with loca | tion) | Jurisdiction/ | addressed | | (e-mail) | | or avai | lable on Web. |
| | | Organization | | | (•) | | | npletion date |
| Plan de gestion de l'Eider à duvet | nichant dans l'estuaire et le | CWS/Duvetnor | Common | André | dré Nadeau | | In progress | |
| dolfe du Saint-Laurent, Québec [C | conservation Plan for | OWO/Duvelier | Fider | Andre | Andre nadeau@ec.gc.ca | | in progre | |
| Common Fider Breeding in the St | Lawrence Estuary and | | | 7 11010 | <u>rindro.nddodd@oo.go.od</u> | | | |
| Gulf Québec] | | | | | | | | |
| Plan de conservation des oiseaux | aquatiques du Québec/ | CWS-Quebec | Waterbird | Gilles | les Chandelaine | | In progress | |
| Conservation plan for waterbird of | Québec | | Waterbird | Gilles | chapdelaine@ec. | nc ca | Due March 2004 | |
| | | | | Jean- | Francois Rail | <u>90.00</u> | Duoman | 011 200 1 |
| | | | | Jean- | francois.rail@ec.g | c.ca | | |
| Plan de conservation des oiseaux | de rivage du Québec/ | CWS-Quebec | Shorebird | Yves | Aubry | | In proare | SS |
| Conservation plan for shorebird of | Québec | | | Yves. | aubry@ec.gc.ca | | Due March 2004 | |
| • | | | | | | | | |
| Plan de conservation des oiseaux terrestres du Québec/ | | CWS-Quebec | Landbird | Gilles | Gilles Falardeau | | In progre | SS |
| Conservation plan for landbird of Québec | | | | Gilles | .falardeau@ec.gc. | ca | Due Mar | ch 2006 |
| | | | | Jean | Gauthier | | | |
| | | | | Jean. | gauthier@ec.gc.ca | <u> </u> | | |
| Plan de conservation de la sauvagine du Québec/ | | CWS-Quebec | Waterfowl | Danie | l Bordage | | In progre | SS |
| Conservation plan for waterfowl of | Québec | | | Danie | l.bordage@ec.gc.d | <u>ca</u> | Due Mar | ch 2005 |
| | | | | Christ | ine Lepage | | | |
| | | | | <u>Christ</u> | ine.lepage@ec.gc | <u>.ca</u> | | |

| Maritime Canada Landbird Conservation Plan - A PIF multi- partner plan that is currently in draft form but nearing completion. | CWS-Maritimes | Landbird | Dan Busby Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca | In progress |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Shrubland Passerine Management System – MDIFW's management systems document how the Department will meet species' goals and objectives recommended by public working groups; outline how data will be collected, analyzed, and interpreted; and describe what management actions will be recommended under various scenarios. | Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW) | Landbird | Tom Hodgman <u>Tom.Hodgman@maine.gov</u> | To be available at www.state.me.us/ifw |
| Forest Passerine Management System | MDIFW | Landbird | Tom Hodgman Tom.Hodgman@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Wetland Passerine Management System | MDIFW | Landbird | Tom Hodgman <u>Tom.Hodgman@maine.gov</u> | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Wild Turkey Management System | MDIFW | Wild Turkey | Brad Allen or Andy Weik Brad.Allen@maine.gov or Andy.Weik@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Coastal Migratory Shorebird Management System | MDIFW | Migratory shorebirds | Lindsay Tudor Lindsay.Tudor@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Ruffed Grouse Management System | MDIFW | Ruffed Grouse | Andy Weik Andy.Weik@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| American Woodcock Management System | MDIFW | American Woodcock | Andy Weik Andy.Weik@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Common Eider Management System | MDIFW | Common Eider | Brad Allen Brad.Allen@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Harlequin Duck Management System | MDIFW | Harlequin Duck | Brad Allen or Linday Tudoe Brad.Allen@maine.gov_or Lindsay.tudor@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Waterfowl Management System | MDIFW | Waterfowl | Andy Weik Andy.Weik@maine.gov_ | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Island Nesting Tern Management System | MDIFW | Common Tern, Arctic Tern, Roseate Tern, | | To be available at www.state.me.us/ifw |

| Bald Eagle Management System | MDIFW | Bald Eagle | Charlie Todd Charles.todd@maine.gov | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
|---|-------|---------------|---|--|
| Piping Plover Management System | MDIFW | Piping Plover | | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| Least Tern Management System | MDIFW | Least Tern | | To be available at <u>www.state.me.us/ifw</u> |
| "Beginning With Habitat" - a habitat-based landscape approach to assessing wildlife and plant conservation needs and opportunities. The goal of the program is to maintain sufficient habitat to support all native plant and animal species currently breeding in Maine by providing each Maine town with a collection of maps and accompanying information depicting and describing various habitats of statewide and national significance found in the town. | Maine | All birds | Tom Hodgman <u>Tom.Hodgman@maine.gov</u> | http://www.state.me.us/do c/nrimc/mnap/programs/B eginWithHabitat.html |

Additional Resources

Priority waterfowl species by BCR--excel table

Atlantic Coast Wetland trend analysis maps - contact Andrew Milliken

PIF Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory Database (for PIF species assessment scores)-- <u>http://www.rmbo.org/pif/pifdb.html</u>

Waterfowl Mid Winter Inventory data-- http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/library/duckdata/

Species-habitat models for the Gulf of Maine Watershed http://gulfofmaine.fws.gov/gomanalysis/gomanalysis.html

National databases-- (bird population studies, historical bird data, National Biological Information Infrastructure Bird Conservation Node Mapping Application, Population analysis software, Patuxent's Black Duck Joint Venture Projects, Waterfowl Science Projects) <u>http://www.pwrc/usgs.gov/birds</u>

Additional USFWS reports, species assessments, surveys, links to plans-- http://library.fws.gov/bird_pubsindex.htm

Grassland Bird Database--excel table-contact state Heritage program or USFWS, Randy Dettmers

Golden-winged Warbler Atlas Project (to determine population status and habitat requirements of this species across its breeding range) -- www.birds.cornell.edu/gowap/ Cerulean Warbler Atlas Project ((to determine population status and habitat requirements of this species across its breeding range) -- <u>http://www.birds.cornell.edu/CEWAP/</u> A Landowners Guide to Woodcock Management in the Northeast - <u>http://www.umaine.edu/mafes/elec_pubs/miscrepts/ne_woodcock.pdf</u>

Appendix B. Amount of area (in acres) covered by 15 different land cover types in the BCR 14 portion of each province and state. Note that these numbers are derived from the land cover map that TNC compiled from remotely sensed data and may not adequately represent habitats that are not easily identified or well-sampled by remote sensing methods (e.g., early successional habitats).

| | Land Cover | | Nam | | Prince | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Land Cover Type | Land Cover Total | Quebec | Rrunswick | Nova Scotia | Edward Island | СТ | Maine | MΔ | NH | New York | Vermont |
| Cloud Cover/No | Total | Quebee | Drunswick | Nova Scolla | Island | 01 | Wante | 1017 1 | 1111 | | v ennone |
| Data | 197,026 | 149,916 | 46,873 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 |
| Open Water | 3,214,591 | 268,768 | 438,107 | 808,781 | 12,915 | 10,378 | 1,133,971 | 35,583 | 170,408 | 275,037 | 60,643 |
| L/H Intensity Res. High Intensity | 1,166,209 | 134,564 | 262,992 | 343,962 | 26,310 | 9,447 | 181,556 | 58,463 | 63,006 | 19,765 | 66,144 |
| Com./Indust. | 792,130 | 114,890 | 286,896 | 155,588 | 25,811 | 2,381 | 84,920 | 17,609 | 43,933 | 19,383 | 40,719 |
| Bare rock/sand Ouarries/strip | 350,781 | 9 | 9,450 | 300,525 | 5,393 | 428 | 13,093 | 2,500 | 10,575 | 471 | 8,337 |
| mines/gravel pits Regenerating | 93,342 | 7,818 | 40,602 | 27,261 | 3,748 | 228 | 3,410 | 789 | 3,239 | 3,540 | 2,707 |
| Forest | 4,524,218 | 1,320,902 | 1,313,251 | 1,255,395 | 84,614 | 678 | 471,902 | 2,659 | 32,339 | 9,662 | 32,817 |
| Deciduous forest | 21,902,161 | 3,683,001 | 3,237,517 | 1,296,228 | 178,514 | 104,982 | 4,737,512 | 615,415 | 1,566,744 | 4,297,879 | 2,184,370 |
| Evergreen forest | 23,912,621 | 3,584,905 | 6,666,026 | 5,405,992 | 112,518 | 25,265 | 5,244,918 | 164,357 | 1,056,547 | 970,098 | 681,995 |
| Mixed Forest | 21,789,896 | 5,272,351 | 3,526,279 | 2,501,093 | 282,965 | 55,856 | 6,334,705 | 316,183 | 1,500,844 | 840,019 | 1,159,600 |
| Shrubland Agriculture/ | 550,347 | 34,174 | 161,187 | 208,974 | 31,260 | 121 | 96,015 | 886 | 8,045 | 0 | 9,684 |
| Cultivated | 5,707,858 | 1,531,530 | 756,699 | 558,949 | 559,153 | 30,087 | 941,960 | 104,041 | 260,227 | 353,392 | 611,820 |
| Forested wetland | 2,444,393 | 18,704 | 664,513 | 315,768 | 53,477 | 18,322 | 728,449 | 69,011 | 122,769 | 374,062 | 79,318 |
| herbaceous wetland | 1,617,448 | 1,296 | 620,593 | 616,287 | 20,927 | 5,422 | 189,469 | 11,801 | 66,818 | 26,692 | 58,143 |
| Jurisdictional total | 88,263,022 | 16,122,829 | 18,030,985 | 13,794,803 | 1,397,605 | 263,594 | 20,162,098 | 1,399,298 | 4,905,503 | 7,190,001 | 4,996,307 |

Appendix C, Part 1. Specific methods used by taxonomic groups to apply the general BCR 14 rules and criteria for identifying priority species.

- Go to process used for shorebirds
- Go to process used for waterbirds
- Note that the process used for waterfowl has not been documented contact <u>Keith McAloney</u> or <u>Brad Allen</u> for more information.

Process used to identify priority landbirds

DEFINITIONS

PT = Partners in Flight "population trend" score
TB = Partners in Flight "threats to breeding" score
TN = Partners in Flight "threats to non-breeding" score
AI = Partners in Flight "area importance" score
% pop = percent of breeding population in BCR 14

High Continental Concern = a Partners in Flight continental level Watch List species **High BCR Concern** = local PT \ge 3 and (local TB \ge 3 or local TN \ge 3)

BCR Declines = local PT ≥ 4 **High BCR Threats** = local TB ≥ 4 or local TN ≥ 4 **Moderate BCR Threat** = local TB ≥ 3 or local TN ≥ 3

High BCR Responsibility = $\% \text{ pop} \ge 8\%$ or AI = 5 **Moderate-High BCR Responsibility** = $\% \text{ pop} \ge 4\%$ or AI ≥ 4 **Moderate BCR Responsibility** = $\% \text{ pop} \ge 1\%$ or AI ≥ 3

Highest Priority Landbirds

• *Rule 1:* High BCR Concern AND High BCR Responsibility AND High Continental Concern

Bicknell's Thrush Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow Wood Thrush Canada Warbler Bay-breasted Warbler Ipswich Savannah Sparrow American Woodcock

<u>High Priority Landbirds</u>

• Rule 2: High Continental Concern AND Moderate BCR Responsibility

Olive-sided Flycatcher Rusty Blackbird Blue-winged Warbler

• *Rule 3:* Moderate-High BCR Responsibility (%pop>=4 or AI>=4) AND BCR Declines AND Moderate BCR Threat

Cape May Warbler Chestnut-sided Warbler Bobolink Veery Eastern Wood-Pewee

• *Rule 4:* High BCR Responsibility (%pop>=8%) AND BCR Declines Purple Finch Yellow-bellied Sapsucker American Redstart

• Added For Other Reasons

Boreal Chickadee (permanent resident with highest overall score)
Common Nighthawk (all Canadian jurisdictions concerned about steady declines)
Long-eared Owl (all Canadian jurisdictions concerned about declines, threats to habitat, and lack of information)
Black-throated Blue Warbler (high BCR responsibility and high overall score)
Chimney Swift (BCR decline, moderate BCR responsibility, all Canadian jurisdictions highly concerned about dwindling populations and threats from lack of nest sites)

Moderate Priority Landbirds

• *Rule 5:* Moderate-High BCR Priority AND BCR Decline AND Total Score \geq 19

Ruffed Grouse Rose-breasted Grosbeak

(*Note – the following species meet the first two criteria of this rule, but have Total Score < 19: Belted Kingfisher, Least Flycatcher, White-throated Sparrow, Ruby-crowned Kinglet, Tree Swallow, Barn Swallow, Bank Swallow, Gray Catbird, Eastern Kingbird, Swainson's Thrush, Common Snipe, Killdeer, Song Sparrow, American Robin, Dark-eyed Junco, Red-winged Blackbird.)

• *Rule 6:* Moderate BCR Responsibility AND BCR Decline AND Moderate BCR Threat

Blackpoll Warbler Black-billed Cuckoo

• *Rule 7:* High BCR Responsibility AND Moderate BCR Threat AND Total Score > 18

Northern Parula Blackburnian Warbler Black-throated Green Warbler Ovenbird

• *Rule 8:* Moderate BCR Responsibility AND Uncertain BCR Trend (local PT = 3) AND Moderate BCR Threat

Yellow-bellied Flycatcher Northern Goshawk Bald Eagle Brown Creeper

(*Note – there is a group of species that would meet Rule 4 *except* they have stable or increasing BCR trends (local $PT \le 2$). As a whole, this group does not warrant inclusion on the Moderate Priority list, although one or more individual species from this group could be considered for other reasons: Mourning Warbler, Philadelphia Vireo, Great Crested Flycatcher, Northern Harrier, American Kestrel, Osprey, White-winged Crossbill, Wild Turkey)

• *Rule 9:* High BCR Threat (local TB \geq 4 or local TN \geq 4) AND poorly surveyed by BBS

Whip-poor-will Short-eared Owl

• Added for Other Reasons

Gray Jay (Canadians have information suggesting population declines and high threats) Vesper Sparrow (significant population decline, high breeding threats, responsibility for eastern subspecies)

Horned Lark (significant range-wide and BCR decline, high breeding threats, different grassland habitat needs than other grassland birds – bare ground)

Palm Warbler (represents bog habitat in southern Quebec, which are under high threat)

Pine Grosbeak (significant BCR decline, unknown threats from forest management, high Canadian responsibility)
Northern Flicker (rang-wide declines, moderate BCR responsibility, creates cavities used by many other cavity species, highlights need to retain snags)
Northern Harrier (range-wide declines, moderate BCR responsibility, moderate BCR threats)
Upland Sandpiper (high BCR threats, Total Score = 20)
Boreal Owl (lack of knowledge and information about the species, snag issue)
Black-backed Woodpecker (representative of need for fire and snags in conifer forests)
Peregrine Falcon (recently federally de-listed in U.S., still a species of concern in most jurisdictions)
Barn Swallow (BCR decline and moderate-high BCR responsibility)

Process used to identify priority shorebirds

Shorebird Conservation Priorities for BCR-14 - the Atlantic Northern Forest

1. Introduction

This section summarizes the shorebird conservation priorities for BCR-14, the Atlantic Northern Forest, as part of the development of all-bird priorities for the region. It is based largely on the decisions reached by consensus as the Rockland, Maine BCR-14 Workshop (3-5 December 2002), but reflects input from several earlier workshops, most notably that held in Charlottetown, P.E.I. by a broad representative group of Canadian experts (29-30 October 2002).

In addition to the expertise of those attending the workshops, these priorities also reflect information contained in the Atlantic, Quebec, Canadian, US and US Northern Atlantic shorebird conservation plans.

2. Priority Species

BCR-14 is a particularly important region as a stop-over area for millions of migrating shorebirds, and is also important for several breeding shorebirds and for one over-wintering species (Table 1). As a consequence, most of the species identified as being of regional conservation priority were migrants, although several are either breeding or over-wintering species.

| | Number of Species | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Season | Highest High Medium Low | | | | | | | | |
| | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | | | | | |
| Breeding | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 8/11 | | | | |
| Over-wintering | 1 | - | - | - | 1/1 | | | | |
| Migrating (spring and/or fall) | 2 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 13/22 | | | | |
| Total | 4 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 28 | | | | |

Table 1. Number of shorebird species regularly occurring in BCR-14

In a clear reflection of current continental concern for shorebird populations in general, and the importance of this region to migrating shorebirds, 21 of the 28 species that regularly occur in BCR-14 were considered to be of regional conservation concern.

Three categories were used to identify priority species - *Highest, High and Medium* - based on the approach agreed upon at the Rockland workshop, and modified slightly to capture all possibilities (Table 2). This approach ensured that decisions on assignment to categories were based on objective criteria. However, additional subjective expert opinion was occasionally used to resolve situations where information was lacking, or where data from different parts of the BCR suggested quite different levels of abundance or concern.

Table 2. Conservation priority categories for shorebird species within BCR-14

| Priority | Criteria/Rule |
|----------|---|
| HIGHEST | a. High BCR Concern and High BCR Responsibility and High or Continental |
| | Concern |
| | or |
| | b. High BCR Concern and High BCR Responsibility and Moderate |
| | Continental Concern |
| HIGH | c. Moderate BCR Concern and High BCR Responsibility |
| | or |
| | d. High Continental Concern and Moderate BCR Responsibility |
| MEDIUM | e. Moderate BCR Concern and Moderate BCR responsibility |
| | or |
| | f. High Continental Concern and Low BCR Responsibility |

We considered species in which at least 15% of the continental population occurred in BCR-14 at some time during the year to be of *High BCR responsibility*, and those with about 5-15% of the continental population to be of *Medium BCR responsibility*. There was no ranking assigned within the group of species in each category, and all are simply considered as members of priority pools.

Levels of very high, high, moderate or low were assigned for each species for categories of Continental Concern (using the list found in the Canadian and US Shorebird Conservation Plans), BCR-14 Concern (using the levels from the US Northern Atlantic, Quebec, and Atlantic Canada Conservation Plans) and BCR-14 Responsibility (using the US Northern Atlantic and Atlantic Canada Conservation Plans). The resulting BCR-14 priority level was then determined using the criteria/rules in Table 2, although some species were moved one level up or down based on the expert opinion of contributing shorebird scientists and managers (Table 3).

| Species (Main Season Present) | Con Co | tinental ncern | BCR-14 Concern | | | BCR-14 Responsibility | | | Recommended BCR-14 Priority | | |
|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|---------|------|
| Source of information and ranking rule | Rule 1. | Level 1. | US 2. | Mar 3. | Que 4. | BCR | US 5. | Can. 6. | BCR | Level | Rule |
| HIGHEST | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Piping Plover (B) | 5a | V. High | 5 | Н | Н | High | 3 | - | Mod. | HIGHEST | а |
| Semipalmated Sandpiper (M) | 3a | Mod. | 4 | Н | М | High | 5 | 70% | High | HIGHEST | b |
| Purple Sandpiper (W) | 2b | Low | 4 | М | Н | High | 5 | 90% | High | HIGHEST | b* |
| Red-necked Phalarope (M) | 3a | Mod. | 4 | Η | М | High | 5 | 70% | High | HIGHEST | b |

Table 3. Information used to assign shorebirds to priority pools within BCR-14

| HIGH | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|------|---|---|---|------|---|-----|------|--------|-----|
| Black-bellied Plover (M) | 3a | Mod. | 3 | М | М | Mod. | 4 | 50% | High | HIGH | с |
| American Golden-Plover (M) | 4a,b | High | - | М | М | Mod. | - | 70% | High | HIGH | с |
| Upland Sandpiper (B) | 2b | Low | 4 | L | М | Mod. | 3 | <1% | Low | LOW | - |
| Whimbrel (M) | 4a | High | 4 | М | М | Mod | 3 | 30% | High | HIGH | с |
| Ruddy Turnstone (M) | 4a,b | High | 4 | L | М | Mod. | 4 | 50% | High | HIGH | с |
| Red Knot (M) | 4a | High | 4 | L | М | Mod. | 3 | 20% | High | HIGH | с |
| Short-billed Dowitcher (M) | 3a | Mod. | 3 | М | М | Mod. | 3 | 50% | High | HIGH | с |
| American Woodcock (B) | 4a | High | 4 | М | М | Mod. | 5 | 35% | High | HIGH | с |
| Red Phalarope (M) | 3a | Mod. | 3 | Н | М | Mod. | 4 | 60% | High | HIGH | с |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEDIUM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hudsonian Godwit (M) | 4b | High | 4 | L | М | Mod. | 3 | 30% | High | HIGH | c,d |
| Semipalmated Plover (M) | 2a | Low | 2 | L | М | Low | 4 | 60% | High | LOW | - |
| Killdeer (B) | 3a | Mod. | 3 | L | М | Low | 3 | 10% | Med. | LOW | - |
| American Oystercatcher (B) | 4b | High | 4 | L | - | Mod. | 3 | - | Low | MEDIUM | f |
| Willet (B) | 3c | Mod. | 3 | М | L | Mod. | 3 | 20% | High | HIGH | с |
| Sanderling (M) | 4a | High | 4 | L | М | Mod. | 3 | 40% | High | HIGH | c,d |
| Least Sandpiper (M) | 3e | Mod. | 3 | Μ | Μ | Mod. | 4 | 40% | High | HIGH | с |
| Wilson's Snipe (B) | 4a | High | 3 | Μ | Н | Mod. | 4 | 20% | High | HIGH | c,d |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greater Yellowlegs (M) | 3b | Mod. | 3 | L | L | Low | 4 | 15% | Med. | LOW | - |
| Lesser Yellowlegs (M) | 2a | Low | 2 | L | Μ | Low | 4 | 15% | Med. | LOW | - |
| Solitary Sandpiper (M) | 3b | Mod. | 3 | L | Н | Low | 4 | 15% | Med. | LOW | - |
| Spotted Sandpiper (B) | 3b | Mod. | 2 | L | Μ | Low | 4 | 30% | High | LOW | - |
| White-rumped Sandpiper (M) | 2a | Low | 2 | L | L | Low | 3 | 50% | High | LOW | - |
| Pectoral Sandpiper (M) | 2a | Low | - | L | L | Low | - | 10% | Low | LOW | - |
| Dunlin (M) | 3a | Mod. | 3 | L | М | Low | 3 | 20% | High | LOW | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sources

- 1. Canadian Shorebird Conservation Plan 2000. Appendix 4. Columns 7 and 8, and US Shorebird Conservation Plan 2001. Appendix 3
- 2. US North Atlantic Summary, column 6 (BCR-14 priority)
- 3. Atlantic Shorebird Conservation Plan (Draft May 2002). Table 2 column 3 (Conservation priority)
- 4. Quebec Shorebird Conservation Plan 2002.
- 5. US North Atlantic Summary, column 5 (AI score)
- 6. Atlantic Shorebird Conservation Plan (Draft May 2002). Table 2 column 2 (estimated % of Canadian total)

3. Geographic Approaches and Habitat Concerns

As most shorebirds are restricted to fairly typical habitats at most times of the year, it was relatively easy to identify the types of habitats used by each species when present in BCR-14, using the standard list provided at the workshop. These habitat types are listed in approximate decreasing order of importance for each species in Table 4.

Initial habitat and related conservation objectives were determined for each species, based on the consensus of the workshop participants and information from other sources available to them (Table 4). These objectives relate to specific habitat protection and stewardship activities needed within BCR-14, to ensure that sufficient high-quality habitat is available to meet the needs of each species while it is present in the breeding season, during the wintering period, or on migration.

Initial science and conservation action, in terms of the specific needs for research, monitoring and outreach were then identified for each species (Table 4). These science and outreach needs are generally needed within BCR-14 to provide sufficient information to effectively conserve the birds and their habitats here, and to enlist the of other partners and the public in doing so.

Table 4a. Important habitat types used by highest priority shorebird species in BCR-14, with their habitat and conservation objectives, and research, monitoring and outreach needs.

| Species | Habitat Types (decreasing order of importance) | Habitat and Conservation Objectives | Research, Monitoring, Outreach Needs | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Piping Plover (B) | 10. Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches 11. Mudflats | reduce disturbance on breeding beaches | • implement recovery plans | | |
| Semipalmated Sandpiper (M) | Mudflats Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches Rocky coastline | reduce disturbance at staging sites (roosts, mudflats), reduce predator disturbance, protect key staging sites | monitor inter-tidal infauna, monitor to improve population estimates, assess turnover | | |
| Purple Sandpiper (W) | 12. Rocky coastline | manage coastal harvests (rockweed, periwinkles), manage oil spills | assess impacts of coastal harvests, conduct winter surveys, develop population estimates | | |
| Red-necked Phalarope (M) | Nearshore marine areas Estuaries - open water Offshore marine areas | identify key staging areas protect present and former stop-over sites | investigate movements/declines | | |

Table 4b. Important habitat types used by high priority shorebird species in BCR-14, with their habitat and conservation objectives, and research, monitoring and outreach needs.

| Species | Habitat Types (decreasing order of importance) | Habitat and Conservation Objectives | Research, Monitoring, Outreach Needs |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Black-bellied Plover (M) | 11. Mudflats10. Sand flats, sand and gravelbeaches18. Agricultural fields andgrasslands | reduce disturbance at staging sites (roosts, mudflats), manage baitworm harvesting, protect key staging sites | improve population estimates, assess turnover (monitor) |
| American Golden-Plover (M) | 18. Agricultural fields and upland heath 6. Maritime saltmarsh 17. Blueberry barrens | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Upland Sandpiper (B) | Agricultural fields Early successional stages | • maintain and manage nesting habitat | • annual monitoring |
| Whimbrel (M) | Mudflats Blueberry barrens | • protect key staging sites | • locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Ruddy Turnstone (M) | 10. Sand flats, sand and gravelbeaches12. Rocky coastline | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Red Knot (M) | Mudflats, Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |

| Short-billed Dowitcher (M) | Mudflats Maritime saltmarsh Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • | protect key staging sites | • | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| American Woodcock (B) | Early successional stages 18. Agricultural fields and grasslands Scrub shrub wetland | • | improve habitat, implement task force recommendations | • | continue to monitor populations |
| Red Phalarope (M) | Nearshore marine areas Estuaries - open water Offshore marine areas | • | identify key staging areas protect present and former stop-over sites | • | investigate movements/declines |

Table 4c. Important habitat types used by medium priority shorebird species in BCR-14, with their habitat and conservation objectives, and research, monitoring and outreach needs.

| Species | Habitat Types (decreasing order of importance) | Habitat and Conservation Objectives | Research, Monitoring, Outreach Needs |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Hudsonian Godwit (M) | Mudflats Maritime saltmarsh Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Semipalmated Plover (M) | Mudflats Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Killdeer (B) | Agricultural fields and grasslands Agricultural fields Urban and suburban grasslands Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • | investigate declines |
| American Oystercatcher (B) | 10. Gravel beaches 11. Mudflats | manage disturbance of breeding sites | monitor breeding numbers |
| Willet (B) | 6. Maritime saltmarsh coastal 18. Coastal grasslands 11. Mudflats | secure nesting saltmarsh habitat | monitor breeding numbers |
| Sanderling (M) | 10. Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches | • protect key staging sites | • locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Least Sandpiper (M) | Mudflats Sand flats, sand and gravel beaches Maritime saltmarsh Freshwater marsh | • protect key staging sites | locate and monitor migration staging areas |
| Wilson's Snipe (B) | Freshwater marsh Coastal grasslands, agricultural grasslands | • prevent loss of nesting and staging habitat | improve harvest surveys |

4. Participants

The same group of shorebird biologists and managers attended most of the Rockland break-out sessions, and separate lists were not kept of those present at each. The following people attended at least one, and in most cases all, of the shorebird sessions:

| Yves Aubry | Canadian Wildlife Service, Québec Region |
|-------------------------|---|
| Stephen Brown Ma | anomet Center for Conservation Sciences |
| Bruce Connery Ac | adia National Park, Maine |
| Charles Duncan Th | e Nature Conservancy, Migratory Bird Program, Maine |
| Richard Elliot | Canadian Wildlife Service, Atlantic Region |
| Raymond Sarrazin | Canadian Wildlife Service, Québec Region |
| Ellen Snyder | University of New Hampshire Cooperative Extension |
| Lindsay Tudor | Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife |

In addition to those actually present at the Rockland workshop, several additional shorebird biologists who made significant contributions through earlier meetings are worthy of mention here, including:

| Sherman BoatesNova S | cotia Department of Natural Resources |
|----------------------|---|
| Rosemary Curley | Prince Edward Island Fish and Wildlife Branch |
| Brian Harrington | Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences |
| Peter Hicklin | Canadian Wildlife Service, Atlantic Region |

Process for indentifying priority waterbirds

| Original | Species | BCR | BCR | NAWCP | BCR14 | Notes |
|----------|----------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| | | responsibility | concern | Concern | new rank | |
| А | Great Cormorant | High | High | Moderate | Highest | |
| В | Greater Shearwater | High | Moderate | High | Highest | |
| А | Northern Gannet | High | High | Low | High | |
| А | Common Tern | High | High | Low | High | |
| А | Roseate Tern | Moderate | High | High | High | |
| В | Red-necked Grebe | High | Moderate | Not at risk | High | |
| В | Arctic Tern | Moderate? | High | High | High | |
| В | Razorbill | High | Moderate | Moderate | High | |
| В | Black Guillemot | High | Moderate | Not at risk | High | |
| С | Black-crowned Night | Moderate | High | Moderate | High | |
| | Heron | | | | | |
| - | Herring Gull | High | Moderate | Low | High | |
| В | Common Loon | Low | High | Moderate | Moderate | |
| В | Red-throated Loon | Low? | High? | High | Moderate | |
| В | Horned Grebe | Low? | High? | High | Moderate | |
| В | Leach's Storm-petrel | Low | High | Low | Moderate | |
| В | American Bittern | Low | High? | High | Moderate | |
| С | Yellow Rail | Low | Moderate | High | Moderate | |
| С | Black-legged | Low | Moderate | Not at risk | Moderate | |
| | Kittiwake | | | | | |
| С | Atlantic Puffin | Low | Moderate | Not at risk | Moderate | Listed as Endangered |
| | | | | | | in Maine |
| С | Least Bittern | Low | Moderate | Moderate | | |
| С | Black Tern | Low | Moderate | Moderate | | |
| С | Pied-billed Grebe | ? | Low | Not at risk | | Listed as Endangered in some states |
| С | Virginia Rail | ? | Low | Not at risk | | |
| С | Sora | ? | Low | Low | | |

The following table was used to determine priority categories for waterbird species:

Appendix C, Part 2. Priority species data sheets, providing information on population status, research and monitoring needs, and conservation objectives.

See <u>Table 2</u> in the main text of the Blueprint for a complete list of the BCR 14 priority species.

- Jump to priority waterbird species data sheets
- Jump to priority waterfowl species data sheets

Landbird Priority Species Data Sheets

| Species | Bicknell's Thrush |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; Special Concern in |
| | Canada, VT, ME, NY, NH; Uncertain population trend in BCR 14. |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | \geq 90% of breeding population in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Atmospheric deposition, methylmercury toxicity, global climate change, communications towers, ski area development, wind power development, winter habitat loss, industrial forestry in northern portion of its range |
| Population objectives | Increase population stability and numbers by 10% (PIF), no contraction of breeding range, habitat restoration and buffer zone creation on wintering grounds |
| Monitoring needs | Expand current monitoring scheme for high elevation species, fully analyze existing data to determine population changes, expand monitoring of wintering populations, continue and/or expand demographic monitoring, evaluate significance of contaminant exposure (e.g., mercury). |
| Research needs | Natal dispersal and migratory connectivity, climate change modeling, reproductive success and demographics in industrial forest landscapes, sexual habitat segregation in winter, distribution and habitat use in Cuba, Haiti, & Jamaica, methymercury toxicity, population viability analysis |
| Outreach needs | Use BITH as umbrella species to educate North Americans and Dominicans about migratory connectivity and international conservation, educate recreational users of montane forests about BITH conservation |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Secure protection for core population areas in Maritimes and Maine, secure stewardship and management agreements with forest industry in Canada, develop management plans and formal protection measures for core wintering areas, develop mitigation policies and measures to offset development projects in the U.S. (e.g., donate dollars for wintering ground protections), habitat restoration and creation of buffer zones around important habitat in wintering grounds. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Industrial forest regeneration patches in Maine and Maritimes, all unprotected areas in breeding range, Sierra de Bahoruco, Sierra de Neiba, Los Haitises and other areas in Dominican Republic and Sierra Maestra in Cuba |

| Species | Canada Warbler |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; no legal status; negative BBS population trend for BCR 14 (-2.46%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966- 1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 14% of breeding population in BCR 14; relatively high breeding densities in northern portions on this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Winter ground habitat loss, forest management (reduced vegetation structure, esp. understory) |
| Population objectives | Reverse population decline and increase BCR 14 population by 50% (PIF) |
| Monitoring needs | Expanded network of interior forest monitoring sites, addition of managed forest monitoring sites including regenerating forest sites |
| Research needs | Basic demographics, wintering habitat utilization and distribution, forest management prescriptions, relative importance of breeding habitats |
| Outreach needs | Outreach on wintering grounds, work with local communities on wintering grounds to educate them about CAWA conservation issues. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Stop loss of wintering habitat and improve breeding habitat quality to support increase in population |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | ? |

| Species | Wood Thrush |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; no legal status, declining BBS trend (-2.49%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 9.1% of breeding population in BCR 14; relatively high breeding densities in southern portion on this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Declining populations but not clear why, possible issues with habitat quality and loss of shrub/sub-canopy layers from forests, acid rain or other pollutants, and wintering habitat loss |
| Population objectives | Increase populations to adjust for long-term declines |
| Monitoring needs | Continue monitoring through BBS |
| Research needs | Demographic investigations into population declines, especially resproductive success in relation to forest condition, landscape context, and atmospheric deposition; develop forest management guidelines |
| Outreach needs | Communicate appropriate management techniques to forest managers |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Improve habitat quality to support increase in population |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Develop regional integrated forest management plan Develop forest management guidelines which would result in improving habitat quality and quantity. |

| Species | Bay-breasted Warbler |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; no legal status; possibly declining BBS trend (-1.79%/yr, P=0.27 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 9.3% of breeding population in BCR 14, mostly in Canadian portion; this BCR represents the southern edge of its breeding range; relatively high breeding densities in northern portion of this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Loss of mature spruce-fir habitat due to short rotation harvest and forest conversion, spraying for budworm, forest fragmentation, wintering ground habitat loss, perhaps global climate change in the longterm |
| Population objectives | Populations are naturally cyclical with budworm outbreaks, making it difficult to make a population target, however, attempt to increase it by 50% |
| Monitoring needs | Wintering population concerns, and BBS may not adequately monitor the more northern portion of the breeding population (north of this BCR) |
| Research needs | Investigate effects of spruce budworm and impacts of related activities to control budworm And determine if current population level reflects natural population flucuations associated with budworm outbreaks; investigate wintering ground concerns |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain existing large areas of mature spruce-fir forest |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Southeast Canada (this is the area of highest responsibility) |

| Species | Neslon's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; no legal status, stable or possibly increasing BBS trend (+1.98%/yr, P=0.60 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | >90% of eastern subspecies breeds in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues | Reduce threats from: saltmarsh loss and degradation, sea level rise, oil |
| and threats in BCR-14 | spills and pollution from industrial discharge and contaminants (mercury) |
| Population objectives | Maintain stable population in the BCR |
| Monitoring needs | This species is poorly covered by BBS – need special monitoring effort targeted at this and other saltmarsh species – inventory of New England saltmarshes has been accomplished but need a long term monitoring program Evaluate need to restore tidal flow to "historic" marsh habitat (i.e. remove tide gates and install longer culverts) |
| Research needs | Study demographics, especially factors affecting reproductive success; study winter habitat use and distribution; better understanding of the taxonomic status with the interior subspecies; develop a better population estimate; determine effects of saltmarsh restoration efforts on species and continue contaminant investigations to evaluate significance to population. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation | Protect existing breeding sites and surrounding upland habitat at all |
| objectives | occupied sites. |
| Focus area/site | |
| conservation | |
| objectives | |

| Species | Ipswich Savannah Sparrow |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; Special Concern in Canada |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 100% of the breeding population of this subspecies and most of its wintering grounds occur in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Continued protection of Sable Island breeding grounds; sea level rise; coastal development in wintering areas along the East Coast |
| Population objectives | Return to levels from 70s/80s as measured by McLaren & Stobel |
| Monitoring needs | Surveys of breeding grounds and improved discrimination from other Savannah Sparrows on Christmas Bird Counts |
| Research needs | Taxonomic status needs more work; specific winter microhabitats need better definition |
| | Initiate surveys of occupied winter range to identify and evaluate site characteristics. |
| Outreach needs | Inform coastal beachfront landowners about the species' winter habitat needs |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Needs to be informed by results from winter habitat studies |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Sable Island, NS and coastal beach areas of New England south to the Carolinas |

| Species | American Woodcock |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Highest Priority; hunted species; declining BBS trend (-6.37%/yr, P=0.01 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 19.3% of the breeding population in BCR 14 = high responsibility Estimate population of 523,000 in Maine, between 1968-2001, Maine populations declined 2.2%/year. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Forest maturation; declining even-age forest management; urbanization and other human development; pesticides/contaminants?, loss and degradation of wintering, breeding, and migrating habitat, loss and alteration of forested wetlands, adult mortality rates (predation, weather and harvest) |
| Population objectives | Stop decline by 2012 and see increases by 2022 to populations of 1970s as measured by singing ground surveys |
| Monitoring needs | Singing ground surveys are in place but need assessment for continued accuracy; monitor recruitment via harvest surveys Evaluate effects of contaminant exposure |
| Research needs | Singing ground survey evaluation; study productivity/recruitment; investigate threats from pesticides and other contaminants |
| Outreach needs | Technical assistance to private landowners (industrial and non-industrial); public forest land management planning |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Both forest management (i.e. clear-cutting, heavy partial cuts, fuel wood harvests) and the increasing harvest of early successional hardwoods may enhance habitat for woodcocks. Cuts should be planned to take advantage of moisture gradients and log landings, and semi-permanent openings should be scattered to serve as singing grounds. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Purple Finch |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (- 2.43%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 11.4% of breeding population in BCR 14; relatively high breeding densities throughout much of this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Declining population in BCR, unknown but possible threat from changes in forest structure, wintering habitat loss?? |
| Population objectives | Reverse population decline |
| Monitoring needs | Continue monitoring population trends, addition of managed forest monitoring sites |
| Research needs | Need better information on habitat associations, including forest structure; determine causes of decline |
| Outreach needs | Communicate needs for greater diversity in vegetation structure to forest managers |
| Habitat conservation objectives | provide more suitable breeding habitat through management practices that produce greater diversity of vegetation structure |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Yellow-bellied Sapsucker |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend (-1.64% /yr, P=0.02 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 11.5% of breeding population in BCR 14; relatively high breeding densities throughout much of this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Loss of nesting trees/cavities |
| Population objectives | Reverse population decline |
| Monitoring needs | |
| Research needs | Little is known about sapsucker physiology, behavior and ecology after breeding season. Investigate cause of declines |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Increase quality habitat, leave suitable cavity trees for nesting habitat after timber harvest |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Rose-breasted Grosbeak |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority; no legal status; possible BBS decline (-0.81%/yr, P=0.15 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate importance – large regional breeding population (7.7%) and possible declining population; relatively high breeding densities throughout this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Not well known, possibly declining due to maturing forest leading to a loss of mid-story vegetation structure or possibly tied to declining hardwood tree species |
| Population objectives | Stabilize and reverse population declines |
| Monitoring needs | Pretty well monitored |
| Research needs | Determine causes of declines, investigate their response to forestry practices, identify management that could be beneficial |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Black-billed Cuckoo |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority; no legal status; populations tend to be cyclic – stable continentally but decreasing in BCR (-4.37%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate importance for breeding -2.2% of breeding population in BCR 14; relatively low breeding densities throughout this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Not well known, possibly loss of appropriate shrub layer from forests as well as loss of hedgerows and roadside shrubs, likely highly susceptible to pesticides |
| Population objectives | Reverse decline, double current population |
| Monitoring needs | Adequately monitored by BBS, but need a better population estimate |
| Research needs | Habitat requirements, limiting factors, causes of decline, study possible effects of pesticides on this species and its prey |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Unknown if breeding habitat is limiting factor, conservation of hedgerows and shrublands |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Shrubland zones |

| Species | Blackpoll Warbler |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority; Special Concern in MA; declining BBS population (-7.36%/yr, P=0.01 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High densities in high elevations of U.S. portions of BCR – higher than in most of the rest of its range despite small proportion of whole range in BCR 14; also present in coastal Maine and Maritimes |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Mountaintop development, atmospheric deposition, global climate change, stopover habitat in northeastern coastal plain |
| Population objectives | Stabilize population or increase if population is declining |
| Monitoring needs | Expand existing high elevation surveys |
| Research needs | Detailed demographic breeding studies in an attempt to elucidate which factors might influence population change relative to proposed threats and magnitude of population trends, evaluate potential impacts of migration habitat degradation |
| Outreach needs | Use trans-oceanic migration as a means to increase awareness of conservation issues, educate recreational users of montane forests about Blackpoll biology and conservation |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain existing acreages of habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Olive-sided Flycatcher |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; Continental Watch List; Special Concern in Maine, declining BBS population (-3.65%/yr, P=0.006 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Small but manageable population of a species this is of high continental concern, AI score should possibly be a 3, steeply declining population rangewide, represents bog and spruce-fir habitats |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Not well known, should respond positively to disturbance and forestry but there could be ecological traps due to high predation rates at artificial edges, major threats could be habitat loss on S. American wintering grounds |
| Population objectives | Stabilize and reverse population declines, restore to pre-BBS levels, strive to double current populations |
| Monitoring needs | Needs targeted monitoring such as species-atlas project, especially in bog habitats, should strive to confirm population declines repeated on BBS |
| Research needs | What are liminig factors?, is the species reproducing sufficiently in artificial/managed habitats?, effects of silvicultural practices, especially snag retention and removal. |
| Outreach needs | Inform managers and land-owners of steeply declining and threatened populations, important to identify breeding locations and potential threats |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Indentification of key sites/habitats for species throughout the BCR 14, assessment of habitat conditions, experimental habitat manipulations to increase local populations |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Rusty Blackbird |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; PIF Continental Watch List; Special Concern in Maine & Vermont, uncertain BBS trend (-0.96%/yr, P=0.88 for 1966- 1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Uses habitat very characteristic of BCR 14 (wooded swamps, bogs, alder/willow thickets, conifer swamps, etc.), |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Adverse to clearcutting, rapid declines rangewide but uncertain in BCR 14, apparently vulnerable to competition from open area species, reduction of wooded wetlands, large territories (.5km), southern end of range (1.1% of breeding population in BCR), important habitat integrator (e.g., mosaics and snags) |
| Population objectives | Double current population (PIF) |
| Monitoring needs | Not well known in BCR14, need basic information on distribution and demography, uncertain population trends |
| Research needs | Document breeding habitat needs in BCR 14; more information on home range |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Large spruce bog wetland mosaics in "reserve" status and embedded in landscape level management areas with appropriate forest management |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Chimney Swift |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend (-3.78% /yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 1.8% of breeding population in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Population declines, loss of nesting structures (chimneys, big trees), potential decline of prey availability due to pesticides, pesticide toxicity |
| Population objectives | Stabilize population |
| Monitoring needs | Develop survey method for this species as BBS routes are not adequate for monitoring this species. |
| Research needs | Investigate reasons for declines |
| Outreach needs | Work with the industrial forest industry to leave more large old trees that could serve as nest sites, landowner contacts should be made at each site to encourage proper management of the species, distribute information materials to landowners on the use of roof tops/chimneys as nesting sites, develop and implement public education programs to encourage reports of Chimney Swifts and aid in the monitoring and assessement of the populations. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Preserve more large old trees, especially in the industrial forest |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Eastern Wood-Pewee |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; continental declines but common near forest clearings and edges in deciduous or mixed forest; declining BBS trend (-2.99%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate responsibility – 4% of breeding population in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats not well understood, apart from habitat loss |
| Population objectives | Halt declines and stabilize the population trend |
| Monitoring needs | Well covered by BBS – continue monitoring through BBS |
| Research needs | Identify causes of declines in populations. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Deciduous forest zones |

| Species | American Redstart |
|--|---|
| Status | High priority in BCR 14; no legal status; declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (-1.07%/yr, P=0.013 for 1966-1999) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | According to BBS estimates, >8% of this species population occurs in BCR 14. These same data also indicate a persistent population decline. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Some data suggest population decline may be partially a result of forest maturation over the last 40-50 years, although redstarts remain common in many older forests. Equally important may be habitat conversion on the wintering grounds, which has been linked to lower survival, a biased sex ratio, and delayed arrival to breeding grounds. |
| Population objectives | If habitat maturation is behind declines, it is unreasonable to expect regional populations to rebound to historic levels. In any event, the species is still common, and a simple reversal of declines may be sufficient to maintain it. |
| Monitoring needs | Probably adequately sampled by BBS |
| Research needs | Although heavily studied, the habitat relationship mentioned above has not been studied with respect to productivity. In other words, do redstarts produce more young in the early-to-mid successional habitats where they are more common? Additional research has implicated winter-ground events in survivorship, and we can thus not ignore landscape level processes operating outside of BCR 14. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | This species may benefit most from some sort of shifting mosaic approach. See comments under Population Objectives above. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Redstarts will likely benefit from the maintenance of large areas of habitat where natural successional processes are allowed or simulated. |

| Species | Black-throated Blue Warbler |
|--|--|
| Status | High Priority in BCR 14; no legal status; stable BBS trend in BCR 14 (+0.964%/yr; P=0.285 for 1966-1999) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High BCR responsibility (32% of breeding population). Highest concentrations occur in parts of this BCR (Adirondacks, etc.) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Forest maturation may reduce preferred habitat in some areas, unknown effects of forest pathogens on habitat structure and thus productivity. The species is declining in Adirondacks, leading to questions and concerns about what is going on there. The potential impacts of declines in sugar maple, American beech, and even eastern hemlock are also of concern. However, in many parts of BCR 14 the species is stable or increasing, and often reaches high densities where it occurs. |
| Population objectives | Maintain current populations |
| Monitoring needs | Well sampled by BBS and other forest studies. |
| Research needs | None – perhaps one of the most studied warblers in North America. |
| Outreach needs | Perhaps BTBW could be crafted into a "flagship species" for healthy hardwood/transition forests. It's certainly attractive enough, and could be the source of numerous fascinating ecological stories spun for the general public. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain current configurations |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Large areas of mature forest with well-developed understory structure would probably be the best way to ensure this species' continued presence. |

| Species | Boreal Chickadee |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend (-6.54% /yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | permanent resident; some areas of higher breeding density in Nova Scotia and northern Maine/southern New Brunswick |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Supply of habitat (forest management), rangewide population decline and significant longterm decline in BCR 14 |
| Population objectives | Stabilize population trend |
| Monitoring needs | Clarify how well BBS samples this species |
| Research needs | Investigate causes of decline (including winter ecology and forest fragmentation), clarify habitat relationships (stand level and patch size) |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Determine how much habitat for this species currently exists and develop a process to determine a biologically meaningful habitat threshold (objective) |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Spruce/fir forests within the BCR |

| Species | Chestnut-sided Warbler |
|---|--|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; decreasing BBS population but still widespread (-1.54%/yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 12.8% of breeding population in BCR 14; focal species for early successional habitat in this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Limited and declining early successional/edge habitat; predation; housing developments |
| Population objectives | Reverse declines and increase population |
| Monitoring needs | Monitor populations in clearcuts, including reproductive success |
| Research needs | Study area requirements for stable populations; investigate population demographics in different successional habitats (e.g., edges vs clearcuts); how best to manage early successional habitat for this species; develop prescriptions for maintaining sufficient amounts of early successional habitat for dependent species within greater context of a balanced forest ecosystem |
| Outreach needs | Educate the public about the role of natural disturbance, how it has been suppressed in many places, and the species that are dependent on these habitats |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain and increase areas with early successional habitat – work with timber industry on managing clearcuts |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Cape May Warbler |
|---|---|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; possible BBS declines (-1.54%/yr, P=0.28 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 4.1% of breeding population in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Concern over long term loss of mature conifer forests |
| Population objectives | Halt declines and strive for long term population increase |
| Monitoring needs | Continue BBS monitoring – seems to be sufficient for now |
| Research needs | Relationships to spruce budworm cycles |
| Outreach needs | Discuss need of this and related species for older growth conifer forest with forest managers and develop a link to forest management planning |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain existing patches of mature conifer forest and incorporate plans for older growth patches into forest management |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Remaining patches of mature conifer forest |

| Species | Veery |
|--|--|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend (-1.19% /yr, P=0.002 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 16.1% of breeding population in BCR 14 = high responsibility; high breeding densities throughout most of this BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Loss of understory in maturing forests; also uses early to mid successional forests, so loss of successional forests is of concern |
| Population objectives | Halt population declines |
| Monitoring needs | Continue monitoring by BBS |
| Research needs | Investigate reasons for decline and determine status of wintering habitat. |
| Outreach needs | ? |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Increase habitat quality by improving understory vegetation structure in maturing forests; species also makes use of early and mid-successional forest so it may benefit from shifting mosaic practices. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | ? |
| Species | Blackburnian Warbler |
|--|--|
| Status | Moderate Priority in BCR 14; no legal status; increasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (+1.5%/yr, P=0.030 for 1966-1999) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High BCR responsibility (14% of population). Moderate BCR threat. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Spread of hemlock wooly adelgid and impact on eastern hemlock forests; habitat loss on wintering grounds |
| Population objectives | Maintain current populations |
| Monitoring needs | Not as well sampled by BBS as many other forest species, but it's not clear how to remedy this situation other than with extensive use of habitat specific surveys. |
| Research needs | Develop a better understanding of the role of stand age and stand structure on habitat quality and ultimately survival and reproductive success |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Ensure that a minimum of 10%-20% of sub-regional planning units (commercial licenses, townships, etc.) involved in timber production be maintained as mature or overmature coniferous forest; for conservation lands that support coniferous forest, maintenance of considerably larger percentages of land area in mature or overmature age classes is desirable to offset potential shortfalls or temporal bottlenecks. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Large areas of mature conifer or mixed conifer-hardwood forests would probably be the best way to ensure this species' continued presence. |

| Species | Bobolink |
|--|--|
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority; no legal status; declining BBS trend (-2.23% /yr, P<0.001 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 9.3% of continental breeding population in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | >50% population decline during past 30 years; grassland management – haying during the breeding season; loss of grassland habitat; elevated predation near edges? |
| Population objectives | Halt population declines |
| Monitoring needs | Continue BBS monitoring; also monitor the rate of loss of habitat in high priority areas and the distribution of habitat and populations across landscapes within the region (metapopulation/ source-sink perspective) |
| Research needs | Conduct a population viability analysis; investigate metapopulation structure and source-sink dynamics; pesticide use on winter grounds |
| Outreach needs | Promote grassland management techniques that benefit grassland birds to farmers and other grassland managers |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain suitable habitat distributed across the landscape to support viable metapopulation structure |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Common Nighthawk |
|--|---|
| Status | High Priority in BCR 14; declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (-2.106%/yr, P=0.035 for 1966-1999) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Declines have been documented in (all Canadian jurisdictions, NH). Species is otherwise widespread across much of North America, and remains common over much of its range. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | At least in NH, threats are believed to be two-fold: 1) conversion of urban rooftops from small gravel to other materials 2) loss of pine barrens and similar habitats In addition, other threats may include loss of prey base, wintering ground effects, and habitat maturation (understory growth, fire suppression). |
| Population objectives | Good question. If nothing else, it would be desirable to have the species return to portions of its range that have been vacated in the last 10-20 years. |
| Monitoring needs | Targeted monitoring (both rural and urban populations), demographic research, effects of roof construction, pesticide/contaminant effects. |
| Research needs | Demographic and micro-habitat selection data are lacking that could test the roof-change hypothesis for urban declines. |
| Outreach needs | In absence of the above, it can't hurt to make groups working on urban revitalization and urban wildlife issues aware of the nighthawk decline, and perhaps encourage changes in roof construction where feasible (and where nighthawks persist). |
| Habitat conservation objectives | See above. Also, "no net loss" of pine barrens. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Poorly known, populations are small in all U.S. jurisdictions in BCR 14 (larger urban areas that support the species are generally in BCR 30). In NH, a relatively large population occurs in the Ossipee Pine Barrens, and another is in the city of Keene. |

| Species | Northern Parula |
|--|--|
| Status | Moderate Priority in BCR 14, increasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (+1.357%/yr, P=0.028 for 1966-1999) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High BCR responsibility (23% of population). Moderate BCR threat. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Habitat loss? In southern areas of BCR, species is most common in largely marginal coniferous habitats. This situation may be different in northern Maine and Canada, where extensive tracts of pulpwood are still harvested extensively. There may also be some cause for concern about the effects of air pollution on <i>Usnea</i> lichens, which are a preferred nesting material. |
| Population objectives | Maintain current populations |
| Monitoring needs | Fairly well sampled by BBS, and as such may not need additional work. |
| Research needs | Effects of air pollution on <i>Usnea</i> ? |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Large areas of mature conifer forest would probably be the best way to ensure this species' continued presence. |

| Species | Ruffed Grouse |
|--|--|
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority; hunted species; declining BBS trend (-5.32% /yr, P=0.03 for 1966-1999 in BCR 14) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | 3.2% of breeding population in BCR 14; important recreational species |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Loss of early successional habitat; importance of industrial forest practices for the viability of populations in this BCR; public perception against even-age forest management, but this species does best with a mosaic of habitat types and ages, so cutting smaller blocks within a mosaic might better than one large clearcut |
| Population objectives | Halt declines and increase population (double current population?) |
| Monitoring needs | More widespread use of drumming surveys |
| Research needs | |
| Outreach needs | Education on the benefit of even-age forestry for ruffed grouse and associated species; heavy selection cuts can also create good habitat |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Increase early-successional habitat; cluster management sites |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Upland Sandpiper |
|--|--|
| Status | moderate priority in BCR 14 Endangered in ME, *MA, *NH, *VT; T in *NY - *Note that few if any UPSAs occur in the BCR 14 portions of these states. |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Significant declines in BCR, although bulk of population occurs elsewhere. Largely persists in heavily managed habitats (airports, agricultural land) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Habitat loss and management. Continued losses of agricultural land to succession or development are the main cause of this species' decline in the region. Where it does occur, mowing regimes or pesticide use may compromise survival or reproduction. Also concerns about trend toward more intensive agricultural practices. |
| Population objectives | Increase populations at currently occupied sites? (since I don't even know where these are in the BCR – except NE Maine – I'm not sure how feasible this is, and thus remain unconvinced of the role of BCR 14 in any long-term conservation strategy for the species) |
| Monitoring needs | Do we even have a good handle on the regional population? Might be more of an inventory need. |
| Research needs | Apply findings from core of range. |
| Outreach needs | Work with farmers and airport managers to develop management agreements that allow multiple uses at UPSA sites. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Blueberry barrens in Maine and Maritime Provinces; potato farms in NE Maine |

| Species | Whip-poor-will |
|--|--|
| Status | moderate priority in BCR 14, conservation concern in NH |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | "high BCR threat" Anecdotal declines are apparent overmuch of (northern?) range, and some of the current concern for this species rests on the fact that this decline is difficult to document. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Presumably, the largest threat to this species in the BCR is habitat loss. WPWs prefer open forests or early successional habitat with well-drained soils, and such areas are often the first to go for development, gravel pits, and the like. Since it nests on the ground, increasing predator populations in habitat fragments may also be an important factor. There has also been some discussion about possible declines in populations of the large night flying insects on which WPWs feed. |
| Population objectives | Uncertain, since there are no clear benchmarks to work with. |
| Monitoring needs | Species is not well-sampled by BBS, and thus requires targeted nocturnal surveys in appropriate habitats. Some of these have been initiated in MA and parts of NH, but there may be a need for some sort of range-wide program modeled on the BBS. The benefit here is that the species is easily identifiable and often very vocal. |
| Research needs | Currently there are no concrete data on habitat preference beyond the largely anecdotal. |
| Outreach needs | Whip-poor-wills may be a good flagship species for pine barrens and similar habitats. There is a certain "romanticism" about them that may be useful in galvanizing public support for habitat conservation. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Hard to say until habitat/population relationships are better known. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | I suspect all jurisdictions could identify their current WPW hotspots. In NH they include (but are probably not limited to) the Ossipee Pine Barrens and areas near the Merrimack River and its higher order tributaries. |

| Species | Yellow-bellied Flycatcher |
|--|---|
| Status | Common breeder in high-elevation and lowland spruce-fir forests in BCR 14, north of 44 degrees latitude. BBS data show stable numbers in BCR 14. |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Nonsignificant trends elsewhere and BCR-wide, fluctuating numbers in White Mountain National Forest between 1992 and 1999. Nonsignificant trend between 1991-2000 at high elevations in Vermont. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: Degradation of habitat from forest fragmentation and human development (i.e. ski resorts); potential conversion of spruce-fir forests to hardwoods due to climate change. |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population |
| Monitoring needs | Adequately monitored at high elevations by Mountain Birdwatch and at high latitudes by BBS. |
| Research needs | Research needs are extensive and include: life history, vocal repertoire, effects of acid rain on conifer-forest habitat and the impacts of forest-and wetland- management practices. Little knowledge is due to the remoteness of its habitat, its northern distribution, and its low levels of detectability. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Conservation and management of arroyo vegetation in wintering grounds located in Southern Mexico and Central America. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Adirondacks, Green Mountains, White Mountains, Essex County, VT, northern Coos County, NH |

| Species | Black-backed Woodpecker |
|--|--|
| Status | Uncommon in spruce-fir forests of Adirondacks, Essex County, VT, northern New Hampshire, northern Maine, eastern Quebec, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, PEI. |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | BBS data suggest a stable or slightly increasing population in BCR 14 for the period of 1966–2003. However, BBS data for period of 1980-2003 shows significant downward trends in BCR 14. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: Suppression of forest fire in fire-dependent systems; climate change; and short rotation timber harvesting. |
| Population objectives | Increase populations in BCR 14. |
| Monitoring needs | Increase the number of nests monitored to determine nest success and gather more data on breeding and wintering foraging behavior in logged and unlogged burned forests. |
| Research needs | .Investigate the spatial and temporal pattern of stand-replacement fires; examine relationship between prefire forest structure and postfire bird communities; quantify numeric responses of Black-backed woodpeckers to outbreaks of spruce beetles in the absence of fire and increase understanding of basic demographic information of the species. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Species prefers flooded, burned or old-spruce-fir forests, where cavity trees > 30cm dbh are available and where wood- and bark-boring insects are abundant. Diminish the chance of large, severe wildlife fires, which may have negative consequences for Black-backed woodpecker, with prescribed burning programs and clearing of vegetative fuels |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | In Vermont, Victory and Nulhegan Basins; in New Hampshire, White Mountain National Forest and northern Coos County; for Adirondacks, consult Brian McCallister (birder64@yahoo.com). |

| Species | Boreal Owl |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | Few reliable estimates available. |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Little known of importance of this BCR to the species. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: Indirect effects of forest cutting are probably the most important influence of humans upon the species. In addition, reduction of prey numbers due to timber harvest and climate change. |
| Population objectives | Increase populations in BCR 14. |
| Monitoring needs | Monitor demographic characteristics |
| Research needs | Investigation of demographic information and characteristics, local and regional populations, and response to habitat change is highly needed for this species. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Management should involve retaining large-diameter snags in clearcuts and could include establishing systems of nest boxes to monitor populations. In coniferous forests with patches of large-diameter aspen, retention of aspen for nesting may be important. Mature and older forests provide quality nesting, foraging, and summer roosting habitat. Selective tree harvest may allow for tree removal while maintaining suitable habitat. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Blue-winged Warbler |
|--|---|
| Status | High Priority in BCR 14; no legal status; species has been expanding its range northward into this BCR; BBS trends show potential increase $(+4.8\%/yr; P = 0.22 \text{ for } 1966-2002)$ but with a small number of routes |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate BCR responsibility (1% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: urban/suburban development; lack of adequate disturbance events in forested areas in southern portions of the BCR |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population levels |
| Monitoring needs | Does not yet occur on large enough number of BBS routes to adequately track population trends within this BCR; track population expansion through atlas efforts and other monitoring programs |
| Research needs | Study how regional patterns of forestry, human development, and farmland abandonment may lead to continued range expansion or contraction |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Conserve and enhance adequate shrub / early successional habitat to promote sustained populations of native species within this habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Long-eared Owl |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 High Priority No BBS data |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Insufficient information to know |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss and degradation of open habitats used especially for foraging and loss of suitable nest sites |
| Population objectives | At a minimum, maintain current population levels until more information becomes available |
| Monitoring needs | Develop and implement a monitoring program for nocturnal species, especially owls; need to determine population size and trends |
| Research needs | Develop understanding of habitat requirements and limiting factors |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Bald Eagle |
|-------------------------|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| | Federally Threatened – U.S. |
| | Increasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (+4.9%/yr; P = 0.09 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of | Moderate BCR responsibility (1% of global breeding population) |
| BCR-14 | |
| | |
| Conservation issues and | Threats: alteration of wetland habitat through draining, dredging, filling, |
| threats in BCR-14 | pollution, acid rain, agricultural practices, and siltation.; contamination |
| | from various pollutants |
| | |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population levels |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Monitoring needs | Maintain current levels of monitoring |
| | |
| | |
| Research needs | |
| | |
| | |
| Outreach needs | |
| | |
| | |
| Habitat conservation | preservation of existing wetland sites should be the first priority |
| objectives | |
| | |
| | |
| Focus area/site | |
| conservation objectives | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Species | Bank Swallow |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Decreasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (-2.1%/yr; P= 0.21 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate-High BCR Responsibility (5% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss of nesting sites; risks from pesticides and other contaminants? |
| Population objectives | Increase BCR population by 10% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | BBS may not be the best long-term population-monitoring method because of ephemeral nature of colony sites. Breeding Bird Atlases could be a better technique because colony sites can be sought out and documented more readily. (from Birds of North America account) |
| Research needs | Better understanding of limiting factors; habitat usage, distribution, and behavior during migration and winter in the Americas (from Birds of North America account) |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protect existing nest sites and create additional nest sites |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Barn Swallow |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | Moderate Priority in BCR 14 Decreasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (-4.0%/vr: P < 0.01 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate-High BCR Responsibility (2% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: modern buildings and farming practices may reduce suitability of nest sites and foraging areas; unknown if pesticides and other contaminants are a threat |
| Population objectives | Increase population by 50% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Adequately covered by BBS |
| Research needs | Investigate limiting factors and causes of declines |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Black-throated Green Warbler |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Stable BBS trend in BCR 14 (+ 0.2% /yr; P = 0.73 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High BCR Responsibility (18.4% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: forest management resulting in changes in species, age, and structural composition of deciduous and mixed forests; habitat loss and fragmentation associated with development; habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 10% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | |
| Research needs | Demographic studies to help determine limiting factors |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | target large blocks of deciduous/mixed forest for conservation; develop comprehensive forest management plan to maintain adequate amount of all priority forest types and successional stages |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Brown Creeper |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Possible increasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (+4.6%/yr; P = 0.13 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate-High BCR responsibility (6.2% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: decreased habitat suitability due to forest harvest - needs large trees, large snags, and older-growth forests for feeding and nesting sites; Species strongly associated with older, conifer and conifer-hardwood forests, where large-diameter live trees for foraging sites and large-diameter, dead or dying trees with sloughing bark or thick bark for nest sites are abundant due to natural processes. (from Birds of North America account) |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 10% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Special monitoring methods would help to better track trends of this bird (an inconspicuous, interior forest associate) - not sampled well by the BBS. |
| Research needs | Information on basic breeding biology; better understanding of effects of logging and forest fragmentation |
| Outreach needs | Develop guidelines for forest management that benefit species in need of some older, larger trees |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Promote silvicultural treatments that retaining a high density of the largest trees and snags available. High density of large live trees, dying trees and snags likely to be essential to retain suitable foraging and nesting habitat. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Gray Jay |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Uncertain BBS trend in BCR 14 (-0.8%/yr; P = 0.42 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Low BCR responsibility (<1% of global population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss of suitable habitat |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | |
| Research needs | |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Horned Lark |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Decreasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (-10.9%/yr; P < 0.01 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Low BCR responsibility (<1% of global population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss of open land associated with declining farm practices including residential development and reversion to forest; agricultural practices; contaminants |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 50% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Monitored reasonably well by BBS; targeted grassland bird surveys might provide better method for tracking regional trends |
| Research needs | Demographic studies to better understand limiting factors and population structure |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Develop best management practices and implement integrated management plans for grasslands on public and private lands |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Northern Flicker |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Stable BBS trend in BCR 14 (-0.6%/yr; P = 0.08 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate-high BCR Responsibility (2.4% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss of suitable nest-cavity trees; competition for nest cavities; contaminants; |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 50% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Appears to be covered well by BBS |
| Research needs | Basic research to determine whether nest-substrate availability limits populations, including more experiments involving manipulation of snag density; demographic studies to determine other possible limiting factors (from Birds of North America account) |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Retain more snags and some larger live trees as part of forest management practices to increase suitable substrates for nest cavities |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Northern Goshawk |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| | Uncertain BBS trend in BCR 14 (-8.9%/yr; P = 0.50 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate BCR Responsibility (1.5% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and | Threats: human disturbance at nests and roost sites; degradation of habitat |
| threats in BCR-14 | from inappropriate timber harvest; contaminants |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 10% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Better monitoring program for tracking population trends of forest- breeding raptors is needed |
| Research needs | Develop understanding of how predation, competition, landscape alteration, and food availability regulate populations. Determine how changes in forest structure and landscape pattern affect population viability. (from Birds of North America account) |
| Outreach needs | Communicate to forest landowners and managers appropriate forest management practices that will benefit Goshawks and improve habitat suitability |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Northern Harrier |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| | Stable BBS trend in BCR 14 (0.0%/yr; P = 0.99 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate BCR Responsibility (1% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and | Threats: loss of grassland and wetland habitats for breeding and foraging |
| threats in BCR-14 | activities; disturbance at nests and roost sites; contaminants |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 50% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Current monitoring activities seem to be adequate |
| Research needs | Assess the impact of predation on reproduction; monitor habitat loss and the effects of environmental contaminants on populations |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Ovenbird |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Stable BBS trend in BCR14 (+0.3%/yr; P = 0.36 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | High BCR Responsibility (11.6% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: forest fragmentation, especially in southern portion of the BCR where there is more human development; |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population levels (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Monitored adequately by BBS |
| Research needs | Quantified information on habitat and densities by habitat type throughout the winter range is needed to improve understanding of winter ecology. Information on habitat used during migration also needed. |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Conserve large tracts of contiguous deciduous or deciduous-mixed forest habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Palm Warbler |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| | Increasing BBS trend in BCR 14 ($\%$ 3.4/yr; P = 0.08 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate BCR Responsibility (1% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and | Threats: loss of bog habitat especially in Quebec, from draining and neat |
| threats in BCR-14 | harvesting; collision with structures (i.e., communication towers) during migration; fragmentation of upland forest around bog/peat lands |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population level (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Appears to be adequately monitored by BBS |
| Research needs | Information on basic breeding biology and demography |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protect critical bog habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Peregrine Falcon |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| | No BBS data – population larger than during DDT years |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Low BCR Responsibility (0.25% of global breeding population) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | recently federally de-listed; still species of concern in most jurisdictions |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population level (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Need for monitoring population trends and productivity as part of de- listing activities |
| Research needs | |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protect nesting sites from physical alteration or destruction and from excessive human disturbances |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Pine Grosbeak |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Decreasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (-5.0%/yr; P = 0.20 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Moderate-Low Responsibility for the entire BCR (0.5% of global breeding population), but much higher responsibility in Canada |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Unknown what the threats might be from forest management practices |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 10% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Might not be surveyed well by BBS due to low breeding densities in many areas – need to develop better means of tracking trends of low density birds; assess population status |
| Research needs | Effects of forest management on the abundance, breeding density, and nesting success of this species |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Short-eared Owl |
|--|--|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority No BBS data |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Low BCR Responsibility for breeding population; Moderate BCR Responsibility for migrating and wintering populations |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Considered to have high threats in this BCR due to lack of suitable breeding habitat and impacts of agricultural practices and human development |
| Population objectives | Double current population (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Poorly monitored by BBS; need monitoring program specifically targeting owls and other nocturnal or crepuscular birds |
| Research needs | Assess factors other than habitat loss (e.g., levels of prey or predation) that might be affecting distribution and abundance |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | maintain large continuous tracts of habitat for the owl and its prey |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Vesper Sparrow |
|--|---|
| | |
| Status | BCR 14 Moderate Priority Decreasing BBS trend in BCR 14 (-3.3%/yr; P = 0.05 for 1966-2002) |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Low BCR Responsibility (0.11% of global breeding population), but higher responsibility for eastern subspecies |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats: loss of open agricultural grasslands; changes toward more intense agricultural practices; human development |
| Population objectives | Increase current population by 50% (PIF continental objective) |
| Monitoring needs | Appears to be adequately covered by BBS, although a targeted regional grassland survey effort might provide better regional estimates of population trends |
| Research needs | Studies of breeding ecology and demography to help identify limiting factors |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Within the agriculturally dominated landscapes of the BCR, utilize grassland easements and other management programs to maintain open grassland habitat with appropriate management practices (e.g., late mowing). |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

Waterbird Priority Species Data Sheets

| Species | Common Loon |
|--|---|
| Status | moderate priority for BCR 14 NAWCP status - moderate Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) NY – Special Concern |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 4% of NA population Breeds across BCR 14 with exception of extreme southern populations. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Acidification, mercury, habitat degradation & loss, disturbance, oil pollution in winter |
| Population objectives | Maintain current levels (Marshbird Plan [NAWCP 2]) 550,000 NA [NAWCP] 11,000 BCR 14 [NAWCP] 15% increase = 6,785 individuals in BCR 14 [MANEM regional waterbird group] |
| Monitoring objectives | Continue and expand the Canadian Lakes Loon Survey; survey for population abundance and distribution in areas not completely monitored across the BCR; monitor productivity; migration monitoring V, NH, Ma monitoring every pair Me, NY, NS partial monitoring NB, PQ little monitoring |
| Research objectives | Determine impacts of human disturbance Linking wintering and breeding populations Identify appropriate cencus interval Continued work on mercury Impacts of botulism outbreaks and emaciation syndrome |
| Outreach needs | Need to continue high level of outreach and education in southern regions of BCR 14 as development and recreation along waterbodies increases |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Reduce disturbance in key breeding areas Protect wetland habitat Protect coastal wintering areas from oil spills |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Large wetlands (>10 acres) |

| Species | Red-throated Loon |
|--|---|
| Status | Moderate priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | Important wintering area Continental population 125,000 pairs |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Oil pollution By-catch Biotoxins Contaminants |
| Population objectives | Default population objective is to maintain current population, until better monitoring information becomes available. [MANEM regional waterbird group] |
| Monitoring objectives | Need improved monitoring to determine status and develop population objectives; Conduct migration/ wintering counts |
| Research objectives | Determine genetic relatedness between eastern and western populations |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Red-necked Grebe |
|--|---|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 Currently not at risk (COSEWIC, NAWCP) |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | Eastern pop'n: 15-20% (wintering) NA pop'n: 55-70,000 pairs Wintering and migratory use of BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Reduce threats from oil pollution in coastal waters, commercial fisheries by-catch, biotoxins, contaminants |
| Population objectives | Maintain current populations [MANEM regional waterbird group] |
| Monitoring objectives | develop methods; coastal surveys Conduct winter/ migration surveys |
| Research objectives | Determine genetic relatedness between eastern and western populations |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Reduce exposure to oil pollution in over wintering, marine areas |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Leach's Storm-Petrel |
|--|---|
| Status Importance and Role of BCR-14 | Moderate priority for BCR 14 NACWP - low concern Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) Population has declined since 19070s; current BCR population estimate is 220,700 <0.1% of NA population |
| | |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | gull predation in small colonies; human disturbance; fox predation (Magdalen Islands) 80% of Maine population on 2 islands separated by 1 mile (Maine population 10,000 pair) Potential contaminants - mercury has increased 73% at Canadian colonies 1970s - 2000 |
| Population objectives | Restore BCR population to 1970's level (345,000-420,000); increase size and number of small colonies [MANEM regional waterbird group] |
| Monitoring objectives | Establish monitoring program at main colonies (see below) |
| Research objectives | Estimate demographic parameter values, in particular breeding success and survival; impact of gull predation |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Reduce gull and fox predation at affected colonies Develop techniques to enhance habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Country Island Kent Island Bon Portage Island Great Duck Island, Me Little Duck Island, Me Brion Island, Qu Bonaventure, Qu (abandoned; foxes) |

| Species | Great Cormorant |
|--|--|
| Status | highest priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | >90% of NA population (~11,000 pairs) - very high responsibility in BCR 136 pairs in Maine at 8 sites, 660 pairs in Quebec, remainder in NS, PEI BCR population estimated at 12,300 breeding birds. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Occasional DCCO hunt; "nuisance" status in New Brunswick Threats include: Persecution, bycatch (QC), predation from gulls and eagles, habitat loss, competition for nesting, changes in food availability, very sensitive to human visitation and disturbance on nesting islands, limited number and distribution of colonies. |
| | Only a few colonies have large numbers (impact on these colonies could significantly impact population) |
| Population objectives | |
| Monitoring objectives | Continue regular monitoring (every 5 years in NS, every year in PEI) Need to develop more strategies to monitor the species productivity. |
| Research objectives | Estimate breeding success at selected colonies Evalute factors that limit population growth. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Continue efforts to secure priority nesting islands through conservation ownership. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Atlantic coast of NS Prince Edward Island Cape Breton Island St. Lawrence Estuary |

| Species | Northern Gannet |
|--|---|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - low concern Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 69% of NA population at two colonies in QC; very high responsibility in BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats include: Population increasing - human persecution in NB Oil Spills Bycatch Mortality seen on beaches in NB (physical injury) Numbers concentrated in two large colonies |
| Population objectives | 125,000 pairs breeding historically in this region of Quebec. Population currently increasing. Objective: maintain current trends (>3% increase/ year) Currently 53,820 pairs |
| Monitoring objectives | Continue to monitor every 5 years |
| Research objectives | Estimate survival rates of adults Explore potential to establish as a breeding species in Gulf of Maine. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Historically nested in southwest NS, and Bay of Fundy, NB but unrealistic at this time to set objective to restore these sites. One pair did nest in NB in last few years so possibility that restoration could occur naturally. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Bonaventure Island QC Bird Rocks QC Migration through BCR |

| Species | American Bittern |
|--|---|
| Status | moderate priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) PiF - trend listed as 5 (declining) |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 4% of NA breeding population Low responsibility in BCR 14 but listed as high concern continentally (NAWCP). Wide spread; responsibility may be spread across many BCRs each with small responsibility. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | wetland loss; lack of information BBS shows declines (insignificant?) Anecdotal data suggests declines and range contractions |
| Population objectives | Maintain or increase number of breeding pairs |
| Monitoring objectives | Establish marsh-bird monitoring program to collect baseline abundance and distribution data and monitor long-term population trends; develop monitoring methods |
| Research objectives | Impacts of invasive species Impacts of water level management |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protect wetland habitat |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Large wetlands (>10 acres) |

| Species | Black-crowned Night Heron |
|--|--|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - moderate concern Not currently at risk |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 10% of NA breeding population BCR 14: 5000 individuals NA: 50,000 individuals Moderate responsibility but no clear threats or declines. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Declining Canada-wide but increasing in QC Stable or increasing in NB (Inkerman MBS) Habitat destruction, limited breeding sites Persecution |
| Population objectives | Increase population – current BCR population estimate is 12,000; target population is 16,000-19,000 |
| Monitoring objectives | Continue to monitor at Inkerman MBS |
| Research objectives | Establish whether they pose a threat to tern populations in BCR 14 via egg and chick predation |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protect integrity of existing breeding and foraging habitat. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Inkerman MBS & northeast NB St. Lawrence Island |
| Species | Yellow Rail |
|--|--|
| Status | Moderate priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern COSEWIC Species of Special Concern Probably breeding on Gaspe; consistent breeding unlikely in ME, NB, NS, PEI |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | Low BCR responsibility, high continental concern. NB and NS may provide important stop over habitat for a small number of birds |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Wetland loss; lack of information |
| Population objectives | Objective still needed – need information on current population and status |
| Monitoring objectives | Establish marsh-bird monitoring programme; develop methods; determing current status and population size in BCR |
| Research objectives | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Black-legged Kittiwake |
|--|---|
| Status | moderate priority for BCR 14 |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 3.5% of NA breeding population NA population: 3 million individuals BCR population: 111,000 individuals Low BCR responsibility but declines in other portions of range |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Declining in QC, as well as BCR 8 (North of BCR 14) |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population |
| Monitoring objectives | Continue regular monitoring (every 5 years) and establish new monitoring program at Cape Breton Island colonies and in Bay of Fundy |
| Research objectives | Breeding success |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Common Tern |
|------------------------------|---|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 |
| | NAWCP - low concern |
| | Declines in PEI, NB, QC both in distribution and abundance |
| Importance and Role of | 19% of NA population |
| BCR-14 | Reasonably large proportion of continental population. |
| | |
| | Some debate whether this was A or B. |
| Conservation issues and | Population and colony declines: sensitive colonial nester |
| threats in BCR-14 | Predation (gulls) |
| | Competition for nest sites (gulls) |
| | Changes in food availability |
| | Coastal development |
| | Concentrated population (Maine: 90% on 6 islands) |
| | Conservation dependent |
| Population objectives | Increase population size and number of colonies |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Monitoring objectives | Regular monitoring required over wide areas (colonies move) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Research objectives | Breeding success and causes of low breeding success |
| | Determine association of commercial fisheries and climate change with |
| | food availability. |
| | Continue to research foraging habitat, migration rates and winter habitat |
| | use and distributions. |
| Habitat conservation | Increase the number of historic and currently occupied nesting islands in |
| objectives | conservation ownership. |
| | Restoration of historical sites including nest shelters, sign posting, |
| | seasonal closure of islands, etc. |
| Forma once /site | Mandalan Jalanda OC |
| Focus area/site | Magdalen Islands QC |
| conservation objectives | Kouchibouguae NP NB Term Jaland (Tehusintee Dev) |
| | Country Island NS |
| | The Prothers NS |
| | MSI ND |
| | St Lawrence Islands OC |
| | Doverty Beach DEI |
| | POVERY DEACH PEI |

| Species | Arctic Tern |
|--|---|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern Maine - threatened |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 1.9% of NA population Very clumped distribution in Maine Breeding and pelagic use of BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Population and colony declines; sensitive colonial nester Predation Competition for nest sites (gulls) Coastal development Changes in food availability. |
| Population objectives | Increase population size and number of colonies Slow population recovery compared to Common Terns and may even have declined. |
| Monitoring objectives | Regular monitoring required over wide areas (colonies move); intensive monitoring at large Machias Seal Island colony should continue |
| Research need | Estimate breeding success at selected locations on regular basis; continue intensive ecological and population research at Machias Seal Island. |
| Outreach needs | Seasonal closure of nesting islands, sign posting, education programs; develop partnerships with recreational and commercial users of coastal islands to educate them about colonial bird ecology and disturbance concerns |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Continue efforts to protect priority nesting islands through conservation ownership and restore historical sites. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Machias Seal Island Magdalen Islands QC Gaspe Peninsula Country Island NS The Brothers NS |

| Species | Roseate Tern |
|--|--|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 COSEWIC Endangered US Endangered |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 10% of NE population (450-500 pairs) NE population ~4000 pairs NA population ~16,000 pairs Small proportion of NE population |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Population & colony declines; sensitive colonial nester Predation Competition for nest sites (gulls) Coastal development |
| Population objectives | Increase population and number of colonies |
| Monitoring objectives | Regular monitoring required over wide areas (colonies move); continue intensive monitoring at Brothers Island, NS and Country Island |
| Research objectives | Identified in Recovery Strategy Continue to research foraging, habitat, migration routes, winter habitat use and distribution. Initiate research to determine association with commercial fisheries and climate change to food availability. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Identified in Recovery Strategy Increase the number of historic nesting islands in conservation ownership. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Country Island NS The Brothers NS Grassy Island NS Wedge Island NS (See Recovery Strategy) |

| Species | Atlantic Puffin |
|--|------------------------------|
| Status | moderate priority for BCR 14 |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | |
| Population objectives | |
| Monitoring objectives | |
| Research objectives | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Black Guillemot |
|--|--|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | BCR population: 36,000 individuals NA population:150,000 individuals |
| | High BCR responsibility |
| | Breeding and pelagic use in BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Reduce threats from drowning in commercial fishing nets, contaminants, human disturbance and habitat loss. |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population |
| Monitoring objectives | Evaluate need to remove mammalian predators from nesting islands to enhance survival and recruitment rates. |
| Research objectives | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Continue effort to protect priority nesting islands through conservation ownership. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Herring Gull |
|--|---|
| Status | high priority for BCR 14 |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 8.3% of breeding population in BCR 14 Year round resident of BCR 14 |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threats include: Land use changes; development Competition with Great Black-backed gulls Limited availability of suitable nesting sites Contaminants Reduction in food availability due to commercial fisheries. |
| Population objectives | Maintain population level |
| Monitoring objectives | Monitor abundance and distribution |
| Research objectives | Impacts of contaminants |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | In local areas with seabird restoration, avoid overboard discharge of bait or fish waste that may increase gull feeding efforts and populations. |

| Species | Razorbill |
|--|---|
| Status Importance and Role of BCR-14 | high priority for BCR 14NAWCP - moderate concernPopulation is increasing recentlyMaine threatened22.5% of NA breeding population (mostly in QC)High proportion of continental population winters off Grand Manan |
| Conservation issues and | Bycatch (breeding colonies) |
| threats in BCR-14 | Oil pollution (wintering) |
| Population objectives | Increase population |
| Monitoring objectives | More regular monitoring. Determine size of wintering population in Bay of Fundy |
| Research objectives | Determine colony origin of birds overwintering in Bay of Fundy Estimate adult survival |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Identify and prioritize islands with suitable nesting habitat and cultivate relationships with partners and landowners to facilitate management. Maintain seasonal closure of nesting habitats. By 2015, increase the number of Maine islands supporting Razorbills to eight, ensuring these islands are distributed between Penobscot Bay and downeast Maine. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Machias Seal Island NB Pearl Island NS Bird Islands NS Wintering at mouth of Bay of Fundy around Grand Manan Island |

| Species | Horned Grebe |
|--|---|
| Status | moderate priority for BCR 14 Currently not at risk (COSEWIC) |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | 0.01% of NA breeding population (100-200,00 pairs) Small population on Magdalen Islands, which may be a discrete population, in which case BCR 14 has 100%. Concerns about small eastern winter population (Marshbird Plan - NAWCP 2). Also concern about wintering Red-necked Grebes for similar reason. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Human disturbance from recreational activities Oil pollution; winter population |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population |
| Monitoring objectives | Maintain monitoring under QC Species At Risk program; establish monitoring elsewhere; develop monitoring methods Conduct winter/ migration surveys |
| Research objectives | Determine genetic relatedness between eastern and western populations |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Magdalen Islands QC |

| Species | Greater Shearwater |
|--|--|
| Status | highest priority for BCR 14 NAWCP - high concern |
| Importance and Role of BCR-14 | unknown but likely large segment of population spends time in BCR14 waters in summer |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Reduce threats from oil spills, contaminants and incidental harvest/bycatach by commercial fisheries (longline). |
| | Lack of information (how many come through BCR 14?) |
| Population objectives | Maintain current population |
| Monitoring objectives | May be possible to monitor numbers through PIROP |
| Research objectives | Evaluate significance of mortality associated with bycatch from fisheries. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Mouth of Bay of Fundy Scotian Shelf |

Waterfowl Priority Species Data Sheets

| Species | Canada Goose – North Atlantic Population |
|--|--|
| Status | Stable; BCR 14 High Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | PEI – major stopover point for north and south migration Wintering from NB/NS south to SC – all along Atlantic coast Major migrant population of Canada geese associated with BCR Winter a minimum of 15K Est. winter population of 50K max. |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Harvest management Deterioration of eel grass flats in NB/NS Habitat protection – need more info. on dist. Possible impacts of rapid aquaculture expansion – disturbance Mixing with resident Canada geese |
| Population objectives | Defer to NAWMP – in planning stages Have a population survey – annual numbers of at least 100,000 individuals pass through this BCR – need to sustain this population |
| Monitoring needs | Survey of staging and wintering areas CWS cooperates with PEI on mid-November survey Continue banding in PEI in the spring Improvement of aging techniques Sex and age survey in the harvest |
| Research needs | Determine impacts of aquaculture Investigate eel grass declines |
| Outreach needs | Resident vs. Migrant Canada goose – issue with farmers and yuppies |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Secure major wintering areas and conserve habitat quality in staging areas. Merrymeeting Bay and Scarborough Marsh significant historical stopover site in fall and spring migration On PEI, continue forms of agriculture beneficial to this population |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Resident Canada Goose |
|--|--|
| Status | Increasing and expanding; BCR 14 Management Concern |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Defer to Resident Canada goose plan |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Harvest management opportunities limited. Mixing with NAP Canada geese confounds management for both populations. Property damage Human health and safety issues EIS in development in the U.S. |
| Population objectives | Above population objectives in BCR 14 Atlantic Flyway goals suggest a density of 0.5 geese/square mile for this region |
| Monitoring needs | Canadian breeding pair survey Canadian banding program |
| Research needs | Effective control and deterrence |
| Outreach needs | See above |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Greater Snow Goose |
|--|---|
| Status | Recent decline but long-term increase; BCR 14 Management Concern |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Spring and fall staging in St. Lawrence estuary |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Spring conservation hunt (overabundant species) |
| threats in DCK-14 | EIS in U.S. addressing the issue – not complete. |
| Population objectives | 500,000 in spring – defer to plan Current population @ 640,000 |
| Monitoring needs | Maintain current system – photo survey |
| Research needs | Determine optimum population numbers. |
| Outreach needs | Concern with opposing conservation objectives with hunters and bird watchers vs. farmers. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Atlantic Brant |
|--|---|
| Status | Stable; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Spring staging Fall migration in the U.S. also spring staging |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Species of management concern Can be overharvested Dependent on breeding conditions – production failures and can be hurt south of BCR Know how to manage the harvest Management Plan in Atlantic Flyway |
| Population objectives | Defer to plan |
| Monitoring needs | Defer to plan |
| Research needs | |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | American Black Duck |
|--|---|
| Status | Stable or increasing; BCR 14 Highest Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Maintains a significant proportion of the NA population during breeding, migration, and wintering |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Possible displacement by mallards; deterioration of wintering habitat; competitive uses for coastal habitat – conflict with aquaculture; sea level rise and salt marsh containment due to existing infrastructure; disturbance and habitat quality effects of surrounding land use |
| Population objectives | As determined by NSST; until NSST develops objectives, could be based on available habitat area |
| Monitoring needs | To extend Black Duck survey plot surveys throughout the BCR (big gaps exist in helicopter and fixed-winged survey) for wintering populations; Breeding ground survey from the ground in Quebec along the coast |
| Research needs | Subject to gaps in Conroy's Black Duck model No habitat relationship in population model – should be looked into further Sauer's research to combine fixed-winged and helicopter survey programs into an improved estimate with less variance |
| Outreach needs | BDJV developing a communication plan; public education about the effects on wild populations of releasing captive-reared mallards |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain current habitat mix; habitat is likely not a limiting factor for this species in BCR 14 |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Peatlands in northern part of BCR are important and threatened by peat extraction; intertidal wetlands quality and quantity |

| Species | Mallard |
|--|--|
| Status | Increasing; BCR 14 Management Concern |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Provides unexploited habitat for future expansion |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Competition with Black Ducks |
| Population objectives | Defer to eastern mallard model; although the model currently seeks to maintain max. sustainable harvest, which may not be a level that is low enough to reduce competition problems with Black Ducks |
| Monitoring needs | Maintain existing program – expand to cover remainder of BCR |
| Research needs | As per Conray model |
| Outreach needs | Make public more aware to Black Duck/Mallard conflict |
| Habitat conservation objectives | None |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Wood Duck |
|--|---|
| Status | Suspected stable; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Breeding and migration – northern extent of range |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Northern extent of range Harvest issues during liberal seasons Tree nesting species – may be competing with hooded mergansers in this region |
| Population objectives | Undetermined ; |
| Monitoring needs | Lack region-wide population survey; based on plot surveys in NY, VT, and NH, current population is at a similar level to mallards in those states |
| Research needs | Impact of forest practices |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Conserve nesting cavities on breeding grounds |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Hardwood riparian zones |

| Species | Common Goldeneye |
|--|---|
| Status | Suspected decline; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Breeding, migration and wintering for unknown % of population |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Impacts of forestry Overlap with aquaculture industry Harvest impact unknown Barrows/Common identification issue |
| Population objectives | Undetermined – should at least maintain current numbers |
| Monitoring needs | Lack both breeding and wintering surveys Improved harvest survey |
| Research needs | Population delineation |
| Outreach needs | Barrows identification Forest industry and management for cavity trees |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain cavity sites on breeding grounds and adequate wintering grounds |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Hardwood riparian areas for breeding (nest cavities) and shallow coastal areas for migration and wintering |

| Species | Greater Scaup |
|--|--|
| Status | Suspected stable; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Quebec and New Brunswick breeding areas Small wintering population |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Describe nesting habitat in Quebec and potential for habitat protection Possible habitat manipulation in New Brunswick Aquaculture and contaminant issues on wintering grounds Numbers wintering in Maine have declined significantly over last 30 years |
| Population objectives | None |
| Monitoring needs | Lack good population survey Need banding program Need better harvest data |
| Research needs | Low priority Mussel aquaculture Contaminant loading |
| Outreach needs | Maybe do advisories to hunters pertaining to contaminant loading |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Protection of coastal wintering areas Determine if Quebec breeding sites need protection |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | |

| Species | Common Eider (dresseri) |
|------------------------------|--|
| Status | Wintering populations appear to be stable or slightly increasing; BCR 14 Highest Priority |
| Importance and role of | Maintains a significant proportion of the NA dresseri population |
| BCR-14 | during breeding, migration and staging, molting, wintering |
| | |
| Conservation issues and | Threats include: |
| threats in BCR-14 | Impacts to breeding colonies through island development |
| | Aquaculture |
| | Oil spills |
| | Potential over-harvest |
| | Economic issue related to down harvest |
| | Cholera in breeding colonies |
| Dopulation objectives | Predation of ducklings |
| r opulation objectives | 120,000 breeding pairs |
| | |
| | |
| Monitoring needs | Coordinated surveys of breeding colonies |
| | Monitoring of molting groups |
| | Improved harvest surveys |
| | to develop survival estimates |
| Research needs | Population model |
| | Effects of aquaculture on behavior and distribution |
| | Predation rates on ducklings |
| | |
| Outreach needs | Build liaison with outfitters regarding harvest |
| Outreach needs | Bund harson with outritiers regarding harvest |
| | |
| - | |
| Habitat conservation | Protection of nesting islands, brood rearing, molting and |
| objectives | feeding areas |
| | |
| Focus area/site | Islands in Bay of Fundy (breeding) |
| conservation objectives | Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia (breeding, molting, and staging) |
| | St. Lawrence mid and lower estuary (breeding) |
| | Concentration areas in coastal Maine |
| | |

| Species | Barrows Goldeneye |
|--|--|
| Status | Stable or decreasing; in Canada listed as species of concern; BCR 14 Highest Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Wintering Population throughout coastal portions of BCR. |
| Conservation issues and | Oil spills, aquaculture activities displacing foraging areas |
| threats in BCR-14 | Over harvest of discrete wintering populations |
| Population objectives | TBD |
| Monitoring needs | Initiate regular surveys of wintering birds |
| Research needs | Impacts of aquaculture industry activities on key winter and feeding areas, wintering ecology |
| Outreach needs | Identification conflict with common goldeneyes, develop outreach material to help differentiate between them. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Maintain key wintering areas from competing uses (e.g., development) and from human disturbance. |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Dalhousie, NB; 4 key areas in PEI; scattered sites in NB and NS; northern sector of mid and lower St. Lawrence estuary; 4 sites in Maine and possibly Lake Champlain |

| Species | Long-tailed Duck |
|--|--|
| Status | Gradual decline or stable based on limited data; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Wintering |
| Conservation issues and | Lack of knowledge |
| threats in BCR-14 | Oil spills |
| | Aquaculture conflicts |
| Population objectives | None |
| Monitoring needs | Develop winter survey |
| | Improve harvest survey |
| Research needs | Population delineation – need base line information |
| | Need more info on wintering ecology |
| Outreach needs | |
| Habitat conservation objectives | |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Coastal aquaculture sites |

| Species | Eastern Harlequin Duck |
|--|---|
| Status | Increasing but in Canada listed as species of special concern and threatened in Maine; BCR 14 Highest Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Wintering 90% of eastern NA wintering population (rest of eastern NA wintering population winter in Greenland) |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Threat by oil spills; threats by aquaculture on wintering grounds, threats of low fecundity rates and high rates of non-breeding in female harlequin, minimize activities such as dragging for shellfish and disturbance from waterfowl hunters that disrupt harlequin feeding activities. |
| Population objectives | TBD – management plan being written |
| Monitoring needs | Survey wintering areas; identification of additional molting areas and coastwide surveys. |
| Research needs | Evaluation of aquaculture impacts Winter ecology Relationship between Canadian and Greenland populations. |
| Outreach needs | Maintain current programs |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Secure key wintering areas in Bay of Fundy and Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Secure key wintering areas in Bay of Fundy and Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia Offshore areas from Newport to Port Daniel, Rocher Perce and Forillon Secure key wintering areas in Maine |

| Species | Black Scoter |
|--|--|
| Status | Believed to be Declining; BCR 14 High Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Bay of Chaleur stages all of spring migrating birds in Atlantic Flyway Small portion of flyway population winter in BCR |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Reduce threats from: Contaminants in feeding areas Oil spills Lack of knowledge |
| Population objectives | TBD |
| Monitoring needs | Migration and staging surveys; better harvest surveys; |
| Research needs | Population delineation Examination of foraging and feeding behavior Quality and quantity of feeding areas Contaminant loading in prey species Population model Improve data collection from hunters |
| Outreach needs | Develop outreach material to educate the public about the value of habitat used during migration. |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Secure key spring staging areas; |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Bay of Chaleur Northern coast of St. Lawrence lower estuary |

| Species | Surf Scoter |
|--|--|
| Status | Trend believed to be decreasing - limited data; BCR 14 Moderate Priority |
| Importance and role of BCR-14 | Spring staging in St. Lawrence estuary, molting |
| Conservation issues and threats in BCR-14 | Population delineation Oil spills Contaminants in food source Aquaculture |
| Population objectives | TBD |
| Monitoring needs | Surveys of staging and molting areas Banding of molting birds to develop survival estimates |
| Research needs | Population delineation; measure impacts of contaminants Evaluation of impacts of aquaculture on staging and molting areas Population model |
| Outreach needs | Value of migration habitat |
| Habitat conservation objectives | Secure key staging and molting areas; |
| Focus area/site conservation objectives | Northern coast of St. Lawrence lower estuary |

Appendix D. Priority species-habitat suites for BCR 14. Highest Priority species are in bold, High Priority species are in regular type, Moderate Priority species are in italics, and Species of Management Concern are in underlined italics. Hyperlinks in this appendix go to the corresponding section in Appendix E - the detailed summary of conservation recommendations and objectives from existing bird plans.

| | | COASTAL | | |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| Habitat Type | Landbirds | Shorebirds | Waterbirds | Waterfowl |
| Marine Open Water nearshore and offshore | | Red-necked Phalarope Red Phalarope | Greater Shearwater Arctic Tern Black Guillemot Northern Gannet Red-necked Grebe Razorbill Red Phalarope <i>Atlantic Puffin</i> Black-legged Kittiwake Common Loon Red-throated Loon | Common Eider Long-tailed Duck |
| Estuaries and Bays | | Red-necked Phalarope | Great Cormorant Common Tern Herring Gull Red Phalarope Roseate Tern Red-necked Grebe <i>Horned Grebe</i> <i>Common Loon</i> <i>Red-throated Loon</i> | Barrow's Goldeneye American Black Duck Common Eider Black Scoter Canada Goose-NAP Atlantic Brant Common Goldeneye Surf Scoter Greater Scaup Long-tailed Duck |
| Rocky Coastline including islands and cliffs | | Purple Sandpiper Semipalmated Sandpiper Ruddy Turnstone | Great Cormorant Arctic Tern Black Guillemot Northern Gannet Razorbill Common Tern Herring Gull Roseate Tern Atlantic Puffin Leach's Storm-petrel | Common Eider Harlequin Duck |

| Unconsolidated Shore | | Pining Playar | Herring Gull | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| (heach sand mudflats) | | r iping r iover Seminalmated Sandniner | fiening Oun | |
| (beach, sand, muunats) | | American Golden Ployer | | |
| | | Black bellied Ployer | | |
| | | Red Knot | | |
| | | Red Kilot Buddy Turnstone | | |
| | | Short hilled Dowitcher | | |
| | | Whimbrol | | |
| | | Amoriaan Oustanaatahan | | |
| | | Hudsonian Codwit | | |
| | | Laast Sandniner | | |
| | | Least Sanapiper | | |
| | | Saminalmated Ployar | | |
| | | Willet | | |
| | | w mei | | |
| Estuarine Emergent | Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow | Short-billed Dowitcher | Black-crowned Night Heron | American Black Duck |
| Saltmarsh | Short-eared Owl | Whimbrel | | Mallard |
| | | Hudsonian Godwit | | Greater Snow Goose |
| | | Least Sandpiper | | |
| | | Willet | | |
| | | | | |
| | | FRESHWATER | | |
| Habitat Type | Landbirds | Shorebirds | Waterbirds | Waterfowl |
| Freshwater Lakes, | Bald Eagle | | Common Tern | American Black Duck |
| Rivers, and Streams | Bank Swallow | | Herring Gull | Harlequin Duck |
| | | | Common Loon | Barrow's Goldeneye |
| | | | | Common Goldeneye |
| | | | | Wood Duck |
| | | | | <u>Resident Canada Goose</u> |
| Palustrine Emergent | Barn Swallow | Wilson's Snipe | Black-crowned Night Heron | American Black Duck |
| Marsh | Northern Harrier | Least Sandniner | American Bittern | Wood Duck |
| <u>Ivitili Sir</u> | Short-eared Owl | Least Sunapiper | Yellow Rail | HOOU Duck |
| | Short curcu Owi | | Tenow Kun | |
| Forested Wetland | Rusty Blackbird | | Black-crowned Nigh Heron | American Black Duck |
| | | | - | Common Goldeneye |
| | | | | Wood Duck |
| <u> </u> | ~ | | | |
| <u>Shrub-scrub</u> , | Canada Warbler | American Woodcock | | |

| including bogs | Olive-sided Flycatcher | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | Rusty Blackbird | | | |
| | Palm Warbler | | | |
| | Yellow-bellied Flycatcher | | | |
| | | UPLANDS | | |
| Habitat Type | Landbirds | Shorebirds | Waterbirds | Waterfowl |
| Deciduous and Mixed | | | | |
| Forest | Canada Warbler | | | |
| | Wood Thrush | | | |
| | American Redstart | | | |
| | Black-throated Blue Warbler | | | |
| | Chimney Swift | | | |
| | Eastern Wood-Pewee | | | |
| | Veery | | | |
| | Yellow-bellied Sapsucker | | | |
| | Black-billed Cuckoo | | | |
| | Northern Flicker | | | |
| | Ovenbird | | | |
| | Rose-breasted Grosbeak | | | |
| | Ruffed Grouse | | | |
| | Whip-poor-will | | | |
| Coniferous Forest | Bay-breasted Warbler | | | |
| | Canada Warbler | | | |
| | Boreal Chickadee | | | |
| | Cape May Warbler | | | |
| | Long-eared Owl | | | |
| | Olive-sided Flycatcher | | | |
| | Purple Finch | | | |
| | Black-backed Woodpecker | | | |
| | Blackburnian Warbler | | | |
| | Blackpoll Warbler | | | |
| | Black-throated Green Warbler | | | |
| | Boreal Owl | | | |
| | Brown Creeper | | | |
| | Gray Jay | | | |
| | Northern Goshawk | | | |
| | Northern Parula | | | |
| | Pine Grosbeak | | | |

Yellow-bellied Flycatcher

| Mixed Forest | Canada Warhlar | | |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| witxed Porest | Wood Thrush | | |
| | American Badstart | | |
| | American Reustant Plack throated Plue Warhler | | |
| | Long cored Owl | | |
| | Olive sided Elyesteher | | |
| | Durple Finch | | |
| | Veeru | | |
| | Veely Blackburnian Warblar | | |
| | Diackburnian Warbler | | |
| | Bluck-initolieu Green warbier | | |
| | Brown Creaner | | |
| | Northarn Flicker | | |
| | Northern Coshawk | | |
| | Northern Parula | | |
| | Normern I druid | | |
| | Duffed Grouse | | |
| | Kujjea Grouse | | |
| Mountaintop Forest | Bicknell's Thrush | | |
| | Purple Finch | | |
| | Blackpoll Warbler | | |
| Shrub / Early | Blue-winged Warbler | American Woodcock | |
| Successional | Chestnut-sided Warbler | | |
| | Olive-sided Flycatcher | | |
| | Whip-poor-will | | |
| | Palm Warbler | | |
| | Ruffed Grouse | | |
| Grasslands / | Ipswich Savannah Sparrow | American Woodcock | Canada Goose-NAP |
| Agriculture | Bobolink | American Golden-Plover | Resident Canada Goose |
| | Barn Swallow | Black-bellied Plover | Greater Snow Goose |
| | Horned Lark | Upland Sandpiper | |
| | Northern Harrier | Killdeer | |
| | Short-eared Owl | Willet | |
| | Vesper Sparrow | Wilson's Snipe | |
| | | - | |

| Urban/Suburban | Chimney Swift | Killdeer | |
|----------------|------------------|----------|--|
| | Common Nighthawk | | |
| | Barn Swallow | | |

Appendix E, Part 1. Issues, Goals, Objectives, and Implementation Strategies by Habitat and Focal Species for BCR 14.

The information in this appendix was compiled by Linda Welch, Refuge Biologist at Petit Manan National Wildlife Refuge in Maine, as part of a process to help organize information available from existing bird conservation plans for use in the state of Maine's comprehensive wildlife conservation planning process. Much of the same information is applicable to the entire BCR, and so the document that Linda produced is included here, with minor revisions to help make the document useable at the BCR level. Information specific to Maine is still included in this draft, as we felt it would be useful to others in the BCR. Information from other jurisdictions could be incorporated in future versions of this appendix.

General Note: Conserving and restoring populations goes hand in hand with conserving and restoring habitat. Each habitat is presented with a broad conservation goal, followed by broad objectives, with focal species objectives, and if available research and outreach needs. It is our intention to provide the most current data available regarding population and habitat objectives where it is feasible. However, we recognize individual jurisdictions may have more specific information about some species and habitats or may consider the current objectives to be too speculative at this time to be useful. The population and habitat objectives are provided as suggestions for those who find this kind of information useful but are not intended to preclude other means of establishing objectives. We hope this document will provide a framework for incorporating more additional information as it becomes available.

| HABITATS | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <u>Coastal</u> | Freshwater Wetlands | <u>Upland</u> | | | | | | |
| Marine Open Water | Freshwater Lakes, Rivers, and Streams | Deciduous and Mixed Forest | | | | | | |
| Estuaries and Bays | Palustrine Emergent Marsh | Coniferous Forest | | | | | | |
| Rocky Coastline, including islands and cliffs | Forested Wetland | Mountaintop Forest | | | | | | |
| <u>Unconsolidated Shore</u> (beaches and mudflats) | Schrub-scrub Wetland, including bogs | Shrub / Early Successional Habitat | | | | | | |
| Estuarine Emergent Saltmarsh | | Grasslands / Agricultural Fields | | | | | | |
| | | <u>Urban / Suburban</u> | | | | | | |

Fifteen priority habitats are covered in this appendix:

For each of the three major habitat types (coastal, freshwater wetlands, and uplands) we have presented a single table with all of the associated priority species which utilize that habitat type. We have followed this with specific lists goals and objectives for each of the 15 priority habitat types and a selected set of associated focal species, which are intended to represent the needs of the entire suite of species using that habitat type. The species tables list the priority species for BCR 14, their priority ranking (Highest priority =1, High priority = 2, and Moderate priority = 3), and primary season/s of occurrence (B=breeding, M=migrating, W=wintering).

Coastal

Associated priority species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------------|----------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х | Hudsonian Godwit | 3 | | Х | |
| American Golden Plover | 2 | | Х | | Leach's Storm Petrel | 3 | Χ | | |
| American Oystercatcher | 3 | Х | | | Least Sandpiper | 3 | | Х | |
| Arctic Tern | 2 | Х | | | Long-tailed Duck | 3 | | | Х |
| Atlantic Brant | 3 | | Х | | Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow | 1 | Х | | |
| Atlantic Puffin | 3 | Х | | Х | Northern Gannet | 2 | | | |
| Bald Eagle | 3 | Х | | Х | Piping Plover | 1 | Χ | | |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | 1 | | | Х | Purple Sandpiper | 1 | | | Х |
| Black Guillemot | 2 | Х | | Х | Razorbill | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Black Scoter | 2 | | | Х | Red Knot | 2 | | Х | |
| Black-bellied Plover | 2 | | Х | | Red Phalarope | 2 | | Х | |
| Black-crowned Night Heron | 2 | Х | | | Red-necked Grebe | 2 | | | Х |
| Blacked-legged Kittiewake | 3 | | | Х | Red-necked Phalarope | 1 | | Х | |
| Canada Goose – NAP | 2 | | Х | | Red-throated Loon | 3 | | | Х |
| Common Eider | 1 | Х | | Х | Roseate Tern | 2 | Χ | | |
| Common Goldeneye | 3 | Х | | Х | Ruddy Turnstone | 2 | | Х | |
| Common Loon | 3 | Х | | Х | Sanderling | 3 | | Х | |
| Common Tern | 2 | Х | | | Semipalmated Plover | 3 | | Х | |
| Great Cormorant | 1 | Х | | Х | Semipalmated Sandpiper | 1 | | Х | |
| Greater Scaup | 3 | | | Х | Short-billed Dowitcher | 2 | | Х | |
| Greater Shearwater | 1 | | Х | | Short-eared Owl | 3 | Χ | Х | |
| Harlequin Duck | 1 | | | Х | Surf Scoter | 3 | | | Х |
| Herring Gull | 2 | Х | | Х | Whimbrel | 2 | | Х | |
| Horned Grebe | 3 | | | Х | Willet | 3 | | Х | |

Marine Open Water (nearshore and offshore)

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|--------------------|----------|---|---|---|----------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| Arctic Tern | 2 | Х | | | Northern Gannet | 2 | | | |
| Black Guillemot | 2 | Х | | Х | Razorbill | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Common Eider | 1 | Х | | Х | Red Phalarope | 2 | | Х | |
| Greater Shearwater | 1 | | Х | | Red-necked Phalarope | 1 | | Х | |

Issues:

Threats:

- Climate change/sea level rising
- Oil spills /contamination
- Disease
- Prey availability (?)
- Entanglement (fishing lines and nets)

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in coastal habitat to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | | Task |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Identify high priority habitats. | • | Conduct surveys to determine significant wintering, foraging, molting, and staging |
| | | areas. |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of focal species.

| Strategy | | Task |
|---|---|--|
| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal | ٠ | Monitor death & morbidity of seabirds. |
| species to determine population size, status, and trends. | | |

| | | Identify & monitor important foraging, wintering, and migrating areas. |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | Develop and implement strategy to monitor colonial birds. |
| | | Increase monitoring of seabird bycatch. |
| | | Determine population level effects of oil and hazardous materials on birds. |
| | | Study the role of commercial fisheries in seabird mortality. |
| | • | Assess role of commercial fisheries on prey availability |
| | • | Implement surveys to determine population size of all species. |
| Decrease human disturbance/threats. | | Develop partnerships with fishery industries and commercial tour boat operators. |
| | • | Partner with fishery planners to include reduced seabird mortality strategies in all |
| | | future plans. |
| | • | Implement increased enforcement of shipping activities, safe operational |
| | | procedures, spill clean-up, and rehabilitation of oiled birds. |
| | • | Prohibit and enforce dumping of debris, lines, and nets. |
| | • | Develop non-persistant lines, nets and traps. |

Specific Objectives for Focal Species:

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research, Monitoring, & Outreach Objectives | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Arctic Tern | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for specific objectives for this species | | | | |
| Black Guillemot | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for specific objectives for this species | | | | |
| Common Eider | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for specific objectives for this species | | | | |
| Greater Shearwater | Status and distribution | Reduce threats from oil spills, | Develop monitoring protocols | | |
| | unknown, but large | contaminants, and incidental harvest by | | | |
| | segment of population may | commercial fisheries (bycatch). | • Evaluate significance of mortality associated | | |
| | spend time in BCR14 | | with bycatch from fisheries | | |
| | waters during summer. | Focus sites may include Mouth of Bay of | | | |
| | | Fundy and the Scotian Shelf. | | | |
| | Non-breeding visitor | | | | |
| | between March - August | | | | |
| Northern Gannet | • 69% of NA population | Reduce threats from oil spills, | • Estimate adult survival rates (BCR 14 | | |
| | breeds at two colonies in | contaminants, incidental harvest by | Workshop) | | |
| | Quebec; migrates through | commercial fisheries (bycatch), and | • Continue to monitor every 5 years | | |
| | rest of BCR | human disturbance/persecution | • Explore potential to establish as breeding | | |
| | • 125,000 breeding pairs | | species in Gulf of Maine (BCR 14 Workshop) | | |
| | historically in QC. | Populations are concentrated in two large | | | |
| | • Population increasing in | colonies. | | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research, Monitoring, & Outreach Objectives | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | BCR; current estimate of 53,820 pairs; maintain current population trends (>3% increase/ year). | Historically nested in southwest NS, and Bay of Fundy, NB but unrealistic at this time to set any habitat objectives to restore these sites. One pair did nest in NB in last few years so it may be possible that restoration could occur naturally. Focus sites include Bonaventure Island QC, Bird Rock QC, and migration through the BCR. | | | | | |
| Razorbill | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for specific objectives for this species | | | | | | |
| Red Phalarope | Bay of Fundy represents an important staging area Red Phalaropes (ca. 20,000) used area off Brier Island in NS in 1982 | Reduce threats from declining food availability, oil spills, predation, and storm events | Ask International Shorebird Group to identify Phalaropes as a focal species (BCR 14 Workshop) Develop habitat suitability model and survey target areas to determine if birds have moved elsewhere. (BCR 14 Workshop) | | | | |
| Red-necked Phalarope | Until the early 1980's, large concentrations of birds (up to 1,000,000+) were observed near in the Quoddy Region and between Deer Island and Campobello Island. These birds largely disappeared after 1986. Recent surveys have documented fewer than 1,000 birds in Maine. Reason for decline is unknown. | Reduce threats from declining food availability, oil spills, predation, and storm events | Ask International Shorebird Group to identify Phalaropes as a focal species (BCR 14 Workshop) Research is needed on availability of marine plankton within Quoddy. Repeat only systematic survey of prey done previously in Deer/Campobello Island area. (BCR 14 Workshop) Bring together all information on abundance and distribution of birds in Quoddy Region. Develop habitat suitability model and survey target areas to determine if birds have moved elsewhere. (BCR 14 Workshop) | | | | |
Estuaries and Bays

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | X | | Х | Common Tern | 2 | Χ | | |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | 1 | | | Х | Great Cormorant | 1 | Χ | | Χ |
| Black Scoter | 2 | | | Χ | Red-necked Grebe | 2 | | | Χ |
| Canada Goose – NAP | 2 | | Χ | | Roseate Tern | 2 | Χ | | |
| Common Eider | 1 | Χ | | Χ | | | | | |

Issues:

Need to protect upland buffer surrounding the estuaries and bays to maintain habitat quality and minimize disturbance. Several key locations are located at the mouth of rivers receiving significant industrial discharge. Need to monitor invasive species to make sure they do not become serious threat

Threats:

- Habitat loss, through development and erosion
- Human disturbance
- Oil spills / Contaminants

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species which utilize estuaries and bays to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Identify high priority habitats. | • | Initiate standardized coast-wide surveys to determine key molting, wintering, and | |
| | staging areas | | |
| | • | Identify and map high priority areas for focal species | |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of focal species.

| Strategy | | Task | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal | • | Monitor death & morbidity of seabirds and waterbirds. | |
| species to determine population size, status, and trends. | • Identify & monitor important foraging, wintering, and migrating areas. | | |
| | ٠ | Develop and implement strategy to monitor colonial birds. | |
| | • | Increase monitoring of seabird bycatch and role of commercial fisheries on seabird mortality. | |
| | ٠ | Determine population level effects of oil and hazardous materials on birds. | |
| | ٠ | Assess role of commercial fisheries on prey availability | |
| | • | Implement surveys to determine population size of all species. | |
| Decrease human disturbance/threats. | • | Develop partnerships with fishery industries and commercial tour boat operators. | |
| | • | Partner with fishery planners to include reduced seabird mortality strategies in all future plans. | |
| | • | Implement increased enforcement of shipping activities, safe operational procedures, spill clean-up, and rehabilitation of oiled birds. | |
| | • | Prohibit and enforce dumping of debris, lines, and nets. | |
| | • | Develop non-persistant lines, nets and traps. | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| American Black | BCR supports a significant | Reduce threats from: competition with | Maintain or increase as necessary existing |
| Duck (Wintering | proportion of the NA | Mallards, degradation of wintering habitat, | monitoring efforts of wintering population |
| Population) | population during | human disturbance, habitat loss and | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | breeding, migration, and | degradation, aquaculture, sea level rise, | |
| | wintering; BCR population | and contaminants. (BCR 14 workshop) | Expand population model to include |
| | is currently stable or | | habitat characteristics (BCR 14 |
| | increasing | Need to protect inter-tidal wetlands from | Workshop) |
| | | degradation and focus on important | |
| | | peatlands in northern part of BCR that are | Expand survey efforts throughout BCR to |
| | | threatened by peat extraction. (BCR 14 | cover areas currently not included in |
| | | workshop) | aerial surveys (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | Maintain current habitat mix; habitat is | BDJV developing a communication plan; |
| | | likely not a limiting factor for this species | public education about the effects on wild |
| | | in BCR 14. | populations of releasing captive-reared |
| | | | mallards. |
| | | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | Winters throughout coastal portions of BCR; listed as species of concern in Cananda. BCR wintering population stable or decreasing Maine population estimated at 150 | Reduce threats from: oil spills, displacement from foraging areas by aquaculture development, over harvest Maintain key wintering areas from development and disturbance (BCR 14 Workshop) | Initiate routine surveys of wintering birds (BCR 14 Workshop) Initiate research on wintering ecology of the species, and potential effects of aquaculture development on traditional feeding habitat (BCR 14 Workshop) Develop outreach material to help differentiate between barrow's and |
| | individuals at 5-6 locations | | common goldeneye (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Black Scoter | Bay of Chaleur stages all of spring migrating birds in Atlantic Flyway; small portion of flyway population winters in BCR Believed to be declining | Reduce threats from: oil spills, contaminants, and overall lack of knowledge on the species Protect critical spring staging areas (BCR 14 Workshop) Focus sites may include Bay of Chaleur and Northern coast of St. Lawrence lower estuary. | Initiate surveys of migration and staging areas (BCR 14 Workshop) Improve data collection from hunters (BCR 14 Workshop) Initiate research on foraging behavior and ecology, contaminant levels in prey items, distribution and quality of feeding areas, and develop a population model (BCR 14 Workshop) Develop outreach material to educate public about value of habitat used during migration (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Canada Goose – NAP | See Grasslands / Agriculture | habitat section for specific objectives for this | s species |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Common Eider | Maintains a significant | Threats: habitat loss, principle dietary | Document seasonal distribution of eiders | | | | |
| | proportion of the NA | items have high commercial value, human | significant, particularly brood rearing and | | | | |
| (Molting and | dresseri population | disturbance, potential over-harvest, | molting areas (Atlantic Coast Sea Duck | | | | |
| Wintering Birds) | during breeding, migration | aquaculture development, high | Workshop / BCR 14 Workshop) | | | | |
| | and staging, molting, | susceptibility to disturbance and oil spills | | | | | |
| | wintering | during molt period | Initiate research to evaluate significance | | | | |
| | | | of commercial harvesting of resources | | | | |
| | Stable or slightly | Habitat conservation efforts should include | from eider molting and wintering habitats | | | | |
| | increasing population trend | nesting islands, brood rearing habitat, | (MDIFW Species Assessment and | | | | |
| | in BCR | molting and feeding areas (BCR 14 | Atlantic Coast Sea Duck Workshop) | | | | |
| | | Workshop) | | | | | |
| | Population Objective: | | In cooperation with partners, develop an | | | | |
| | 120,000 breeding pairs | | outreach program to promote an | | | | |
| | (BCR 14 Workshop) | | understanding and appreciation of eiders | | | | |
| | | | and their habitat requirements in Maine | | | | |
| | | | (MDIFW Species Assessment) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Improve collection of information from | | | | |
| | | | hunters, including level of take and age / | | | | |
| | | | sex ratios. Work with outfitters to improve | | | | |
| | | | reporting efforts. (Atlantic Coast Sea | | | | |
| | | | Duck Workshop / BCR 14 Workshop) | | | | |
| | | | Monitor effects of commercial | | | | |
| | | | aquaculture development on distribution | | | | |
| | | | and feeding rates of eiders (BCR 14 | | | | |
| | | | Workshop) | | | | |
| | See Isl | ands habitat section for Breeding Common Ei | der Habitat Objectives | | | | |
| Common Tern | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for | or specific objectives for this species | | | | | |
| Great Cormorant | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section for | 1 for specific objectives for this species | | | | | |
| Red-necked Grebe | Wintering and migrating | Reduce threats from oil spills, | Develop methods and conduct surveys of | | | | |
| | use of BCR | contaminants, and by-catch in commercial | migrating and wintering birds (BCR 14 | | | | |
| | | fisheries | Workshop) | | | | |
| | Eastern population ranges | | | | | | |
| | 15-20% (wintering); NA | Need to minimize exposure to oil pollution | | | | | |
| | population ranges 55,000- | during winter months (BCR 14 Workshop) | | | | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | 70,000 pairs. | | Need to determine genetic relatedness |
| | | | between eastern and western populations |
| | Population Objective: | | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Maintain current | | |
| | population (Marshbird Plan | | |
| | [NAWCP 2]); currently not | | |
| | at risk. (COSEWIC, | | |
| | NAWCP) | | |
| Roseate Tern | See Islands habitat section fo | r specific objectives for this species | |

Rocky Coastline, including Islands and Cliffs

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|-----------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| Arctic Tern | 2 | Х | | | Northern Gannet | 2 | Х | Х | |
| Black Guillemot | 2 | Х | | Х | Purple Sandpiper | 1 | | | Х |
| Common Eider | 1 | Х | | Х | Razorbill | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Common Tern | 2 | Х | | | Roseate Tern | 2 | Х | | |
| Great Cormorant | 1 | Х | | Х | Ruddy Turnstone | 2 | | Х | |
| Harlequin Duck | 1 | | | Х | Semipalmated Sandpiper | 1 | | Х | |
| Herring Gull | 2 | Χ | | Χ | | | | | |

Issues:

Need to protect additional islands

Lack of funding for surveys, island acquisition, and new restoration projects

Threats:

- Predation, particularly from Great black-backed and Herring Gulls
- Habitat loss, through development and erosion
- Food availability
- Aquaculture development
- Human disturbance
- Contaminants

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species which utilize the BCR's rocky coastline, islands, and cliffs to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Identify high priority habitats. | Evaluate areas of rocky coastline and all coastal islands and cliffs for current or historic use by seabirds. (This has been done in Maine for all 3,500 coastal islands) Identify priority islands, cliffs, and coastline areas for target protect and conservation actions. |
| Protect high priority habitats | Protect seabird nesting islands and adjacent waters from further development, especially human dwellings, fishing piers, docks, and aquaculture facilities (Maine E&T Handbook) Use voluntary agreements, conservation easements, conservation tax abatements and incentives, and acquisition to protect important seabird nesting habitat (Maine E&T Handbook) Avoid overfishing and polluting nursery areas for herring, hake, and other fish |
| | stocks important as food for seabirds (Maine E&T Handbook) Do not use gill nests near seabird nesting islands or known feeding area (Maine E&T Handbook) |
| Plan for oil spill response. | Continue Spill Response efforts and planning, including purchasing survey and hazing equipment (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan, MDIFW Oil Spill Response Plan) Identify and map significant habitat for nesting, migratory, and wintering species Document habitat quality and food resources prior to spill to serve as baseline for |
| | assessing direct and indirect effects of spills (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) Implement post spill surveys to accurately quantify spill damages. Effects on birds should be minimized by increase enforcement of shipping activities, safe operational procedures, spill clean up and rehabilitation of oiled birds. |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of focal species.

| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal | • | Continue surveying seabird nesting islands, including the Gulf of Maine Seabird |
|--|---|---|
|--|---|---|

| species to determine population size, status, and trends. | Working Group census window and similar efforts in Canada. | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Monitor death & morbidity of seabirds. | | |
| | • Identify & monitor important foraging, roosting, loafing, and migrating areas. | | |
| | • Develop and implement strategy to monitor colonial birds. | | |
| | Increase monitoring of seabird bycatch. | | |
| | • Determine population level effects of oil and hazardous materials on birds. | | |
| | Study the role of commercial fisheries in seabird mortality. | | |
| | Assess role of commercial fisheries on prey availability | | |
| | • Implement surveys to determine population size of all species. | | |
| Decrease human disturbance/threats. | Maintain seasonal closures on all seabird nesting islands. For example, Maine | | |
| | maintain closures from April 1 – July 31 (eider and gull islands) or August 15 | | |
| | (alcid and tern islands) (Maine E&T Handbook) | | |
| • | • Keep aquaculture facilities more than ¹ / ₄ mile from seabird nesting islands (Maine | | |
| | E&T Handbook) | | |
| | • Keep boat activity more than 600' from seabird nesting islands (Maine E&T | | |
| | Handbook) | | |
| | • Keep all pets off islands and do not introduce mammalian predators (Maine E&T | | |
| | Handbook) | | |
| | • Develop partnerships with fishery industries and commercial tour boat operators. | | |
| | • Develop partnerships with recreational and commercial users of coastal islands to | | |
| | educate them about seabird ecology and disturbance concerns | | |
| | • Partner with fishery planners to include reduced seabird mortality strategies in all | | |
| | future plans. | | |
| | Implement increased enforcement of shipping activities, safe operational | | |
| | procedures, spill clean-up, and rehabilitation of oiled birds. | | |
| | • Prohibit and enforce dumping of debris, lines, and nets. | | |
| | • Develop non-persistant lines, nets and traps. | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| Arctic Tern | • BCR population estimate | Threats include: predation from gulls, | Continue to research foraging habitat, |
| | of 19,100 breeding birds; | habitat loss, competition for nesting sites | migration routes, winter habitat use and |
| | regional breeding | with gulls, changes in food availability, and | distribution (USFWS Tern Plan) |
| | population increasing | limited number and distribution of colonies | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| | (MANEM waterbird species profile) | Successful management techniques at nesting islands include: | Continue outreach efforts regarding effects of human disturbance on nesting colonies |
| | • breeding and pelagic use Slow population recovery compared to Common Terns and may even have declined. | Restoration of historical sites using social attraction, vegetation control, predator control, nest shelters, seasonal closure of islands, sign posting, wardens, education programs, and law enforcement. (Tern Management Handbook) Continue efforts to monitor occupied and historic nesting islands including Machias Seal Island. (BCR 14 Workshop) Continue efforts to protect priority nesting islands through conservation ownership Focus sites may include Machias Seal Island, Magdalen Islands QC, Gaspe Peninsula, Country Island NS, and The Brothers NS | Initiate research to determine association with commercial fisheries and climate change to food availability (USFWS Tern Plan) Initiate research to determine factors influencing breeding success and productivity rates (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Black Guillemot | BCR population estimate of 25,150 breeding birds; regional breeding population increasing (MANEM waterbird species profile) breeding and pelagic use | Reduce threats from drowning in commercial fishing nets, contaminants, human disturbance, and habitat loss Continue efforts to protect priority nesting islands through conservation ownership Evaluate need to remove mammalian predators from nesting islands to enhance survival and recruitment rates | |
| Common Eider (Breeding | | Reduce threats from: great black-backed gull predation on ducklings, habitat loss, avian cholera, principle dietary items have | Continue banding efforts to evaluate survival and recruitment rates, movement rates, and hunting mortality (Atlantic |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Population Only) | | high commercial value, human disturbance | Coast Sea Duck Workshop, BCR 14 |
| | Population Objective: | on nesting islands, potential over-harvest, | Workshop, MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | By 2015, increase the | aquaculture development, high | |
| | number of nesting pairs of | susceptibility to disturbance and oil spills | Initiate standardized surveys of breeding |
| | eiders by 20% | during molt period | population, including determining |
| | | | relationship between May male counts |
| | | Successful management efforts have | and number of nesting hens on |
| | | agancy seasonal closure of nesting islands | Islands.(BCK 14 Workshop) |
| | | signage of pesting islands, gull control | Continue efforts to develop reliable |
| | | signage of nesting islands, gun control. | technique to census breeding population |
| | | Increase the number of eider nesting islands | which would allow population trends to |
| | | in conservation ownership (MDIFW | be monitored (Atlantic Coast Sea Duck |
| | | Species Assessment) | Workshop / BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | Habitat conservation efforts should include | Document seasonal distribution of eiders |
| | | nesting islands, brood rearing habitat, | significant, particularly brood rearing and |
| | | molting and feeding areas (BCR 14 | molting areas (Atlantic Coast Sea Duck |
| | | Workshop) | Workshop / BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | | Initiate research to evaluate significance |
| | | | of commercial harvesting of resources |
| | | | from eider brood rearing and feeding |
| | | | nabitats (MDIF w Species Assessment and Atlantic Coast Sac Duck Workshop) |
| | | | and Atlantic Coast Sea Duck workshop) |
| | | | Improve collection of information from |
| | | | hunters including level of take and age / |
| | | | sex ratios. Work with outfitters to |
| | | | improve reporting efforts. (Atlantic Coast |
| | | | Sea Duck Workshop / BCR 14 |
| | | | Workshop) |
| | | | · · · |
| | | | Monitor effects of commercial |
| | | | aquaculture development on distribution |
| | | | and feeding rates of eiders (BCR 14 |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| | | | Workshop) |
| | | | Develop population model for eiders (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | Assess significance of gull predation on duckling survival rates (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | Determine genetic composition of harvested population (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | | | Initiate research to evaluate significance of recreational use of eider nesting islands (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | | | In cooperation with partners, develop |
| | | | outreach programs to promote an |
| | | | and their habitat requirements (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | See Estu | aries and Bays for Common Eider Molting and | Wintering Objectives |
| Common Tern | • BCR population estimate of 69,280 breeding birds; regional population increasing (MANEM | Reduce threats from: predation from gulls, habitat loss, competition for nesting sites with gulls, changes in food availability, human disturbance on nesting islands, and | Continue to research foraging habitat, migration routes, winter habitat use and distribution. (USFWS Tern Plan) |
| | waterbird species profile) | limited number and distribution of colonies | Continue outreach efforts regarding effects of human disturbance on nesting |
| | Declines in PEI, NB, QC both in distribution and | Successful management techniques at nesting islands include: | colonies (USFWS Tern Plan) |
| | abundance. | • Restoration of historical sites using social attraction, vegetation control, | Initiate research to determine association with commercial fisheries and climate |
| | 19% of NA population, | predator control, nest shelters, | change to food availability (USFWS |
| | reasonably large proportion of continental population. | seasonal closure of islands, sign posting, wardens, education | Tern Plan) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | programs, and law enforcement. (Tern Management Handbook) | Initiate research to determine factors influencing breeding success and productivity rates (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | Increase the number of historic and currently occupied nesting islands in | |
| | | conservation ownership | |
| | | Continue efforts to monitor occupied and historic nesting islands (BCR 14 Workshop) | |
| Great Cormorant | • BCR population is | • Reduce threats from: predation from gulls | • Need to develop strategies to monitor |
| | estimated at 12,300 | and eagles, habitat loss, competition for | productivity (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | breeding birds (MANEM | availability human disturbance on posting | • Continuo regular monitoring (overs 5 |
| | waterond species prome) | islands and limited number and distribution | vears) in Nova Scotia and (every year) in |
| | • >90% of North American | of colonies | PEI. |
| | breeding population in BCR | | |
| | 14 | • Continue efforts to protect priority nesting | • Establish breeding success at selected |
| | | islands through conservation ownership | colonies. |
| | • High Responsibility in | | |
| | BCR14: 136 pairs in Maine | • Considered "nuisance" status in New | • Continue annual surveys of colonies |
| | at 8 sites, 660 pairs in | Brunswick, occasional DCCO nunts. | (BCR 14 workshop) |
| | in NS, PEI. | | • Evaluate factors which may be limiting |
| | | | population growth |
| Harlequin Duck | Breeding in Quebec | Reduce threats from low fecundity rates and | • Continue research on survival rates, |
| | (Gaspe Peninsula) and | high rate of non-breeding in female | habitat use, and site fidelity (Maine T&E |
| | bordering New Brunswick; | harlequins, which increases significance of | Handbook) |
| | wintering throughout rest of | adult survival rates, and threats from oil | T 1 1 1 |
| | the BCK | spills. | Initiate annual surveys at traditional |
| | Population Objectives: | Listing concerns for state of Maine: size of | wintering sites and period coast-wide surveys (BCR 14 Workshop and MDIFW |
| | increase the number of | population wintering in Maine small size of | Species Assessment) |
| | wintering harlequins by | NA population and percentage of that | |
| | 20%, by 2016 (MDIFW | population wintering in Maine (50%), and | Initiate research to determine factors |
| | Species Assessment) | more than 90% of the birds winter at fewer | limiting over-winter survival of |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | than 5 locations. | harlequins (MDIFW Species |
| | | | Assessment) |
| | | Distribution within Maine is limited to a | |
| | | small number of islands including Isle au | • Satellite and genetic studies are |
| | | Penebscot Bays | between Canadian and Greenland |
| | | Tenooscot Days | populations |
| | | By 2005, identify and map all important | populations |
| | | harlequin wintering sites in Maine (MDIFW | Initiate research to evaluate significance |
| | | Species Assessment) | of commercial harvesting of resources |
| | | | from harlequin feeding areas (MDIFW |
| | | Minimize activities such as dragging for shallfish and disturbance from waterfowl | Species Assessment) |
| | | bunters that disturbance from waterfowr | Continue efforts to educate public about |
| | | activities (Maine T&E Handbook) | ecology of harlequins and hunter |
| | | | identification "tips" to avoid incidental |
| | | | take during waterfowl harvest |
| | | | |
| | | | In cooperation with partners, develop an |
| | | | outreach program to promote an |
| | | | understanding and appreciation of |
| | | | harlequins and their winter habitat |
| | | | requirements in Maine (MDIFW Species |
| Herring Gull | • BCR population estimate | Reduce threats from competition with Great | Assessment) Monitor abundance and distribution |
| Theming Out | of 194 440 breeding birds: | Black-backed Gulls limited availability of | Women abundance and distribution. |
| | regional population declined | suitable nesting sites, contaminants, and | Research the impacts of contaminants on |
| | in US from 1970s to 1990s | reduction in food availability due to | the existing populations. |
| | (MANEM waterbird species | commercial fisheries | |
| | profile) | | |
| | | Breeding populations on a limited number | |
| | • year-round resident | of seabird restoration islands have been | |
| | | eliminated or significantly reduced in | |
| | | number and distribution | |
| | | In local areas where seabird restoration is | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | being promoted, avoid overboard discharge | |
| | | of bait or fish waste that may enhance gull | |
| | | feeding efforts and populations (Maine | |
| | | T&E Handbook) | |
| Northern Gannet | See Marine Open Water for C | Dbjectives | |
| Purple Sandpiper | • 4,000+ individuals were | Reduce threats from oil spills and human | • Continue or expand efforts to document |
| | observed in Maine in 2003 | disturbance | winter distribution (PRISM) (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | • Wintering resident only | | (() of konop) |
| | to intering resident only | | • Expand efforts to band birds and |
| | | | document site fidelity |
| Razorbill | • BCR population estimate | Threats include: predation from gulls | • Conduct surveys to determine winter |
| Tueorom | of 15.110 breeding birds | habitat loss, changes in food availability, oil | distribution and habitat use of razorbills |
| | or 10,110 or 000 and 0 or 00 | spills, incidental take during fishing, and | BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | • State Threatened in Maine | limited number and distribution of colonies | F/ |
| | | | • Determine breeding locations for birds |
| | • Breeding and wintering | • By 2005, identify and prioritize islands | wintering in the Gulf of Maine (BCR 14 |
| | use in BCR; significant | with suitable nesting habitat and cultivate | Workshop) |
| | wintering population | relationships with partners and landowners | 1 / |
| | documented off Grand | to facilitate management (MDIFW Species | • Develop and implement by 2005 a |
| | Manan Island | Assessment) | protocol to inventory and monitor |
| | | | productivity rates of nesting razorbills |
| | Population Objective: | • By 2015, increase the number of islands | (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | Increase population | supporting nesting razorbills to 8, ensuring | |
| | (MANEM) | these islands are distributed between | • By 2005, develop and implement, in |
| | | Penobscot Bay and downeast Maine. | conjunction with partners, an outreach |
| | By 2015, increase Maine | (MDIFW Species Assessment) | plan to promote an understanding and |
| | population by 50% over | | awareness of seabirds, including |
| | level recorded in 2000 | Maintain seasonal closure of nesting islands | razorbills. (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | (MDIFW Species | | |
| | Assessment) | Continue efforts to protect priority nesting | • Evaluate need and ability to control gull |
| | | islands through conservation ownership | populations on "un-staffed" nesting |
| | | | islands (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| | | | • Initiate research on basic life history |
| | | Focus Area: | and ecology of razorbills, including |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Wintering population near Grand Manan Machias Seal Island Matinicus Rock | breeding success and productivity, characteristics and location of foraging and chick rearing habitats, and survival rates of adult and immature birds. (MDIFW Species Assessment) |
| Roseate Tern | BCR population estimate is 518 breeding birds Federally Endangered <u>Population Objective:</u> Increase breeding population size, distribution, and productivity | Reduce threats from: predation from mink and gulls, habitat loss, changes in food availability, limited number and distribution of colonies, inclement weather Successful management techniques at nesting islands include: Restoration of historical sites using social attraction, vegetation control, predator control, nest shelters, seasonal closure of islands, sign posting, wardens, education programs, and law enforcement. (Tern Management Handbook) Continue efforts to monitor occupied and historic nesting islands (BCR 14 Workshop) Increase the number of historic nesting islands in conservation ownership (ROST Recovery Plan) Focus Areas: Country Island NS, The Brothers NS, Grassy Island NS, Wedge | Continue to research foraging habitat, migration routes, winter habitat use and distribution. (ROST Recovery Plan) Continue outreach efforts regarding effects of human disturbance on nesting colonies (ROST Recovery Plan) Initiate research to determine association with commercial fisheries and climate change to food availability |
| | | Island NS. | |
| Ruddy Turnstone | See Unconsolidated Shore for | r objectives | · |
| Semipalmated Sandpiper | See <u>Unconsolidated Shore</u> for | r objectives | |

Unconsolidated Shore (beach, sand, mudflats)

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Golden Plover | 2 | | Х | | Ruddy Turnstone | 2 | | Х | |
| Black-bellied Plover | 2 | | Х | | Semipalmated Sandpiper | 1 | | Х | |
| Herring Gull | 2 | Х | | Х | Short-billed Dowitcher | 2 | | Х | |
| Piping Plover | 1 | Х | | | Whimbrel | 2 | | Х | |
| Red Knot | 2 | | Χ | | | | | | |

Issues:

Dense human populations are frequently located within the coastal regions of the north-eastern U.S., and as a result, the beach and dune communities associated with these areas are subject to tremendous pressures from recreational activities. Habitat loss, escalating human activity, and increasing populations of "human- associated" predators (i.e raccoon and fox) combine to create significant threats to many of the species utilizing this habitat. The Atlantic Coast population of Piping Plovers, which use this habitat for breeding, is as a threatened species in the U.S. and Canada.

Commercial harvesting of marine invertebrates occurs extensively throughout the state. The effects of this activity, both from a disturbance standpoint and an extraction of resources have yet to be evaluated. Many municipalities also try to rake beaches to remove seaweed rack and enhance aesthetic appearances of the beaches. Removal of the vegetation and the associated invertebrate community results in a significant reduction in food resources for many species.

Threats:

- Recreational disturbance to nesting and foraging birds
- Beach cleaning efforts
- Nuisance/predator species
- Loss of habitat
- Extraction of resources affecting shorebird food supplies
- Oil spills / contaminants
- Flooding

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species which utilize unconsolidated shores (e.g. beaches and mudflats) to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and manage sufficient area of high priority habitats to support current populations of breeding, migrating, and wintering shorebirds and associated focal species.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Identify priority habitats for protection. | Identify and manage sufficient foraging and roosting habitat (intertidal complexes and impoundments) to maintain and enhance regionally important populations, for species with overlapping requirements (RUTU, SESA, SBDO, SAND, BBPL). (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) Research best method of protection—acquisition, fee or easements from willing sellers |
| | Develop coordinated state and federal satellite habitat mapping, delineating all important shorebird habitats (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) Maintain and coordinate habitat protection of areas already owned by federal, state, local government or NGO's. |
| | Train land mangers to mange habitat for shorebirds by increasing the number of Manomet habitat management workshops. (MANEM working group) Research, assess, and implement control programs for mammalian and avian predators for high priority beach nesting birds (BCR 14 workshop) Use voluntary agreements, conservation easements, conservation tax abatements and incentives, and acquisition to protect important shoreland habitat (MDIFW E&T Handbook) |
| | Avoid future residential development of beach and dune habitat (MDIFW E&T Handbook) |
| Restore degraded habitats. | Continue to support state IBA Program Dredge material has been successfully used in some instances to create new habitat, especially for terns and plovers, although all habitat alterations should be conducted with caution and after consultation with experts; new substrates should not be overly silty and depositions with over 20% shell material could interfere with nest construction. (PIF) Utilize dredged material to implement erosion control efforts. (Tern Management Handbook) Vegetation encroachment can degrade habitat for terns and should be prevented at important nesting sites. Addition of dredge spoils on vegetated beach areas may impede succession. (PIF) Assess habitat quality for foraging shorebirds through resource or energetic studies. |

| | in representative habitats throughout the BCR. (NAWCP workshop) |
|---|--|
| | • Continue or develop and implement invasive species removal program |
| | • Conduct vegetation studies and remove vegetation where it is deemed excessive |
| | with the appropriate tools (fire, hand-pulling, grazing, etc). (MANEM working |
| | Group and Tern Management Handbook)) |
| | • Implement floating rafts where flooding threatens nesting species. (Tern |
| | Management Handbook) |
| Identify and protect adequate buffers (inland and | Identify landowners of upland buffers |
| offshore). | • Determine the effects of disturbance and minimum protection buffers to maintain |
| | and enhance shorebird habitat use of foraging and roosting areas (N. Atlantic |
| | Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Determine best method of land conservation and protection—acquisition, fee, |
| | easement. |
| | • Initiate landowner contact. |
| Research Needs | • Identify prey resources in significant stopover and staging areas to determine |
| | optimal management techniques to promote these resources (N. Atlantic Regional |
| | Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Determine the effects of environmental contaminants on shorebirds and their prey |
| | (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Determine length of stay (turnover rates) at stopovers to allow population estimates |
| | to be determined (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Determine limiting factors for priority shorebirds on breeding, migratory, or |
| | wintering grounds (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Actively deter, reduce or eliminate predators. | Use fences and other barriers to reduce predator impacts |
| | • Implement predator control plans where they do not already exist. |
| | • Utilize predator control management techniques in Tern Management Handbook. |
| Reduce or eliminate human disturbance | Restrict access to nesting beaches during mid April to late July. |
| | Prohibit free-running dogs. |
| | • Post signs to alert and educate public to presence of nesting birds. (N. Atlantic |
| | Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Use fences and other barriers to reduce human impacts. |

| | Protect breeding sites from habitat alteration and overuse from recreational activities, including nighttime activities. (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) Implement or utilize existing (partners) outreach opportunities to educate public about their impacts to wildlife (ME Audubon). |
|---|---|
| | • Increase law enforcement at sites with high human disturbance. |
| | • Increase outreach activities to gain support for protection of species. (Tern |
| | Management Handbook) |
| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal species to determine population size, status | • Participate in the implementation of the Program for Regional and International Shorebird Monitoring (PRISM) |
| and trends. | • Design and conduct coordinated aerial survey targeting migrating shorebirds in spring (PIF) |
| | • Develop a targeted monitoring program for high priority shorebird species, including staging and migration sites (coordinate with PIF projects). (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Maintain or enhance shorebird populations, both abundance and species diversity, and monitor populations through reliable and cost-effective techniques (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Monitor shorebirds for responses to current management practices. |
| | Analyze threats to priority shorebird sites. |
| | • Investigate possible negative impacts that rising ocean levels from global climate change could have species. (PIF) |
| | • Continue to evaluate factors that limit populations of the priority species from this habitat suite and impede recovery, including studies of (a)habitat requirements for breeding, foraging, and staging, (b) demographics, (c) causes of mortality, and (d) factors limiting growth and survival of young |
| | • Investigate the behavior and population ecology of predators impacting the priority bird species to provide a better understanding of how to protect the birds from depredation. |
| | • Investigate potential threats from pesticide and heavy metal accumulation. |
| Plan for oil spill response. | • Continue Spill Response efforts and planning, including purchasing survey and hazing equipment (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Identify and map significant habitat for nesting, migratory, and wintering species |
| | • Document habitat quality and food resources prior to spill to serve as baseline for assessing direct and indirect effects of spills (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) |
| | • Implement post spill surveys to accurately quantify spill damages. |
| | • Effects on birds should be minimized by increase enforcement of shipping |

| activities, safe operational procedures, spill clean up and rehabilitation of oiled |
|---|
| birds. |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| American Golden | Surveys along the Maine | | |
| Plover | coast in 1994 documented | | |
| | 10 individuals | | |
| | | | |
| Black-bellied Plover | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage sufficient foraging | |
| | coast in 1998 documented | and roosting habitat to maintain and | |
| | 3,411 individuals | enhance regional populations. (N. Atlantic | |
| | | Regional Shorebird Plan) | |
| Herring Gull | See <u>Islands</u> habitat section fo | r specific objectives for this species | |
| Piping Plover | | Reduce threats from habitat loss and | Continue efforts to educate public and |
| | | degradation, human/dog disturbance, | municipal officials regarding the ecology |
| | Listed as threatened by | beach cleaning activities, and predation. | and life history requirements of plover. |
| | USFWS, CWS and | Over two-thirds of Maine's 30 miles of | |
| | endangered by MDIFW | beaches have been lost as nesting habitat | Continue working with conservation |
| | | for piping plover because of construction | partners to protect nesting areas and |
| | | of jetties, seawalls, and high density | enforce "area closed" practices |
| | | housing. | |
| | | | |
| | | Continue existing management techniques | |
| | | including: fencing, predator control, sign | |
| | | posting, wardens and education programs. | |
| | | | |
| | | Prohibit or minimize the following | |
| | | activities on nesting beaches: driving on | |
| | | beaches, kite flying, fire works, residential | |
| | | development, jetty and seawall | |
| | | construction, unleashed dogs, construction | |
| | | activities occurring between April 1- | |
| | | August 31 (Maine T&E Handbook) | |
| | | See USFWS Piping Plover Recovery Plan: | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | http://pipingplover.fws.gov/recplan/index. | |
| | | <u>html</u> | |
| Red Knot | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage foraging and roosting | |
| | coast in 1993 documented | habitat (intertidal-mud) to maintain | |
| | 425 individuals | migration stopover integrity, by protecting | |
| | | and managing key concentration areas (N. | |
| | | Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) | |
| Ruddy Turnstone | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage sufficient foraging | |
| | coast in 1994 documented | and roosting habitat to maintain and | |
| | 4,317 individuals | enhance regional populations. (N. Atlantic | |
| | | Regional Shorebird Plan) | |
| Semipalmated | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage sufficient foraging | |
| Sandpiper | coast in 1994 documented | and roosting habitat to maintain and | |
| | 53,950 individuals | enhance regional populations. (N. Atlantic | |
| | | Regional Shorebird Plan) | |
| Short-billed | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage sufficient foraging | |
| Dowitcher | coast in 1996 documented | and roosting habitat to maintain and | |
| | 3,664 individuals | enhance regional populations. (N. Atlantic | |
| | | Regional Shorebird Plan) | |
| Whimbrel | Surveys along the Maine | Identify and manage foraging and roosting | |
| | coast in 1995 documented | habitat (intertidal-mud) to maintain | |
| | 329 individuals | migration stopover integrity, by protecting | |
| | | and managing key concentration areas. (N. | |
| | | Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) | |

Estuarine Emergent Saltmarsh

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | В | Μ | W |
|-------------------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х | Short-billed Dowitcher | 2 | | Х | |
| Black-crowned Night Heron | 2 | Х | | | Whimbrel | 2 | | Х | |
| Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow | 1 | Х | | | | | | | |

Issues:

Degradation of existing habitat is a common concern across the BCR. Surrounding land uses (e.g., agriculture, development) may not often be compatible with conservation of coastal saltmarshes and development/construction projects still pose threats in terms of continued habitat loss.

Threats:

Habitat loss and alteration Oil spills and contaminants Mosquito control efforts Human disturbance

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in estuarine emergent saltmarsh habitat to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|--|
| Identify priority habitats for protection. | Research best method of protection—acquisition, fee or easements from willing sellers Maintain and coordinate habitat protection of areas already owned by federal, state |
| | local government or NGO's. |
| | • Create and restore habitat in focus areas through manipulation, augmentation, etc. |
| | • Protect marshes from chemical contamination, siltation, eutrophication, and other forms of pollution. |
| | • Train land mangers to mange habitat for shorebirds by increasing the number of |
| | Manomet habitat management workshops. (MANEM working group) |
| Restore degraded habitat | • Assess habitat quality for foraging shorebirds through resource or energetic studies in |
| | representative habitats throughout the BCR. |
| | Continue or develop and implement invasive species removal program |
| | Conduct vegetation studies (MANEM working Group) |
| Plan for oil spill response. | • Implement planning and simulations or partner with those that are currently |
| | participating in these types of activities. (MANEM working group) |
| | • Monitor and quantify habitat and food resources prior to spill as preparation for |
| | quantifying the direct and indirect impacts of a spill. (MANEM working group) |
| | • Effects on birds should be minimized by increase enforcement of shipping activities, |
| | safe operational procedures, spill clean up and rehabilitation of oiled birds. |
| Secure adequate upland buffers (drier habitats | • TO preserve water quality and wetland function, maintain contiguous, forested riparian |

| adjoining wet marsh areas), especially for | habitat at least 250' from saltmarsh (MDIFW E&T Handbook) |
|--|---|
| marshes near agricultural lands and human | • Identify landowners of upland buffers |
| development. (PIF) | • Determine best protection—acquisition, fee, easement. |
| | Initiate landowner contact. |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|---|--|
| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal species to determine population size, | • Participate in the implementation of the Program for Regional and International Shorebird Monitoring (PRISM) |
| status, and trends. | Develop and implement a regional monitoring program targeting coastal marshes in order to track population trends and estimate population sizes for all groups of birds Develop a targeted monitoring program for high priority shorebird species, including staging and migration sites (coordinate with PIF projects). Monitor shorebirds for responses to current management practices. Analyze threats to priority shorebird sites. Study how land-use practices such as ditching, impounding, dredging, open marsh water management, burning, and marsh restoration impact species in this suite (especially sparrows and rails) to determine optimal habitat management practices. (PIF) Conduct studies of productivity and survival of sparrow populations across the planning unit to understand factors regulating population size and persistence. (PIF) Investigate possible negative impacts that rising ocean levels from global climate change could have on marsh-nesting species. (PIF) |
| Eliminate or reduce human disturbance. | Develop and implement outreach projects to reduce human disturbance (N. Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan) Partner with existing organizations to enhance efforts Increase law enforcement at protected sites. Increase agency capacity focused on permit and technical assistance for shorebird, landbird, waterbird species. State agencies should fund incentives or measures to eliminate waterbird bycatch; specific suggestion for mid-Atlantic is to buy out gill-net fisheries. (BCR 30 workshop) Encourage local planning (e.g., rolling setbacks and other tools) to ensure important breeding and non-breeding habitat is not affected by sea level rise due to climate change. (BCR 30 workshop) |
| Incorporate protection of priority species into oil spill response plans. | Coordinate with appropriate partnersIdentify key tern foraging sites, prey base and stocks (MANEM working group) |

| • Effects on birds should be minimized by increase enforcement of shipping activities, |
|---|
| safe operational procedures, spill clean up and rehabilitation of oiled birds. (S. Atlantic |
| Migratory Bird Initiative) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| American Black | See Estuaries and Bays for C | Dbjectives | |
| Duck | | | |
| Black-crowned Night | Population estimated at | No clear threats or declines known, | Evaluate significance of heron predation |
| Heron | 118 pairs at / colonies | however likely to be influenced by habitat | on tern colonies (BCR 14 workshop) |
| | | Workshop) | |
| | | workshop) | |
| | | Protect integrity of existing breeding and | |
| | | foraging habitat | |
| Nelson's Sharp-tailed | Stable or possibly | Reduce threats from: loss and degradation | Species is poorly covered by traditional |
| Sparrow | increasing BBS trend | of saltmarsh habitat, sea level rise, oil | BBS routes, and therefore requires |
| | (+1.68% / year for 1966- | spills, industrial discharge, and | specific monitoring efforts targeted at this |
| | 1999) | contaminants (mercury) | and other saltmarsh species for a better |
| | > 000% of costom | Protect breading babitat and aumounding | understanding of the taxonomic status |
| | >90% of eastern | upland habitat at all occupied sites (BCP | better population estimate (BCP 14 |
| | 14 | 14 Workshop) | Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | Determine effects of saltmarsh restoration | Inventory of New England saltmarshes |
| | Population Objective: | efforts on species (BCR 14 Workshop) | has been completed, but now need to |
| | Maintain stable population | | establish long-term monitoring program |
| | in BCR (PIF) | Evaluate need to restore tidal flow to | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | "historic" marsh habitat (i.e. remove tide | |
| | | gates / install larger culverts) (BCR 14 | Continue contaminant investigations to |
| | | worksnop) | 14 Workshop) |
| Short-billed | See Unconsolidated Shore for | or Objectives | • |
| Dowitcher | | | |
| Whimbrel | See Unconsolidated Shore for | or Objectives | |

Freshwater Wetlands

This habitat type supports thousands of lakes and ponds and tens of thousands perhaps hundreds of thousands of wetlands. Many states and provinces have lost large portions of their wetland resource. The greatest losses occurred in floodplain wetlands (including forested wetlands and vernal pools) following hydropower development along major rivers. Over time, agriculture also has contributed heavily to losses (and conversion) of wetland habitat. American Black Ducks are synonymous with beaver flowages, ponds and marshes in the BCR 14. Despite long-term declines they remain a common if not the most abundant breeder in the region. The wetland habitats used by black ducks and other associated species are protected by both state/provincial and federal laws. However, small incremental losses continue to occur across the region.

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------------|----------|---|---|---|---------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Bittern | 3 | Х | | | Herring Gull | 2 | Х | | |
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х | Least Sandpiper | 3 | | Х | |
| American Woodcock | 1 | Х | | | Northern Harrier | 3 | Х | | |
| Bald Eagle | 3 | Х | | Х | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |
| Bank Swallow | 3 | Х | | | Palm Warbler | 3 | Х | | |
| Barn Swallow | 3 | Х | | | Rusty Blackbird | 2 | Х | | |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | 1 | | | Х | Short-eared Owl | 3 | Х | Х | |
| Black-crowned Night Heron | 2 | Х | | | Wilson's Phalarope | 3 | Х | | |
| Canada Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Wood Duck | 3 | Х | | |
| Common Goldeneye | 3 | Х | | Х | Yellow Rail | 3 | Х | | |
| Common Loon | 3 | Х | | | Yellow-bellied Flycatcher | 3 | Х | | |
| Common Tern | 2 | Х | | | | | | | |

Associated priority species:

Freshwater Lakes, Rivers, and Streams

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|---|-------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х | Common Tern | 2 | Х | | |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | 1 | | | Х | Common Loon | 3 | Х | | |

Consider adding Common Loon and Bald Eagle

Issues:

The amount of freshwater wetlands that have been lost or degraded during the last century is huge. The greatest threats to most species in this habitat suite are continuing loss and alteration of wetland habitat through draining, dredging, filling, pollution, acid rain, agricultural practices, and siltation. Various contaminants (e.g., pesticides, insecticides, heavy metals, acid deposition, etc.) from industrial, agricultural, and urban/suburban sources can degrade wetland ecosystems and impair reproductive abilities of the birds. The size of wetlands is also an important consideration for some of the priority species in this habitat suite. Many of these species occur more often and at higher abundances in larger wetlands. Loss of wetland habitat continues to be the primary concern for the species of this habitat suite, and preservation of existing wetland sites should be the first priority for conservation actions in this habitat type.

Threats:

- Loss/alteration of habitat
- Contamination from various pollutants
- Invasive Species

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in the freshwater lake, rivers, and streams habitat suite to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Identify priority habitats for protection. | • Preserve all large (> 10 ha) freshwater wetlands from development, draining, and other forms of habitat loss. (PIF) |
| | • Evaluate habitat requirements, including nest site characteristics, water quality, and minimum wetland area needed during both the breeding and non-breeding seasons. (PIF) |
| Maintain and manage priority habitats already protected. | • Coordinate habitat protection of areas owned by federal, state, local government or NGO's. |
| | Continue to implement Wetland Protection regulations. |
| | • Investigate wetland management alternatives that can provide a variety of |
| | wetland habitat conditions that are suitable to the various needs of the priority |
| | species in this habitat suite. (PIF) |

| | • Evaluate habitat requirements, including nest site characteristics, water quality, and minimum wetland area needed during both the breeding and non-breeding seasons. (PIF) |
|---|--|
| | • Design a regional management program for these wetland species that continue to be threatened by habitat loss, including increased coordination among managers and biologists to prevent duplication of research efforts and to share current information. |
| | • Creation of new nesting habitat may be needed for some species in this physiographic area. Minor alterations to existing management activities for waterfowl, such as leaving some dense stands of cattail and bulrush for nesting sites and maintaining fairly stable water levels during the nesting season, should benefit many of these species. Complete drying of impoundments during drawdowns should be avoided to prevent the die-off of small fish, amphibians, and dragonflies, which are a major food sources for many of these bird species. Slow drawdowns should benefit bitterns by providing suitable foraging habitat and encouraging dense stands of emergent vegetation for nesting. (PIF) |
| Reduce/eliminate wetland alteration and | • Implement new and existing outreach efforts to the general public to gain support |
| degradation. | for wetland protection. |
| | • Wetlands used as breeding sites should be protected from chemical |
| | pollution/contamination that could directly harm breeding birds or their food supply. (PIF) |
| | • Hemi-marsh conditions favored by grebes and ducks need to be maintained by |
| | periodic reversal of vegetation succession to open up some of the extensive stands of emergent vegetation, but suitable habitat for nesting needs to maintained in nearby areas during wetland management. (PIF) |
| Reduce/eliminate invasive species. | • Evaluate effects of invasive plants such as <i>Phragmites</i> and purple loosestrife. (PIF) |
| | • Work with partners to remove invasive species from infested priority habitats. |
| | • (<u>http://invasives.eeb.uconn.edu/ipane</u>) and other invasive species groups for guidance on removal. |

2. Maintain and enhance populations of high priority species.

| Monitor breeding and non-breeding populations of focal species to determine population size, status | • | Develop a targeted monitoring program for high priority species. Coordinate with PIF projects. (BCR 30 workshop) |
|---|---|--|
| and trends. | • | Utilize standard methods for conducting point-counts using tape-recorded |

| vocalization playback. (PIF) |
|---|
| • Determine causes of breeding failure and mortality of young and adults. (PIF) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| American Black Duck | See Palustrine Emergent N | <u>farsh</u> for Breeding Objectives, Esturaries and | l Bays for Wintering Objectives |
| Barrow's Goldeneye | See Estuaries and Bays for | Objectives | |
| Common Tern | See <u>Islands</u> for Objectives | | |
| Common Loon | BCR population | Reduce threat from adicification, mercury, | • Determine impacts of human disturbance |
| | estimated at 5,900 by | and oil pollution in winter. | • Linking wintering and breeding |
| | MANEM | | populations |
| | | Reduce disturbance in key breeding areas, | • Identify appropriate cencus interval |
| | Stable in US portion, | protect nestings sites in areas of heavy | Continued work on mercury |
| | regional decline in | human recreational use | • Impacts of botulism outbreaks and |
| | Canada | | emaciation syndrome |
| | | Protect wetland habitat from development, | • continue outreach and education efforts in |
| | Breeds across BCR with | contaminants, and other degradation | southern regions of BCR as development |
| | exception of extreme | | and recreation increases |
| | southern portion | Maintain consistent water levels during | |
| | | breeding season | |

Palustrine Emergent Marsh

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х |
| Black-crowned Night Heron | 2 | Х | | |

Issues:

Threats: Habitat loss and degradation

Goals:

General Objectives:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in Palustrine emergent marsh habitat to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

Species Specific Objectives:

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| American Black | Maine population | Reduce threats from competition with | Expand population model to include |
| Duck | estimated at: 32,300 | Mallards, human disturbance, habitat loss | habitat characteristics (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| (Breeding Population) | individuals (or pairs??) | and degradation, and contaminants. | |
| | | | Expand survey efforts throughout BCR to |
| | Maine harvested 9,717 | Peatlands in northern part of BCR are | cover areas currently not included in aerial |
| | birds in 2002 | threatened by peat extraction (BCR 14 | surveys (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | Workshop) | |
| | Year round presence in | | Breeding ground survey from the ground in |
| | Maine | | Quebec along the coast (BCR 14 |
| | | | Workshop) |
| | Obj being developed by | | |
| | NSST | | |
| | See Estuaries and Bays for V | Wintering Objectives | |
| Black-crowned Night | See Estuarine Emergent Salt | tmarsh for Objectives | |
| Heron | | | |

Forested Wetland

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Black Duck | 1 | Х | | Х |
| Black-crowned Night Heron | 2 | Х | | |
| Rusty Blackbird | 2 | Х | | |

Issues:

Threats:

Habitat loss and degradation

Goals:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in forested wetlands to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in Forested Wetland habitat to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

Species Specific Objectives:

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| American Black | See Palustrine Emergent Ma | rsh for Breeding Objectives, Esturaries and | Bays for Wintering Objectives |
| Duck | | | |
| Black-crowned Night | See Estuarine Emergent Salt | marsh for Objectives | |
| Heron | | | |
| Rusty Blackbird | Uncertain BBS trend in | Threats: Vulnerable to competition from | Need basic information on species |
| | BCR 14 | open area species; reduction of wooded | distribution, demography, and limiting |
| | | wetlands; large territories (.5km) and | factors. (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Population Objective: | clear cutting, southern end of range | |
| | Attempt to double | (1.1% of breeding population in BCR), | Determine population trends (BCR 14 |
| | population (PIF);. | and important habitat integrator (i.e. | Workshop) |
| | | mosaics and snags). | |
| | See Population Estimates | | Document habitat requirements and breeding |
| | table for numerical | Conserve mosaics of forested wetlands | needs for the species (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | estimates and objectives | within landscape level habitat | |
| | | management efforts (BCR 14 Workshop) | |
| | | | |

Shrub-scrub Wetland, including bogs

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|-------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Woodcock | 1 | Х | | | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |

| Canada warbler 1 X Kusty Blackbird 2 X | Canada Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Rusty Blackbird | 2 | Х | | |
|--|----------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------|---|---|--|--|
|--|----------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------|---|---|--|--|

Issues:

Threats:

Habitat loss and degradation

Goals:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in shrub-scrub wetlands and bogs to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in Shrub-scrub Wetlands, including bogs, to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

Species Specific Objectives:

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| American Woodcock | See Shrub / Early Success | ional habitat for Objectives | | | | | |
| Canada Warbler | See Deciduous/Mixed For | e Deciduous/Mixed Forest for Objectives | | | | | |
| Olive-sided Flycatcher | See Deciduous/Mixed For | rest for Objectives | | | | | |
| Rusty Blackbird | See Forested Wetland for | Objectives | | | | | |

Uplands

Associated Priority Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|-------------------------|----------|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Golden Plover | 2 | | Х | | Horned Lark | 3 | Х | | |
| American Redstart | 2 | Х | | | Ipswich Savannah Sparrow | 1 | Х | | |
| American Woodcock | 1 | Х | | | Killdeer | 3 | Х | | |
| Barn Swallow | 3 | Х | | | Long-eared Owl | 2 | Х | | |
| Bay-breasted Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Northern Flicker | 3 | Х | | |
| Bicknell's Thrush | 1 | Х | | | Northern Goshawk | 3 | Х | | Х |
| Black-backed Woodpecker | 3 | Х | | Х | Northern Harrier | 3 | Х | | |

| Black-bellied Plover | 2 | | Х | | Northern Parula | 3 | Х | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Black-billed Cuckoo | 3 | Х | | | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |
| Blackburnian Warbler | 3 | Х | | | Ovenbird | 3 | Х | | |
| Blackpoll Warbler | 3 | Х | | | Palm Warbler | 3 | Х | | |
| Black-throated Blue Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Pine Grosbeak | 3 | Х | | Х |
| Black-throated Green Warbler | 3 | Х | | | Purple Finch | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Blue-winged Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Rose-breasted Grosbeak | 3 | Х | | |
| Bobolink | 2 | Х | | | Ruffed Grouse | 3 | Х | | Х |
| Boreal Chickadee | 2 | Х | | Х | Short-eared Owl | 3 | Х | Х | |
| Boreal Owl | 3 | | | Х | Upland Sandpiper | 2 | Х | | |
| Brown Creeper | 3 | Х | | Х | Veery | 2 | Х | | |
| Canada Goose – NAP | 2 | | Х | | Vesper Sparrow | 3 | Х | | |
| Canada Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Whip-poor-will | 3 | Х | | |
| Cape May Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Willet | 3 | Х | | |
| Chestnut-sided Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Wilson's Snipe | 3 | Х | | |
| Chimney Swift | 2 | Х | | | Wood Thrush | 1 | Х | | |
| Common Nighthawk | 2 | Х | | | Yellow-bellied Flycatcher | 3 | Х | | |
| Eastern Wood-Pewee | 2 | Х | | | Yellow-bellied Sapsucker | 2 | Х | | |
| Gray Jay | 3 | Х | | Χ | | | | | |

Deciduous and Mixed Forests

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|-----------------------------|----------|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Redstart | 2 | Х | | | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |
| Black-throated Blue Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Purple Finch | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Canada Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Veery | 2 | Х | | |
| Chimney Swift | 2 | Х | | | Wood Thrush | 1 | Х | | |
| Eastern Wood-Pewee | 2 | Х | | | Yellow-bellied Sapsucker | 2 | Х | | |
| Long-eared Owl | 2 | Х | | | | | | | |

Issues:

Northern hardwood and mixed forests, usually dominated by sugar maple, beech, and birch represent the most widely distributed habitatcommunity within the region. Although mature hardwoods (and associated white pine) were extensively harvested in the past century, these forests have largely regenerated over most of the region during the past 50 years. In the Canadian provinces and northern Maine, however, where commercial timber production is the dominant land use, regenerating conifer stands have replaced the original hardwood forest over vast areas. Today, hardwood and mixed forest types are dominant in New England and at lower elevations in southern Quebec and southern New Brunswick. Throughout this recent history of widely fluctuating availability in the region, few if any bird species dependent on northern hardwood forests have been lost or severely reduced in abundance. The importance of this habitat type is great, because of the number of associated bird species with high proportions of their total population in the region. In general, these species are relatively abundant throughout the region, and many show stable or even increasing population trends. Setting habitat and population objectives is therefore not as straightforward as in the mountaintop or mature conifer habitat types. Conservation planning should focus on extensive tracts of representative forest types, and should address the microhabitat needs of species showing regional or local declines. A majority of high-priority species in this habitat are dependent on particular characteristics of the forest understory.

Threats:

- Large-scale forestry operations, resulting in habitat fragmentation, change in species and age composition
- Habitat loss associated with development
- Predation and Parasitism
- Contaminants
- Habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in the mature deciduous/mixed forest to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|--|
| Identify priority habitats for protection. | • Conduct land use analysis to identify all remaining large forest block (e.g., ≥ 350 |
| | na) and fandscapes with high % forest cover (e.g., > 70%). (U.S. PIF) |
| Target large forest blocks for protection. (PIF) | Collect ownership/contact information. |
| | • Research best method of protection—acquisition, fee or easements from willing |
| | sellers |
| Maintain and manage priority habitats already | • Coordinate habitat conservation and appropriate management of areas already |
| protected. | owned by federal, state, local government or NGO's. (BCR 30 workshop) |
| | • Create and restore habitat in focus areas through manipulation, augmentation, |
| | connecting smaller forest blocks to create large patches, etc (U.S. PIF) |
| | • Assess vegetation structure to ensure that appropriate structural characteristics of |
| | the habitat are being maintained. (U.S. PIF) |

| If forest stands have reached a late-successional stage but have little shrub or mid-canopy vegetation and few breaks in the canopy, low-level management through selective cuts or thinning may improve habitat conditions. (U.S. PIF) Assess the effects of various logging practices (including selection and shelterwood cuts) on occurrence, breeding density, and nesting success of the priority species in this habitat suite. (U.S. PIF) Develop specific forest management guidelines for high priority species. (BCR 30 |
|--|
| workshop) |
| |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Monitor populations of focal species and species | • Design and conduct targeted monitoring program to track population trends of |
| from the suite to determine population sizes, | forest interior species that are not well-covered by BBS in this physiographic area. |
| statuses, and trends. | (U.S. PIF) |
| | • Monitor reproductive success of this suite of species at different locations |
| | throughout region to better understand where forest fragmentation causes |
| | problems and where it does not. (U.S. PIF) |
| | • Assess sensitivity of species in this habitat suite to pesticides currently being used to control gypsy moths and other insect pest species. (U.S. PIF) |
| | • Studies of reproductive success, lingering impacts of pesticide use, prey population |
| | levels, habitat characteristics of nest sites and preferred foraging areas. (U.S. PIF) |
| | • Determine relative importance and use of other habitat types during the post- |
| | fledging period prior to migration. (U.S. PIF) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| American Redstart | Declining BBC trend in | Threats: Some data suggest population | Adequately sampled by BBS, continue |
| | <u>BCR 14 (-1.07%/yr,</u> | decline may be partially a result of forest | monitoring with BBS (BCR 14 Workshop). |
| | <u>P=0.013 for 1966-1999)</u> | maturation. | |
| | | | Additional research must be done to assess |
| | Population Objective: | Likely benefit from the maintenance of | habitat relationships and reproductive |
| | Maintain current | large areas of habitat where natural | survivorship during winter. |
| | population level (PIF) | successional processes are allowed or | |
| | | simulated. | |
| | See Population Estimates | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | table for numerical | | |
| | estimates and objectives | | |
| Black-throated Blue | Stable in BSS trend in | Threats: Few- in many areas the species is | Well sampled by BBS and other forest |
| Warbler | <u>BCR 14 (+0.964%/yr ;</u> | increasing and often reaches high | studies. |
| | <u>1996-1999).</u> | densities where it occurs. However, | |
| | | declining in Adirondack possibly due to | No further research needed- perhaps one of |
| | Population Objective: | declines in sugar maple, American beech, | the most studied warblers in North |
| | Maintain current | and even eastern hemlock. | America. |
| | population (PIF) | Longe areas of mature forest with well | Could be drofted as "flooghin anapies" for |
| | See Depulation Estimates | davalanad understory structure needed to | could be drafted as magship species for |
| | table for numerical | developed understory structure needed to | general public outreach activities. |
| | estimates and objectives | ensure species presence. | |
| Canada Warbler | Declining BBS trend in | Reduce threats from habitat loss and | Expand network of forest monitoring sites |
| | BCR 14 (-2 46% / year | degradation from forest management | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | between 1966-1999) | practices that results in reduced | (bert i i workshop) |
| | | availability of understory vegetation (e.g., | Work with local communities on wintering |
| | Population Objective: | over-stocked near-mature even aged | grounds to educate them about CAWA |
| | Increase BCR population | stands that have little understory) | conservation issues (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | by 50% (PIF) | | |
| | | Halt habitat loss on wintering grounds | Initiate research on winter habitat use and |
| | See Population Estimates | (BCR 14 Workshop) | distribution, evaluate habitat characteristics |
| | table for numerical | | of breeding habitat, forest management |
| | estimates and objectives | | prescriptions, and generate basic |
| | | | information on demography of population. |
| | | | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Chimney Swift | See <u>Urban / Suburban</u> for C | bjectives | |
| Eastern Wood-Pewee | Declining BBS trend in | Threats: Not clearly understood, apart | Well covered by BBS, continue monitoring |
| | BCR 14 (-2.99% / year | from habitat loss | through BBS (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | between 1966-1999) | Focus areas: Deciduous forest zones | |
| | | | Examine causes of population decline |
| | continental declines but | | (DUK 14 WORKSHOP) |
| | forest openings or edges | | |
| | Torest openings of edges | | |
| | Population Objective: | | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | Increase population by | | |
| | 50% (PIF) | | |
| | San Population Estimator | | |
| | table for numerical | | |
| | estimates and objectives | | |
| Long-eared Owl | | | Need targeted monitoring to determine population trends |
| | | | Need studies of demography and habitat |
| | | | use to better understand species' needs and |
| | | | what limiting factors are |
| | | | |
| Olive-sided Flycatcher | See Coniferous Forest habit | at section for specific objectives | · |
| Purple Finch | See Coniferous Forest habit | at section for specific objectives | |
| Veery | Declining BBS trend in | Increase habitat quality by increasing | Continue monitoring through BBS (BCR |
| | BCR 14 (-1.19% / year | availability of understory vegetation in | 14 Workshop) |
| | between 1966-1999) | maturing forests; this species also uses | |
| | | early and mid-successional forest, so | Investigate reasons for population decline |
| | Population Objective: | would benefit from shifting mosaic | and determine status of wintering habitat |
| | Increase population by | practices | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | 50% (PIF) | | |
| | See Population Estimates | | |
| | table for numerical | | |
| | estimates and objectives | | |
| Wood Thrush | Declining BBS trend in | Threats: Not clearly understood, but may | Continue monitoring through BBS (BCR |
| | BCR 14 (-2.49% / year | include quality and loss of habitat | 14 Workshop) |
| | between 1966-1999) | (shrub/scrub layer), acid rain, | |
| | | contaminants, and loss of wintering | Initiate research on population decline, |
| | Population Objective: | habitat | focusing on reproductive success rates in |
| | Increase BCR population | | relation to condition of forest (BCR 14 |
| | by 50% (PIF) and adjust | Develop forest management guidelines | Workshop) |
| | for long term declines. | which would result in improving habitat | |
| | | quality and quantity (BCR 14 Workshop) | Once forest guideline have been |
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> | | developed, work with forest mangers to |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| | table for numerical estimates and objectives | Develop regional integrated forest management plan (BCR 14 Workshop) | insure measures are considered during management (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Yellow-bellied Sapsucker | Declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (-1.64% / year for 1966-1999) <u>Population Objective:</u> Increase population by 10% (PIF) | Increase habitat quality for the species by leaving suitable cavity trees after timber harvest operations (BCR 14 Workshop) Threats include loss of nesting trees and cavities. | Need to determine causes of population declines (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | | |

Coniferous Forest

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|----------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| Bay-breasted Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Long-eared Owl | 2 | Х | | |
| Boreal Chickadee | 2 | Х | | Х | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |
| Canada Warbler | 1 | Х | | | Purple Finch | 2 | Х | | Х |
| Cape May Warbler | 2 | Х | | | | | | | |

Issues:

Coniferous forests, dominated by balsam fir and red spruce, represent one of two major forest types (along with northern hardwoods) that occur in a mosaic throughout the region. Large continuous areas of coniferous forest exist in the northern and eastern sections of Maine, central and northern New Brunswick, the Gaspe Peninsula of Quebec, and interior portions of Nova Scotia. Stands dominated by spruces or firs also occur as islands throughout the mixed and hardwood dominated forests further south and west and at lower elevations, depending on drainage and disturbance regimes.

Coniferous (i.e. softwood) tree species are currently preferred for commercial timber production (pulp and paper) in this region, and vast acreages of coniferous forest are under management for commercial forestry. Total area of coniferous forest has increased in the region as mature hardwood and mixed forests were initially logged and replaced by regenerating softwoods. Because of shorter rotation cycles, however, age-class distribution of conifer forest is favoring younger and more even-aged stands. A 1995 forest-management plan for New Brunswick (NB Dept. of
Natural Resources and Energy 1995) projected that mature and overmature classes of spruce-dominated coniferous forest will decline more rapidly over the next 40 years (from 46% of land area to 8%) than any other habitat-community type. Similar trends may also apply to portions of the industrial forests of northern Maine. It is these mature coniferous forests that support a large number of high priority bird species, and if projections are accurate these species may decline throughout the region. Unlike the patchily distributed mountaintop communities, where protection of specific sites is critical, conservation strategies for mature coniferous forest will need to focus on maintenance of minimum percentages of the landscape mosaic to prevent local loss of this habitat type and its associated dependent species. This goal may best be achieved through cooperative agreements with large landowners.

Many of the focal species in this group require better trend information primarily from areas not currently covered by BBS. These species vary widely in preference for age and density of forest, degree of association with wet areas, and tolerance of deciduous or mixed forests. None of these species are critically imperiled, and most are abundant and widespread in the region. However, many of these species have undergone periods of notable decline.

Threats:

- Large-scale forestry operations, resulting in habitat fragmentation of mature forests, changes in species and age composition
- Habitat loss associated with development
- Contaminants
- Habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in coniferous forests to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objective:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in Coniferous Forests to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Bay-breasted Warbler | Possibly declining BBS | Reduce threats from loss of mature spruce- | Investigate effects of spruce budworm |
| | trend in BCR 14 (-1.79% / | fir habitat due to shortened harvest | suppression efforts on the population, and |
| | year between 1966-1999) | rotations, spruce budworm suppression | determine if current population level |
| | but is naturally cyclic with | efforts, forest fragmentation, loss of | reflects natural population fluctuations |
| | spruce budworm outbreaks | wintering habitat, and perhaps global | associated with budworm outbreaks (BCR |
| | | climate change | 14 Workshop) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| | Population Objective:Increase population by50% (PIF)See Population Estimatestable for numericalestimates and objectives | Maintain existing large contiguous tracks of mature spruce-fir forest (BCR 14 Workshop) | Initiate efforts to monitor habitat on wintering grounds (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Boreal Chickadee | Year-round resident Declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (-6.54% / year between 1966-1999) <u>Population Objective:</u> Increase population by 100% (PIF) See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | Reduce threats from habitat loss; conservation issues include rangewide population declines and significant longterm decline in BCR 14. | Determine how well BBS routes sample this species (BCR 14 Workshop) Investigate causes of population decline, including winter ecology and forest fragmentation (BCR 14 Workshop) Clarify habitat relationships (stand level and patch size). Determine current habitat requirements and availability, and establish habitat threshold for species (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Canada Warbler | See Deciduous/Mixed Fores | t habitat section for specific objectives | |
| Cape May Warbler | Possible BBS declines in BCR 14 (-1.54% / year between 1966-1999) | Reduce threats from loss of mature conifer forest | Continue monitoring through BBS (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Population Objective:Maintain currentpopulation (PIF)See Population Estimatestable for numericalestimates and objectives | Maintain existing patches of mature conifer forest and incorporate plans for older growth patches into forest management plans (BCR 14 Workshop) Work with industrial foresters to insure long-term availability of mature conifer forest (BCR 14 Workshop) | Evaluate relationship to spruce budworm cycles (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Long-eared Owl | | | Need targeted monitoring to determine population trends |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | Need studies of demography and habitat use to better understand species' needs and what limiting factors are |
| Olive-sided Flycatcher | Declining BBS trend in BCR 14 (-3.65% / year between 1966-1999) <u>Population Objective:</u> Attempt to double current population (PIF) See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | Threats: Not clearly understood, increased predation rates at edge habitats even though species is associated with disturbances and forest edges, habitat loss on S. American wintering grounds Need to identify key breeding locations and assess current habitat conditions and potential threats at those sites (BCR 14 Workshop) Investigate experimental habitat | Need to initiate targeted monitoring efforts, such as a species atlas project, particularly in bog habitats (BCR 14 Workshop) Need to confirm population trend (BCR 14 Workshop) Initiate research on limiting factors, effects of silvicultural practices (i.e snag removal), and reproductive success rates in artificial or managed habitats (BCR 14 |
| | | manipulations to enhance local populations (BCR 14 Workshop) | Workshop) Educate landowners and mangers regarding the threats to, and associated decline of the species (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Purple Finch | Declining BBS trend for BCR in BCR 14(-2.43% / year for 1966 -1999) <u>Population Objective:</u> Increase population by 50% (PIF) See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | Threats: generally unknown, but may be adversely effected by harvesting practices that lead to less structural diversity as forests age and winter habitat loss. Enhance nesting habitat for the species by promoting management practices that provide greater structural diversity within the forest (BCR 14 Workshop) | Continue monitoring population trends, including monitoring in managed forests (BCR 14 Workshop) Gather information on habitat associations, including forest structure, for the species (BCR 14 Workshop) Develop outreach material promoting structural diversity within the forest, and provide to industrial forest managers (BCR 14 Workshop) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Mountaintop Forest

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|-------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| Bicknell's Thrush | 1 | Х | | |
| Purple Finch | 2 | Х | | Х |

This habitat type occurs naturally at higher elevations from the Adirondack Mountains of New York, northeastward through northern New England and western New Brunswick to the Gaspe Peninsula of Quebec. As a result its distribution is naturally fragmented at the landscape level, with most patches estimated to be <1,000 ha in extent. The elevations at which this habitat occurs is higher in the southern portions of this region (generally >900 meters in the U.S.) compared to the northern portions (generally >400 meters in Canada). Note that Bicknell's Thrush habitat is not strictly limited to mountaintop forests, particularly in the northern portions of its range, where it breeds on low elevation off-shore islands in Nova Scotia and in some coastal areas along the Bay of Fundy. Appropriate vegetation structure and microclimate might be more important that elevation, per se.

Threats:

- Global climate change
- Acid precipitation
- Recreational and other development
- Contaminants
- Habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds

Goal

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species which utilize mountaintop forest to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|--|
| Identify priority habitats for protection. | • Identify and characterize (habitat size, quality, and ownership) of all potential |
| | habitat patches, using GIS (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28) |
| | • Designate important breeding areas under Important Bird Area program (PIF Plan |
| | for Physiographic Area 28) |
| | • Identify specific threats at occupied sites (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28) |
| | • If declines in breeding habitat availability or Bicknell's Thrush populations are |
| | documented, initiate programs to implement habitat protection strategies (PIF Plan |
| | for Physiographic Area 28) |
| | • Initiate efforts to "officially" recognize Bicknell's Thrush and mountaintop habitat |
| | as a high conservation priority in public agency and private land-use planning |
| | efforts (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28) |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Monitor populations of focal species and species from the suite to determine population sizes, statuses, and trends. | Complete on-the-ground inventories to determine numbers of breeding Bicknell's Thrush at all occupied sites (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28) Initiate research on reproductive success of focal species into ongoing studies of forest health, in relation to pollution and development (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| Bicknell's Thrush | BCR 14 Highest Priority; | Reduce threats from: global climate | Expand existing monitoring practices for |
| | PIF Continental Watch | change, environmental contaminants | high elevation species, including monitoring |
| | List; Special Concern in | (mercury), breeding habitat loss | natal dispersal of BITH (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Canada, VT, ME, NY, | (communication towers, ski areas, wind | |
| | NH. | power turbines), winter habitat loss, and | Analyze existing data to evaluate population |
| | | industrial forest practices | changes (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Uncertain population | | |
| | trend in BCR 14 | Maintain existing range of breeding | Evaluate significance of contaminant |
| | | habitat (BCR 14 Workshop) | exposure (mercury) on BITH (BCR 14 |
| | >90% of population | | Workshop) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| | breeds in BCR 14 <u>Population Objective:</u> Increase population stability and overall numbers within BCR by 10% (PIF) | Secure habitat protection for core breeding areas in Maine and Canada, secure stewardship and management agreements with industrial forest managers (PIF Plan for Physiographic Area 28 and BCR 14 Workshop) | Initiate a Population Viability Analysis for BITH (BCR 14 Workshop) Model potential consequences of climate change (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | Develop policies and measures that would protect and enhance wintering habitat as the mitigation "cost" for development projects in the US (BCR 14 Workshop) Develop management plans and formal protection measures for core wintering areas (BCR 14 Workshop) Habitat restoration and creation of buffer zones around important habitat on the wintering grounds (BCR 14 Workshop) | Determine demographics and reproductive success rates within industrial forest landscape (BCR 14 Workshop) Examine habitat segregation between males and females on the wintering grounds (BCR 14 Workshop) Expand monitoring efforts throughout wintering range (BCR 14 Workshop) Determine distribution and habitat use in Cuba, Haiti, and Jamaica (BCR 14 Workshop) Use BITH as an umbrella species to educate public about conservation needs of migratory species and international conservation issues (BCR 14 Workshop) Educate recreational users of montane forests about BITH conservation (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Purple Finch | See <u>Coniterous Forest</u> for C | Dbjectives | |

Shrub / Early Successional Forest

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Woodcock | 1 | Х | | | Chestnut-sided Warbler | 2 | Х | | |
| Blue-winged Warbler | 2 | Х | | | Olive-sided Flycatcher | 2 | Х | | |

Issues:

Natural disturbance was undoubtedly responsible for maintaining local areas of early successional habitat, following severe storms, landslides, beaver activity, or fire. These areas probably were important in sustaining populations of priority bird species, and they remain important today, especially in portions of physiographic area that are exempt from timber harvest. Other early successional habitats are created or maintained through the processes of agricultural abandonment and silviculture. Regenerating forests created through silvicultural practices are an important component of the landscape on extensive areas owned by private timber companies. Many species of birds associated with this habitat have been experiencing steep population declines in the Northeast over the last several decades, including in Maine. While many of these species are still fairly widespread and common, these steep declines warrant attention. (PIF)

Threats:

- Urban/suburban development
- Lack of adequate disturbance events in remaining forested areas
- Commercial timber harvests of extremely large cuts resulting in huge blocks of a single age class
- Habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in shrub / early successional habitat to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats. (Refer to PIF Physiographic Area 9 plan for a comprehensive discussion on management and implementation strategies.)

| Strategy | Task |
|---|--|
| Identify and protect high priority habitat. | • Research best method of protection—acquisition, fee or easements from willing sellers |
| | • Identify powerline rights-of-way to be managed to provide habitat for shrubland birds. (PIF) |
| | • Work with private forest owners to promote cutting smaller blocks in a shifting mosaic framework rather then extremely large clearcuts |
| | Work with forest owners to promote leaving some patches of older trees throughout |

| | clearcuts to enhance diversity of bird use |
|---|--|
| Maintain, manage and monitor priority habitats already protected. | Sustain habitat through collaborative management of areas that already are subjected to frequent human disturbance from agriculture, forestry, or the maintenance of roads and rights-of-way. (PIF) Coordinate habitat protection of areas already owned by federal, state, local government or NGO's. (BCR 30 workshop) Compare early successional habitats resulting from natural disturbances vs. forestry practices vs. power line rights-of-way with regard to suitability for high-priority species, including breeding densities and nesting success. (PIF) |
| | Determine if there is relationship between patch size and nesting success for shrubland birds, and between patch size and breeding density for the more area sensitive species. (PIF) Continue clearcutting as a management as a means of providing shrub habitat on state forests. (PIF) Implement careful planning of rotational harvest schedules. (PIF) Maintain right-of-ways by selectively spraying herbicide on the base of tall-growing trees. (PIF) |

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|--|--|
| Utilize existing programs to increase populations | • Increase utilization of Farm Bill programs to benefit priority shrubland birds. |
| of shurbland species. | • Expand traditional game management in early successional habitats to include |
| | nongame bird priorities and objectives; including evaluation of effects of traditional |
| | game management on priority nongame species (PIF) |
| Monitor species to determine population size, status and trends. | • Develop a targeted monitoring program for high priority species. Coordinate with PIF projects. (BCR 30 workshop) |
| | • Research/monitoring is needed on effects of cowbird parasitism on shrubland birds. (PIF) |
| | • Determine effects of woodcock habitat management techniques on other priority, early-successional bird species. (PIF) |
| | • Develop targeted monitoring/research program on demographics and habitat-area relationships for priority shrubland birds |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| American Woodcock | Estimated population of | Reduce threats from: habitat loss and | Need to monitor recruitment levels using |
| | 523,000 in Maine | degradation on breeding, migrating, and | harvest surveys (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | wintering grounds, forest maturization, | |
| | High responsibility: 19.3% | adult mortality rates (weather, predation, | Need to assess singing ground surveys for |
| | of the breeding population | and harvest), and contaminants | accuracy, but continue monitoring effort |
| | in BCR 14. | | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | Intensive forest management (clear- | |
| | Declining BBS trend in | cutting, heavy partial cuts, and fuel wood | Evaluate effects of contaminant exposure |
| | BCR 14 (-6.3% /year) | harvesting) and the increasing harvest of | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | between 1966-1999 | early successional hardwoods may enhance | |
| | | habitat for woodcock | Provide technical assistance to |
| | Maine population declines | | landowners (industrial and non-industrial) |
| | 2.2% / year between 1968- | Cuts should be planned to take advantage | on life history requirements of woodcock |
| | 2001 | of moisture gradients (i.e., cut along | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | moisture gradient) | |
| | Population Objective: | | |
| | Halt population decline by | Log landings or semi-permanent openings | |
| | 2012 and see increase by | scattered through cuts would serve as | |
| | 2022 to levels documented | singing grounds | |
| | in 1970's (measured by | | |
| | singing ground surveys) | | |
| Blue-winged Warbler | BCR objective is to | | |
| | increase population by | | |
| | 50% (PIF) | | |
| | | | |
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> | | |
| | table for numerical | | |
| ~ | estimates and objectives | | |
| Chestnut-sided | Decreasing BBS trend in | Threats: Limited and declining early | Investigate population demographics in |
| Warbler | BCR 14 (-1.54 % / year | successional/edge habitat; predation; | different early successional habitats (edge |
| | between 1966-1999) but | housing developments. | vs clearcut), including evaluation of |
| | still widespread | . | reproductive success for birds nesting in |
| | | Maintain and increase early successional | these areas (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Population Objectives: | habitat, especially on private and industrial | |
| | Increase population by | forest lands (BCR 14 Workshop) | Determine minimum patch size required |
| | 50% (PIF) | | for stable population (BCR 14 Workshop) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> table for numerical estimates and objectives | | Develop management prescriptions for maintaining suitable early successional habitat for species dependent on this serial stage in the context of ecosystem management (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | Educate public about role of natural disturbances in forest management, and the dependency of certain species on this process (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| Olive-sided Flycatcher | See <u>Coniferous Forest</u> habita | t section for specific objectives | ••• |

Grasslands / Agriculture

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W | Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|------------------------|----------|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| American Golden-Plover | 2 | | Х | | Canada Goose - NAP | 2 | | Х | |
| American Woodcock | 1 | Х | | | Ipswich Savannah Sparrow | 1 | Х | | |
| Black-bellied Plover | 2 | | Х | | Upland Sandpiper | 2 | Х | | |
| Bobolink | 2 | Х | | | Whimbrel | 2 | | Х | |

Issue:

Natural grasslands are not a major feature of this region, and it is likely that other naturally occurring openings, such as bogs or wet meadows, have supported some grassland birds over time in this region. Today, agricultural land represents a minor and declining feature of most landscapes in the BCR, with several notable exceptions, such as Prince Edward Island, middle portions of the St. John river valley, and Aroostook County of Maine. However, various conservation plans have identified the need to maintain blueberry barren and agricultural fields to provide breeding habitat for the species listed above. The decline of species associated with open fields is closely correlated with recent trends of increased residential and commercial development and the declining interests in agriculture; each resulting in a reduction of available grasslands, open fields, blueberry barrens, and pastures within the region. In addition to providing breeding habitat, these open fields provide important foraging habitat for migratory birds during spring and fall migration.

Threats:

- Loss of open land associated with declining farm practices including residential development and reversion to forest.
- Habitat Fragmentation
- Agricultural Practices
- Contaminants
- Habitat loss on migration and wintering grounds

Goal:

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in grasslands and agricultural fields to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

General Objectives:

1. Protect and maintain high priority habitats. (Refer to PIF Physiographic Area 9 plan for a comprehensive discussion on management and implementation strategies.)

| Strategy | Task |
|--|---|
| Identify and protect high priority habitats. | Identify and protect key areas, especially large grasslands, for immediate conservation efforts. (PIF) Collect comparative (context information) |
| | Contect ownership/contact information. Research best method of protection—acquisition, fee or easements from willing sellers |
| | Further research on different management techniques is needed to understand the appropriateness of prescribed burning, mowing, and other methods for maintaining suitable habitat for Northeastern grassland birds. (PIF) Determine if differences exist in grassland breeding bird diversity and abundance in the Northeast between warm season and cool season grass types. (PIF) |
| | • Promote conservation easements for grasslands, especially in Connecticut River Valley (BCR 14 workshop) |
| Maintain, manage and monitor priority habitats | Coordinate with other states to develop and implement a comprehensive grassland management plan for the entire New England region. (PIF) Consider consolidation of adjacent grassland fields, through the elimination of hedgerows, stone fences, or tree lines, in areas where open land occupies a considerable amount of the surrounding landscape and grassland management can be identified as a reasonable management alternative. (PIF) Determine if current mixtures of warm season grasses has failed to provide adequate habitat for grassland breeding birds. Focus on cool season grasslands if |

| needed. (PIF) Continue monitoring grassland habitats within the physiographic area as part of regional effort within New England to better assess grassland bird abundance trends. (PIF) Develop best management practices and implement integrated management pla for grasslands on civilian and military airfields. (BCR 14 workshop) Research and develop best management practices for blueberry barrens and conduct outreach to blueberry industry (BCR 14 workshop) Synthesize and maintain existing regional grassland bird survey data (BCR 14 workshop) Develop monitoring program for blueberry barrens in Maritime Provinces (BCI 14 workshop) Develop monitoring grasslands, employ best management practices using guideline in Massachusetts Audubon Society's <i>Conserving Grassland Birds</i> publication (www.massaudubon.org) (MDIFW E&T Handbook) Manage multiple, contiguous fields to provide a mosaic of grassland types by mowing, burning, or late-season grazing. Mow every 2-5 years to inhibit establishment of shrubs and trees (MDIFW E&T Handbook) Burn fields every 5-10 years after September 1 or before May 1. Do not burn more than 50% of a grassland within a year. (MDIFW E&T Handbook) Avoid or minimize herbicide and pesticide applications, or employ integrated pest management techniques (MDIFW E&T Handbook) Use voluntary agreements, conservation easements, conservation tax abatement and incentives, and acquisition to protect important habitat for grassland nestin species (MDIFW E&T Handbook) | of a ans CR nes d nts ng |
|---|--|
|---|--|

2. Maintain or enhance populations of high priority species.

| Strategy | Task |
|---|---|
| Monitor populations of focal species to determine | • Conduct demographic studies (productivity, survival, dispersal) of priority |
| population size, status and trends. | species to provide information needed for determining causes of population |
| | declines and understanding metapopulation dynamics |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| American Golden | See <u>Unconsolidated Shore</u> | habitat section for specific objectives | |
| Plover | | | |
| American Woodcock | See Shrub/Early Succession | nal habitat section for specific objectives | |
| Black-bellied Plover | See Unconsolidated Shore | habitat section for specific objectives | |
| Bobolink | Declining BBS trends in | Reduce threats from: changes in | Need to conduct Population viability |
| | BCR 14 (-2.23% / year | agricultural practices, loss of habitat, | Analysis, investigate metapopulation |
| | between 1966-1999), | increasing predation rates due to habitat | structure and dynamics (BCR 14 |
| | resulting in >50% decline | fragmentation | Workshop) |
| | in population | | |
| | | Maintain suitable habitat distributed | Evaluate effects of contaminant exposure on |
| | Population Objective: | across the landscape to support viable | wintering grounds (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Increase population by | metapopulation structure (BCR 14 | |
| | 50% (PIF) | Workshop) | Develop outreach materials which promote |
| | | | grassland management techniques that |
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> | Continue efforts to develop grassland | benefit grassland nesting birds (BCR 14 |
| | table for numeric | management protocol to maintain and | Workshop) |
| | estimates and objectives | enhance nesting habitat for grassland | |
| | | nesting species (BCR 14 Workshop) | Research effects of mowing and burning |
| | | | practices on reproductive success and |
| | | | predation rates (PIF) |
| Canada Goose - NAP | Maine population | Conserve significant staging and wintering | Conduct survey to determine status and |
| | estimate: 8,900 pairs | habitat from habitat degradation (BCR 14 | distribution of population (BCR 14 |
| | | Workshop) | Workshop) |
| | Significant component of | | |
| | migrant population | Merrymeeting Bay and Scarborough | Develop better aging techniques (BCR 14 |
| | associated with BCR 14 | Marsh significant historical stopover site | Workshop) |
| | | in fall and spring migration. | |
| | Population is stable | | Evaluate effects of aquaculture development |
| | | On PEI, continue forms of agriculture | and associated human activities on habitat |
| | 2002 Maine harvest was: | beneficial to this population. | availability (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | 12,800 birds | | |
| | | | Investigate significance of eelgrass declines |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | on population (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | | Continue outreach efforts to inform public |
| | | | of differences between resident and |
| Learnich Corrorach | 1000/ of broading | Specific hebitat apole for this apopies will | migratory populations (BCR 14 workshop) |
| Ipswich Savannan | 100% of breeding | be possible after winter behitet surveys are | Workshop) |
| sparrow | subspecies and much of | completed? | workshop) |
| | its wintering grounds | completed. | Improve techniques to differentiate |
| | occurs in this BCR | Continued protection of Sable Island | between Savannah Sparrows during |
| | | breeding grounds; sea level rise; coastal | Christmas Bird Counts (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | Population Objective: | development in wintering areas along the | |
| | Increase population to | East Coast. | Initiate efforts to investigate taxonomic |
| | levels observed in 1970's | Focus areas include Sable Island, NS and | status of species, specific wintering |
| | & 1980's (BCR 14 | coastal beach areas of New England south | microhabitats need better definition (BCR |
| | workshop) | to the Carolinas. | 14 workshop) |
| | | | Initiate surveys of occupied winter range to |
| | | | identify and evaluate site characteristics |
| | | | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | | |
| | | | Educate coastal beachfront owners about |
| | | | the species' winter habitat needs (BCR 14 |
| | | | Workshop) |
| Upland Sandpiper | Maine's population is | Threats: Largely unknown, habitat loss, | Need to evaluate effects of vegetation |
| | estimated at 148 pairs | adult survival rates, habitat quality and | management actions (intensity, technique, |
| | barrans and airports | wintering grounds, and food availability | Workshop) |
| | barrens and amports | wintering grounds, and food availability | workshop) |
| | | Agricultural practices may be limiting | Initiate effort to monitor population size and |
| | | availability of herbaceous cover needed | trends, habitat quality, survival rates, and |
| | | for nesting, and increasing vulnerability to | productivity (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | predation (BCR 14 Workshop) | |
| | | Vegetation management efforts have | |
| | | included: periodic mowing or burning | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Management Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|----------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | | (every 1-3 years) to promote bunch | |
| | | grasses and forbs, while discouraging | |
| | | encroachment by woody vegetation | |
| | | (MDIFW Species Assessment) | |
| | | Recommended Management Actions | |
| | | Include: (MDIFW Species Assessment) | |
| | | -Avoid mowing and grazing between | |
| | | May 1 – August 5 | |
| | | - Maintain approx. 40% of vegetation at a | |
| | | height of 8-12", with minimum litter and | |
| | | grass cover. Maintain some patches of | |
| | | bare ground, scattered tall forbs (8-25"), | |
| | | and short shrubs for song perches | |
| | | Blueberry barrens in Hancock and | |
| | | Washington Counties provide primary | |
| | | breeding habitat in Maine. Future | |
| | | availability of this habitat will depend on | |
| | | commercial demand for blueberries | |
| Whimbrel | See Unconsolidated Shore | habitat section for specific objectives | |

Urban / Suburban

Associated Focal Species:

| Species | Priority | B | Μ | W |
|------------------|----------|---|---|---|
| Common Nighthawk | 2 | Х | | |
| Chimney Swift | 2 | Х | | |

Issues:

Urban/suburban habitat provides suitable habitat for a number of species for which historical habitat(s) have been significantly altered or reduced. (PIF)

Threats:

- Changes in modern building construction
- Use of pesticides for mosquito control

General objectives

Conserve, restore and enhance populations of focal species in urban and suburban areas to ensure the overall conservation of all native species within this habitat.

| 1. | Maintain | and enhance | populations | of high | priority species. | |
|----|----------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|--|
|----|----------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|--|

| Strategy | Task |
|---|---|
| Monitor populations of focal species to determine population size, status and trends. | • Participate/establish a network of managers, biologists, and researchers are needed across northern New England to more effectively address the needs and coordinate conservation efforts for the high priority urban birds. (PIF) |
| | Surveying efforts, identification of significant breeding locations, and public education/outreach should be coordinated on a regional basis. (PIF) Develop an appropriate survey method for tracking populations of Chimney Swifts and Common Nighthawks and conduct a thorough status assessment of these species. (PIF) |
| | Understand impacts of pesticides (e.g., urban/suburban mosquito spraying) on this suite of species, including links to the current outbreak of West Nile virus. (PIF) Compile better life history information on these species, such as kinds of nest predators and levels of nest depredation, breeding longevity and reproductive effort over time, characteristics of preferred nesting requirements, fidelity to breeding and wintering sites, and better assessment of migration routes and destinations. (PIF) |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Managemet Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|---------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Chimney Swift | Declining BBS trend in | Reduce threats from: loss of habitat and | Develop standardized survey methods for |
| | BCR 14 (-3.78% / year for | nesting structures (chimneys and large | species, as BBS routs do not adequately |
| | 1966-1999) | trees), potential decline in prey availability | census this species (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | | due to pesticides, contaminants | |
| | Population Objective: | | Determine causes of population declines |
| | Increase population by | Work with industrial forest industry to | (BCR 14 Workshop) |
| | 50% (PIF); stabilize | increase number of large trees left after | |
| | population. | harvesting operations (BCR 14 Workshop) | |

| Species | Status & Distribution | Habitat/Managemet Objectives | Research & Outreach Objectives |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| | See <u>Population Estimates</u> tables for numeric estimates and objectives | Identify key breeding locations area for Chimney Swifts and Common Nighthawks should be identified for immediate conservation efforts. (PIF) Landowner contacts should be made at each site to encourage proper management for these species. (PIF) distribute information materials on the use of rooftops and chimneys as nesting sites. (PIF) Develop and implement public education programs to encourage reports of Chimney Swifts; develop urban public education in schools to aid in the monitoring and assessment of populations of these species. (PIF) | |
| Common Nighthawk | Population Objective: Increase population by 50% (PIF) See Population Estimates table for numeric estimates and objectives | Reduce threats from conversion of urban rooftops from small gravel to other materials, and loss of naturally maintained early-successional habitats (e.g., pine barrens) (BCR 14 Workshop) | Species is not well-sampled by BBS and requires targeted nocturnal surveys in appropriate habitats (including urban areas). (BCR 14 Workshop) Study demographics and micro-habitat selection to better understand limiting factors (BCR 14 Workshop) Educate groups working on urban revitalization and urban wildlife about the issue of the nighthawk decline, and encourage changes in roof construction where feasible. (BCR 14 Workshop) |

Appendix E, Part 2. DRAFT population and habitat objectives for highest priority, high priority, and selected moderate priority landbird and waterfowl species and their associated priority habitat types in BCR 14.

These estimates and objects are broken down, where possible, to the portions of BCR 14 within each state and province to provide an indication of each jurisdiction's responsibility for meeting the overall BCR objective. The following table provides estimates and objectives for breeding season populations and habitat, unless otherwise noted. Habitat objectives are reported as estimates of how much of that habitat type would be needed, given a stated breeding density, to support the population objective for each priority species. Blank cells in the following table indicate insufficient information to generate an estimate or set an objective at this time.

The waterfowl and landbird population estimates and objectives were stepped down from the appropriate continental-level conservation plans. Descriptions of the methods used to generate these numbers are included in <u>Part 3</u> (for waterfowl) and <u>Part 4</u> (for landbirds) of this appendix. These estimates should be considered very preliminary and in need of review, and might best be thought of in terms of relative estimates of population sizes for comparing among species and for tracking relative population size over time. Better population size data within jurisdictions undoubtly exists for some species and can be incorporated through reviewers' comments into future versions of this document.

Where standard errors are reported for currecnt population estimates, these numbers reflect variance in the number of bird counted among BBS routes. The standard errors do not incorporate variance associated with errors in distance, pair, or time correction factors (see description in <u>Part 4</u>). The BCR population objectives for waterfowl represent initial draft recommendations from stepping continental-level objectives down to the BCR level. For landbirds, the BCR population objectives reflect a uniform step-down across all BCRs of continental-level objectives from Rich et al. (2004), which are based entirely on continental-level population trends. Alternative methods for developing population objectives, including consideration of continental objectives in conjunction with an assessment of regional population trends, regional trends in habitat availability, and the % population parameter, should be evaluated to see if they provide objectives that are consistent with common knowledge of these species while still resulting in regional objectives that can be added up to meet the continental goals. The numerical Population Targets were calculated by multiplying the current population estimate by the population objective.

Habitat objectives were calculated by multiplying the Population Target by an average breeding density as reported from published studies, often as summarized in the Birds of North America series, as reported in Gauthier and Aubry (1996), or as reported in Hagan et al. (1997). Because habitat objectives were calculated in this manner, these objectives represent the amount of habitat that would be required to support the number of individuals indicated by the Population Target if all of the habitat was of sufficient quality to support a species at the average breeding density reported. Since the breeding densities reported in the literature are often collected on relatively small study plots, they are not necessarily good indicators of true landscape-level densities, although sources such Hagan et al. (1997) provide estimates that might more closely reflect landscape-level densities. Thus, the habitat objectives may not represent the absolute

amount of habitat needed to support the population target, but rather a hypothetical amount of relatively high quality habitat that could sustain relatively high breeding densities of a given species. The lower the average overall quality of the habitat is across a BCR, the larger the total amount of habitat that will be needed to meet the population target. In reality, the amount of habitat needed to support a population target will vary with a number of factors, including the quality of the habitat. For most species on the priority list, we do not know if breeding habitat is the primary limiting factor, therefore, we cannot assume that if the amount of habitat prescribed in the objective is available or becomes available within the region, then the population target will be reached. Therefore, note that a habitat objective is only intended to suggest how much habitat would be needed to support the population target, given a certain breeding density.

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals + SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| <u> </u> | (| j | (, | (|
| Marine Open Water | | | | |
| – nearshore | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| Red-throated Loon | | Maintain | | |
| BCR 14 | 10 000nb | current non | | |
| Rod-nockod Groho | 10,000110 | eurient pop. | | |
| BCR 14 | 10.000-100.000 mb | Maintain | | |
| Der 14 | 10,000-100,000110 | current non | | |
| Pad Phalarona | | current pop. | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 1 000 000 | Mointoin | 1 000 000 | |
| western Hennsphere | 1,000,000 | Wiaiiitaiii | 1,000,000 | |
| | | current pop. | | |
| Estara de Desa | | | | |
| Estuaries and Bays | | | | |
| Greater Scaup | | 47 0003 1 | | |
| BCR 14 | | 47,020° nb | | |
| Horned Grebe | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 100,000- | Maintain | | |
| | 1,000,000nb | current pop. | | |
| Red-necked Phalarope | | | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 2,500,000 | Increase | 5,000,000 | |
| | | ^a objective is for | | |
| | | both scaup species | | |
| | | combined | | |
| | | | | |
| Rocky Coastline | | | | |
| (including islands | | | | |
| and cliffs) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Great Cormorant | | Maintain | | |
| BCR 14 | 12,300 | current pop. | | |
| | | (above min.) | | |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals <u>+</u> SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| Northern Gannet | | - | | |
| BCR 14 | 107,600 | Maintain | 96,800- | |
| | | current pop. | 118,400 | |
| | | (above min.) | | |
| Common Tern | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 127,500 | Increase | ?? | |
| Roseate Tern | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 6,900 | Maintain | 6,200-7,600 | |
| | | current pop. | | |
| | | (above min.) | | |
| Arctic Tern | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 19,100 | Increase | ?? | |
| Razorbill | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 15,200 | Increase | ?? | |
| Black Guillemot | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 25,100 | Maintain | 22,600-27,600 | |
| | | current pop. | | |
| | | (above min.) | | |
| Herring Gull | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 278,500 | Maintain | 250,700- | |
| | | current pop. | 306,400 | |
| | | (below max.) | | |
| Leach's Storm-petrel | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 220,700 | Increase | 343,600- | |
| | | | 420,000 | |
| Black-legged Kittiwake | | | 25 5 00 | |
| BCR 14 | 108,700 | Maintain | 35,700- | |
| | | current pop. | 165,800 | |
| | | (above min.) | | |
| Atlantic Puffin | 2 000 | T | 2 200 2 000 | |
| BCR 14 | 2,900 | Increase | 3,200-3,900 | |
| Purple Sandpiper | 16.000 | N <i>T</i> 1 <i>1</i> 1 | 16.000 | |
| Western Hemisphere | 16,000 | Maintain | 16,000 | |
| | | current pop. | | |
| Kuaay I urnstone | 225 000 | Maintein | 225 000 | |
| western Hemisphere | 235,000 | Maintain | 235,000 | |
| | | current pop. | | |

Unconsolidated Shore, including <u>beach and mudflats</u>

Piping Plover

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|------------------|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals \pm SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| Atlantic Coast ssp. | 2,600 | Increase | 4,000 | |
| Semipalmated | | | | |
| Sandpiper | 1,600,000 | Increase | 3,750,000 | |
| Western Hemisphere | | | | |
| Black-bellied Plover | | | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 120,000 | Increase | 218,200 | |
| American Golden- | 1 = 0 0 0 0 | | 22 | |
| Plover | 150,000 | Increase | ?? | |
| Western Hemisphere | | | | |
| Red Knot | 05 000 | т | 120,000 | |
| <i>rufa</i> subspecies | 85,000 | Increase | 120,000 | |
| Whimbrel | 50.000 | T | 121.000 | |
| Western Hemisphere | 50,000 | Increase | 131,000 | |
| Western Hemisphere | 200,000 | Need chiesting | 00 | |
| Hudsonian Codwit | 500,000 | Neeu objective | <i>: :</i> | |
| Hudson Bay pop | 45 500 | Maintain | 45 500 | |
| Thuson Day pop. | +5,500 | current non | 45,500 | |
| Seminalmated Plover | | current pop. | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 150.000 | Maintatin | 150.000 | |
| | 100,000 | current pop. | 100,000 | |
| American | | e on the population of the pop | | |
| Ovstercatcher | 7,500 | Need objective | ?? | |
| Western Hemisphere | , | 5 | | |
| Sanderling | | | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 200,000 | Increase | 1,000,000 | |
| Least Sandpiper | | | | |
| Western Hemsphere | 600,000 | Increase | 1,400,000 | |
| | | | | |
| Estuarine Emergent | | | Μ | aintain existing |
| <u>Saltmarsh</u> | | | amour | nts of saltmarsh |
| | | | | |
| American Black Duck | | 73,520nb | | |
| DUK 14 Malland | | | | |
| PCP 14 | | 7 250nh | | |
| DUN 14 Black amund Night | | 7,230110 | | |
| Horon | 12 000 | Increase | 16 000 10 600 | |
| RCR 1/ | 12,000 | merease | 10,000-19,000 | |
| American Rittern | | | | |
| RCR 14 | Need estimate | Increase | <i>??</i> | |
| Yellow Rail | rieea obilitate | moreube | •• | |
| BCR 14 | Need estimate | Maintain | ?? | |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Snecies | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals + SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| | (1101/1000000 <u>+</u> 022) | current pop. | (1101+100013) | (110000100) |
| Willet | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | |
| Western Hemisphere | 250.000 | Maintain | 250.000 | |
| T T | | current pop. | , | |
| Nelson's Sharp-tailed | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Sparrow | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 49,940 + 9,610 | Maintain | 49,940 | |
| | · _ · | current pop. | | |
| Nova Scotia | 21,583 <u>+</u> 14,079 | | 21,583 | |
| New Brunswick | 13,854 <u>+</u> 7,661 | | 13,854 | |
| PEI | 11,521 <u>+</u> 10,322 | | 11,521 | |
| Maine | 10,000 <u>+</u> ?? | | 10,000 | |
| Quebec | 2,982 <u>+</u> 2,331 | | 2,982 | |
| Freshwater Wetlands, including lakes, rivers, forested wetlands, shrub wetlands, and bogs | | | Maintain exis fresl | ting amounts of nwater wetlands |
| Common Loon | 5,900 | Increase 15% | 6,785 | |
| BCR 14 | | | | |
| Rusty Blackbird | | | | @ density of |
| | | - | | 10 ha/pair ^a : |
| BCR 14 | 38,133 <u>+</u> 8,734 | Increase 100% | 76,266 | 381,330 |
| Quebec | 24,713 <u>+</u> 24,00 | | 49,427 | 247,130 |
| Nova Scotia | 6,731 <u>+</u> 1,758 | | 13,461 | 67,310 |
| New Brunswick | 3,053 <u>+</u> 1,344 | | 6,106 | 30,530 |
| Maine | 1,907 <u>+</u> 793 | | 3,813 | 19,070 |
| PEI | 981 <u>+</u> 883 | | 1,961 | 9,810 |
| New York | 397 <u>+</u> 196 | | 793 | 3,970 |
| New Hampshire | 239 <u>+</u> 195 | | 479 | 2,390 |
| Vermont | 113 <u>+</u> 78 | | 226 | 1,130 |
| Rald Fagle | | | | |
| Ball Eugle RCR 14 | 2.414 + 826 | Maintain | 2 414 | Maintain |
| DCK 14 | 2,717 1 020 | current non | 2,717 | current habitat |
| Nova Scotia | 2.083 + 623 | current pop. | 2.083 | |
| New Brunswick | 2,005 + 025 2.29 + 136 | | 2,005 | |
| Maine | $\frac{229}{10} + 35$ | | 70 | |
| New Hampshire | $\frac{70}{32} + \frac{33}{32}$ | | 32 | |
| New York | ?? | | ?? | |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals \pm SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| | | | | |
| Palm Warbler | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 373,821 <u>+</u> 38,153 | Maintain | 373,821 | Maintain |
| | | current pop. | | current habitat |
| Nova Scotia | 284,297 <u>+</u> 78,176 | | 284,297 | |
| Maine | 45,112 <u>+</u> 13,558 | | 45,112 | |
| New Brunswick | 42,769 <u>+</u> 19,730 | | 42,769 | |
| Quebec | 1,643 <u>+</u> 1,643 | | 1,643 | |
| Yellow-bellied | | | | |
| Flycatcher | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 438,207 + 24,910 | Maintain | 438,207 | Maintain |
| | , <u> </u> | current pop. | 7 | current habitat |
| New Brunswick | 190,570 + 38.623 | · r · r · | 190.570 | |
| Nova Scotia | 140,527 + 46,031 | | 140,527 | |
| Ouebec | 48,439 + 18,372 | | 48,439 | |
| Maine | 47.632 + 13.225 | | 47.632 | |
| New Hampshire | 6.014 + 3.374 | | 6.014 | |
| New York | 3,833 + 1.781 | | 3,833 | |
| PEI | 798 + 479 | | 798 | |
| Vermont | $\frac{1}{393} + 277$ | | 393 | |
| | | | | |
| Deciduous and | | | | |
| Mixed Forest | | | | |
| | | | | @ density of |
| Canada Warbler | | | | 5.6 ha/pair ^b |
| BCR 14 | 181.774 + 5.956 | Increase 50% | 272,660 | 763,451 |
| New Brunswick | 58.421 + 11.459 | | 87.630 | 245.367 |
| Maine | 46.014 + 5.294 | | 69.020 | 193.259 |
| Nova Scotia | 23.631 + 4.834 | | 35.450 | 99.249 |
| Quebec | 22.392 + 6.183 | | 33.590 | 94.046 |
| New York | 13.893 + 3.439 | | 20.840 | 58.349 |
| Vermont | 7.583 ± 1.594 | | 11,375 | 31,850 |
| New Hampshire | 7,003 + 1,001 | | 10 505 | 29 417 |
| Massachusette | 2.601 ± 2.003 | | 3 930 | 11 007 |
| PEI | 215 + 130 | | 325 | 904 |
| i Li | 210 - 100 | | 525 | 201 |
| Wood Thrush | | | | @ density of |
| | | | | 5.0 ha/pair ^c |
| BCR 14 | 974.710 + 23.248 | Increase 50% | 1.462.100 | 3.655.163 |

| BCR 14 | 974,710 <u>+</u> 23,248 | Increase 50% | 1,462,100 | 3,655,163 |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Maine | 306,497 <u>+</u> 37,964 | | 459,750 | 1,149,363 |
| New York | 216,346 <u>+</u> 20,133 | | 324,520 | 811,300 |
| Vermont | 161,592 <u>+</u> 18,528 | | 242,390 | 605,970 |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Snecies | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals + SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| New Hampshire | 133.940 + 17.493 | 001000,0 | 200.910 | 502.273 |
| Massachusetts | 71.021 + 9.476 | | 106.530 | 266.328 |
| Quebec | 47.761 + 16.197 | | 71.640 | 179,105 |
| New Brunswick | 24.234 + 8.236 | | 36,350 | 90.878 |
| Connecticut | 12.211 + ?? | | 18.320 | 45.790 |
| Nova Scotia | $1,108 \pm 710$ | | 1,660 | 4,155 |
| American Dedatant | | | | |
| American Keasiari | 1 107 200 1 22 620 | Mointoin | 4 107 200 | Maintain |
| DCK 14 | 4,197,890 <u>+</u> 88,080 | Maintain ourrent non | 4,197,890 | current habitat |
| Now Prunewick | 1 284 506 1 122 401 | current pop. | 1 284 506 | current naonat |
| New Druitswick | $1,204,300 \pm 133,491$ $875,676 \pm 158,802$ | | 1,264,300 | |
| Nova Scotia | 711262 ± 100168 | | 873,070 711 262 | |
| Nova Scolla Moino | $711,202 \pm 100,108$ $611,061 \pm 15,758$ | | 644.064 | |
| New Vork | $044,904 \pm 43,738$ 272 284 ± 41 086 | | 044,904 | |
| Vermont | $1/2,204 \pm 41,900$ $1/2,030 \pm 20,078$ | | 142,284 | |
| New Hompshire | $142,030 \pm 20,078$ 137.854 ± 20.372 | | 142,030 | |
| Massachusetts | $137,034 \pm 20,372$ 60 842 ± 11 581 | | 60.842 | |
| DEI | $109,042 \pm 11,001$ $12124 \pm 15,730$ | | 09,042 42,124 | |
| Connecticut | $42,124 \pm 15,750$ 12 3/8 ± 22 | | 12 3/8 | |
| Connecticut | 12,340 <u>+</u> ! ! | | 12,340 | |
| Black-throated Blue | | | | |
| Warbler | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 565,679 <u>+</u> 1,674 | Maintain | 565,679 | Maintain |
| | | current pop. | | current habitat |
| Maine | 173,062 <u>+</u> 19,768 | | 173,062 | |
| New York | 124,901 <u>+</u> 20,138 | | 124,901 | |
| Quebec | 64,758 <u>+</u> 10,477 | | 64,758 | |
| New Brunswick | 59,714 <u>+</u> 13,223 | | 59,714 | |
| Vermont | 53,201 <u>+</u> 8,715 | | 53,201 | |
| New Hampshire | 47,426 <u>+</u> 11,409 | | 47,426 | |
| Massachusetts | 23,225 <u>+</u> 5,868 | | 23,225 | |
| Nova Scotia | 17,586 <u>+</u> 7,430 | | 17,586 | |
| Connecticut | 1,805 <u>+</u> ?? | | 1,805 | |
| Eastern Wood-Pewee | | | | @ density of 5.0 ha/pair ^a |
| BCR 14 | 217,230 + 5,755 | Increase 50% | 325,850 | 814,610 |
| Maine | 68,685 + 7,468 | | 103,025 | 257,568 |
| New Brunswick | 34,886 + 6.340 | | 52,325 | 130,823 |
| Nova Scotia | 31.388 + 7.243 | | 47.080 | 117.705 |
| New Hampshire | 18.848 + 3.818 | | 28.275 | 70.678 |
| New York | 17.038 + 3.307 | | 25.550 | 63.890 |
| | <u> </u> | | , | , |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals <u>+</u> SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| Vermont | 16,590 <u>+</u> 3,673 | * | 24,885 | 62,213 |
| Quebec | $15,924 \pm 3,312$ | | 23,885 | 59,713 |
| Massachusetts | 8,412 + 2,415 | | 12,620 | 31,545 |
| PEI | 3,921 <u>+</u> 879 | | 5,880 | 14,705 |
| Connecticut | 1,539 <u>+</u> ?? | | 2,310 | 5,773 |
| Veery | | | | @ density of 6.0 ha/pair ^c |
| BCR 14 | 2,059,127 <u>+</u> 42,241 | Increase 50% | 3,088,690 | 7,721,725 |
| Maine | 564,228 <u>+</u> 47,040 | | 846,342 | 2,115,855 |
| New Brunswick | 461,210 <u>+</u> 69,428 | | 691,815 | 1,729,538 |
| Quebec | 398,873 <u>+</u> 57,361 | | 598,309 | 1,495,773 |
| New York | 195,993 <u>+</u> 21,310 | | 293,989 | 734,973 |
| Vermont | 158,767 <u>+</u> 15,692 | | 238,151 | 595,378 |
| New Hampshire | 142,725 <u>+</u> 10,419 | | 214,087 | 535,218 |
| Nova Scotia | 80,809 <u>+</u> 17,274 | | 121,213 | 303,033 |
| Massachusetts | 49,343 <u>+</u> 4,348 | | 74,014 | 185,035 |
| Connecticut | 6,496 <u>+</u> ?? | | 9,745 | 24,363 |
| PEI | 683 <u>+</u> 496 | | 1,025 | 2,563 |
| Yellow-bellied | | | | @ density of |
| Sapsucker | | | | 8.0 ha∕pair [⊳] |
| BCR 14 | 1,317,229 <u>+</u> 39,914 | Increase 10% | 1,448,952 | 5,795,808 |
| New Brunswick | 380,751 <u>+</u> 76,934 | | 418,826 | 1,675,304 |
| Maine | 375,124 <u>+</u> 37,130 | | 412,636 | 1,650,544 |
| New York | 231,367 <u>+</u> 51,321 | | 254,503 | 1,018,012 |
| Nova Scotia | 97,137 <u>+</u> 24,226 | | 106,850 | 427,400 |
| Quebec | 73,629 <u>+</u> 18,133 | | 80,992 | 323,968 |
| Vermont | 72,974 <u>+</u> 10,911 | | 80,272 | 321,088 |
| New Hampshire | 43,930 <u>+</u> 8,674 | | 48,323 | 193,292 |
| Massachusetts | 37,534 <u>+</u> 13,322 | | 41,287 | 165,148 |
| Connecticut | 3,884 <u>+</u> ?? | | 4,272 | 17,088 |
| PEI | 900 <u>+</u> 551 | | 989 | 3,956 |
| <u>Coniferous Forest</u> | | | | @ density of |

| Bay-breasted Warbler | | | | 4.8 ha/pair ^b |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|
| BCR 14 | 348,602 <u>+</u> 22,783 | Increase 50% | 522,903 | 1,254,967 |
| New Brunswick | 194,466 <u>+</u> 53,315 | | 291,700 | 700,080 |
| Quebec | 60,788 <u>+</u> 16,334 | | 91,181 | 218,834 |
| Maine | 56,402 <u>+</u> 11,499 | | 84,602 | 203,045 |
| Nova Scotia | 31,193 <u>+</u> 5,602 | | 46,789 | 112,294 |
| New Hampshire | 2,973 <u>+</u> 1,514 | | 4,460 | 10,704 |

| | | D GD | D 1.1 | DOD II 1 |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals \pm SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| PEI | 1,512 <u>+</u> 591 | | 2,268 | 5,443 |
| New York | 1,269 <u>+</u> 530 | | 1,904 | 4,570 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Canada Warbler | Population and habit | at objectives for the | is species are sha | red with |
| | Deciduous and Mixe | d Forest habitat ty | pes | |
| | | , | L - ··· | |
| Boreal Chickadee | | | | @ density of |
| | | | | 10.0 ha/pair ^a |
| BCR 14 | 193.989 + 9.742 | Increase 100% | 387.979 | 1.939.895 |
| Nova Scotia | 74 177 + 15 977 | 110100.50 10070 | 148 354 | 741 770 |
| Quebec | 44.013 ± 11.152 | | 88 025 | 440 125 |
| New Brunswick | 34.011 ± 10.712 | | 68 021 | 340 105 |
| Maine | 34,011 - 10,712 31 707 + 9 270 | | 63 /15 | 317 075 |
| DEI | $51,707 \pm 9,270$ 7 1/18 ± 1 781 | | 1/ 806 | 74 480 |
| New Hampshire | $1,440 \pm 1,704$ 1 6/0 + 1 518 | | 3 200 | 16 405 |
| New York | $\frac{1,0+7}{2}$ + 1,510 8/6 + /08 | | 1 603 | 8 465 |
| Vormont | 128 ± 128 | | 276 | 1 280 |
| vermont | 138 <u>+</u> 138 | | 270 | 1,360 |
| Cano May Wanhlon | | | | @ donsity of |
| Cape May warbler | | | | $\overset{\text{(a)}}{=}$ |
| | 170 004 0 000 | N | 170.004 | 5.0 na/pair |
| BCR 14 | $1/2,084 \pm 8,008$ | Maintain | 172,084 | 430,210 |
| | | current | | |
| | 50 400 × 0.00 0 | population | 52 400 | |
| New Brunswick | 53,488 <u>+</u> 8,893 | | 53,488 | |
| Maine | 44,052 <u>+</u> 9,264 | | 44,052 | |
| Quebec | 43,780 <u>+</u> 13,366 | | 43,780 | |
| Nova Scotia | 22,230 <u>+</u> 5,865 | | 22,230 | |
| PEI | 5,926 <u>+</u> 4,409 | | 5,926 | |
| New York | 1,197 <u>+</u> 628 | | 1,197 | |
| New Hampshire | 1,173 <u>+</u> 730 | | 1,173 | |
| Vermont | 238 <u>+</u> 238 | | 238 | |
| | | | | |
| Olive-sided | | | | @ density of |
| Flycatcher | | | | 10.0 ha/pair ^a |
| BCR 14 | 23,589 <u>+</u> 1,227 | Increase 100% | 47,179 | 235,895 |
| Nova Scotia | 7,438 <u>+</u> 1,822 | | 14,875 | 74,375 |
| New Brunswick | 5,726 <u>+</u> 858 | | 11,453 | 57,265 |
| Maine | 5,435 <u>+</u> 1,307 | | 10,869 | 54,345 |
| Quebec | 3,939 <u>+</u> 1,798 | | 7,879 | 39,395 |
| New York | 369 <u>+</u> 129 | | 739 | 3,695 |
| Vermont | 271 <u>+</u> 105 | | 543 | 2,715 |
| New Hampshire | 237 <u>+</u> 132 | | 473 | 2,365 |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals \pm SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| PEI | 174 <u>+</u> 124 | | 348 | 1,740 |
| Purple Finch | | | | @ density of 16.25 ha/pair ^b |
| BCR 14 | 408,849 + 9,297 | Increase 50% | 613,274 | 4,982,851 |
| New Brunswick | 98,594 + 18,715 | | 147,891 | 1,201,614 |
| Maine | 81,170 <u>+</u> 7,058 | | 121,754 | 989,251 |
| Quebec | 76,566 + 8,714 | | 114,848 | 933,140 |
| Nova Scotia | 70,707 <u>+</u> 8,066 | | 106,060 | 861,738 |
| New York | 40,630 <u>+</u> 6,026 | | 60,945 | 495,178 |
| Vermont | 16,878 <u>+</u> 4,119 | | 25,317 | 205,701 |
| New Hampshire | 16,651 <u>+</u> 3,505 | | 24,976 | 202,930 |
| Massachusetts | 4,455 <u>+</u> 1,484 | | 6,682 | 54,291 |
| PEI | 3,200 <u>+</u> 1,303 | | 4,800 | 39,000 |
| <u>Mountaintop Conifer</u> Bicknell's Thrush BCR 14 | 35,000 | Increase 10% | 38,500 | |
| Shrub / Early <u>Successional</u> | | | | |
| American Woodcock BCR 14 | | | | |
| Chestnut-sided Warbler BCR 14 | 957.135 + 19.118 | Increase 50% | 1.435.700 | @ density of 1.8 ha/pair ^b 1.292.132 |
| Maine | 274.614 + 22.364 | | 411.921 | 370.729 |
| New Brunswick | 134.839 + 23.839 | | 202.258 | 182.032 |
| Nova Scotia | 130,589 + 20,800 | | 195,884 | 176,296 |
| New York | 126,204 + 17,007 | | 189,306 | 170,375 |
| Vermont | 108,535 + 13,294 | | 162,802 | 146,522 |
| Quebec | 78,984 <u>+</u> 18,016 | | 118,475 | 106,628 |
| New Hampshire | 75,761 <u>+</u> 8,176 | | 113,641 | 102,277 |
| Massachusetts | 23,274 <u>+</u> 2,447 | | 34,911 | 31,420 |
| Connecticut | 2,397 <u>+</u> ?? | | 3,595 | 3,236 |
| PEI | 1,939 <u>+</u> 1,468 | | 2,908 | 2,617 |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|---------------------|--|---------------|------------------|---|
| Snecies | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals + SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| Blue-winged Warbler | (iii <i>ai (1000</i> iii) <u>-</u> 22) | 00,000,00 | (11101/10/0015) | @ density of |
| | | | | 2.0 ha/pair^{a} |
| | | Maintain | | 2 10 114 pm |
| | | current | | |
| BCR 14 | 2,440 + 247 | population | 2,440 | 2,440 |
| Massachusetts | 1,094 + 599 | 1 1 | 1,094 | 1,094 |
| Connecticut | 899 + ?? | | 899 | 899 |
| New York | 243 + 243 | | 243 | 243 |
| New Hampshire | 151 + 110 | | 151 | 151 |
| Vermont | 53 + 53 | | 53 | 53 |
| | | | | |
| Grasslands | | | | |
| | | | | @ density of |
| Bobolink | | | | 2.0 ha/pair" |
| BCR 14 | 1,023,976 <u>+</u> 36,518 | Increase 50% | 1,535,964 | 1,535,964 |
| Quebec | 225,752 <u>+</u> 47,389 | | 338,628 | 338,628 |
| Maine | $212,315 \pm 41,254$ | | 318,473 | 318,473 |
| New Brunswick | $190,770 \pm 37,570$ | | 286,155 | 286,155 |
| Nova Scotia | $161,322 \pm 47,719$ | | 241,983 | 241,983 |
| Vermont | 78,867 <u>+</u> 14,694 | | 118,301 | 118,301 |
| PEI | 56,570 <u>+</u> 24,403 | | 84,855 | 84,855 |
| New Hampshire | 54,538 <u>+</u> 10,528 | | 81,807 | 81,807 |
| New York | $26,403 \pm 16,205$ | | 39,605 | 39,605 |
| Massachusetts | 1/,438 <u>+</u> 3,781 | | 26,156 | 26,156 |
| Northern Harrier | | | | @ density of |
| | | | | 100 ha/pair ^a |
| BCR 14 | 5.055 + 311 | Increase 50% | 7.583 | 379.150 |
| Nova Scotia | 1.491 + 457 | | 2.237 | 111.850 |
| Ouebec | 1.446 + 351 | | 2.169 | 108,450 |
| New Brunswick | 1,201 + 539 | | 1,802 | 90,100 |
| Maine | 347 + 131 | | 521 | 26,050 |
| PEI | 254 + 187 | | 382 | 19,100 |
| New York | 236 + 172 | | 354 | 17,700 |
| Vermont | 68 + 41 | | 102 | 5,100 |
| New Hampshire | 11 + 11 | | 16 | 800 |
| V | | | | |
| vesper Sparrow | | | | $\overset{\text{w}}{=}$ density of $4.0 \text{ ho}/\text{main}^{\circ}$ |
| | 20 170 + 7 205 | Increase 500/ | 10 767 | 4.0 na/pair |
| DUK 14 Maina | 32,170 <u>+</u> 7,383 16 318 + 12 454 | merease 30% | 40,207 21 177 | 70,334 18 051 |
| Quebee | 10,310 <u>+</u> 13,434 11 860 + 6 276 | | 24,477 17 700 | 40,734 35 590 |
| Nova Scotia | $11,000 \pm 0,370$ 1 386 ± 1 178 | | 17,790 2 070 | 55,500 A 158 |
| Nova Scotia | 1,386 + 1,178 | | 2,079 | 4,158 |

| Habitat Type | Current Population | BCR | Population | BCR Habitat |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Species | Estimate | Population | Target | Objective |
| Geographic Area | (individuals <u>+</u> SE) | Objective | (individuals) | (hectares) |
| PEI | 671 <u>+</u> 671 | | 1,006 | 2,012 |
| Vermont | 541 <u>+</u> 338 | | 811 | 1,622 |
| New Brunswick | 514 <u>+</u> 293 | | 770 | 1,540 |
| New York | 400 ± 400 | | 599 | 1,198 |
| Massachusetts | 331 <u>+</u> 331 | | 496 | 992 |
| New Hampshire | 158 <u>+</u> 158 | | 237 | 474 |
| Barn Swallow | | | | |
| BCR 1/ | 1 116 698 ± 25 /05 | Increase 50% | 1 675 0/18 | |
| DCK 14 Maine | $1,110,070 \pm 23,403$ $240,339 \pm 23,713$ | mercase 5070 | 360 508 | |
| New Brunswick | 240,339 + 23,713 216 743 + 30 026 | | 325 115 | |
| Nova Scotia | $186\ 267\ +\ 33\ 672$ | | 279 400 | |
| Quebec | 100,207 + 33,072 171 178 + 32 858 | | 275,400 | |
| New York | 108897 + 22663 | | 163 345 | |
| Vermont | 98.837 ± 12.203 | | 148,256 | |
| New Hampshire | 63.103 + 8.537 | | 94.654 | |
| Massachusetts | 23.994 + 3.815 | | 35.991 | |
| PEI | 6.249 ± 1.520 | | 9.373 | |
| Connecticut | 1.092 + ?? | | 1.639 | |
| | ···· | | , | |
| <u>Urban/Suburban</u> | | | | |
| Chimney Swift | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 217,801 <u>+</u> 7,619 | Increase 50% | 326,702 | |
| Maine | 72,673 <u>+</u> 9,877 | | 109,010 | |
| New Hampshire | 35,916 <u>+</u> 6,633 | | 53,874 | |
| Vermont | 31,040 <u>+</u> 7,857 | | 46,560 | |
| Quebec | 23,075 <u>+</u> 11,294 | | 34,613 | |
| New Brunswick | 14,383 <u>+</u> 3,845 | | 21,575 | |
| Nova Scotia | 13,306 <u>+</u> 4,591 | | 19,959 | |
| Massachusetts | 11,012 <u>+</u> 3,693 | | 16,519 | |
| New York | $10,230 \pm 1,753$ | | 15,344 | |
| Connecticut | 6,165 <u>+</u> ?? | | 9,248 | |
| Common Nighthawk | | | | |
| BCR 14 | 18,322 <u>+</u> 1,941 | Increase 50% | 27,483 | |
| New Brunswick | 8,543 <u>+</u> 2,495 | | 12,815 | |
| Nova Scotia | 5,738 <u>+</u> 2,069 | | 8,607 | |
| Maine | 3,488 <u>+</u> 1,983 | | 5,232 | |
| Quebec | 553 <u>+</u> 440 | | 829 | |

nb = estimated number of non-breeding individuals.

^a breeding density estimate based on numbers reported in the Birds of North America series species accounts. (Poole and Gill eds. The Birds of North America. The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.)
^b breeding density estimate based on Hagan et al. (1997. J.Wildl.Manage. 61(3):718-735).
^c breeding density estimate based on The Breeding Birds of Quebec (Gauthier and Aubry, eds. 1996. The Breeding Birds of Quebec:Atlas of Breeding Birds of Southern Quebec. Association quebecoise des groupes d'ornithologues, Province of Quebec Society for the Protection of Birds, Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Quebec Region, Montreal).

Appendix E, Part 3. DRAFT Derivation of Regional Waterfowl Population Planning Targets from North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP) Continental Population Objectives.

Written by Mark Koneff, waterfowl biologist- pilot with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Bird Habitat Conservation, in Laurel, Maryland.

Introduction

The North American Waterfowl Management Plan (Plan) is predicated on the premise that the cumulative effects of many targeted local-scale management actions will ultimately affect continental waterfowl populations through improvements in recruitment and survival processes. The ultimate objective of Plan management actions is to provide sufficient habitat to maintain continental waterfowl populations at objective levels during periods characterized by "average environmental conditions."

Joint ventures attempt to utilize the best available quantitative data and expert opinion to develop explicit assumptions about their regional role in sustaining continental waterfowl populations. These assumptions are critical as they provide the foundation for establishing habitat objectives and implementation strategies. In non-breeding joint ventures, particularly those which are important wintering areas, a three-step process has been employed in development and evaluation of habitat objectives and conservation strategies. First, it is necessary to estimate the proportion of continental waterfowl populations which would be expected to occupy a particular joint venture during the non-breeding season, and the duration and timing of that occupancy, when continental populations are at objective levels. This process is often referred to as a "step-down" of continental goals to regional scales. Second, it is the responsibility of the joint venture to explicitly state assumptions about physiological needs of waterfowl during their residency period and about regional factors influencing availability of and access to important resources, assess resource status and trends, and utilize this information to develop habitat objectives and conservation strategies in a landscape context. Lastly, joint ventures seek to evaluate the validity of explicitly-stated assumptions made during planning phases. In joint venture areas where little evidence of resource limitation exists, it may be difficult to evaluate certain primary planning assumptions regarding the role of regional habitats in continental population dynamics, however, many secondary assumptions (e.g., resource availability in particular habitat types, seasonal changes in resource availability, etc.) can be the focus of evaluation efforts to refine habitat objectives and strategies.

The objectives of this analysis were to derive a cohesive set of species-specific regional population planning targets from the continental population objectives of the Plan and assess distributional changes over that past 30 years that might require consideration in setting habitat objectives, to identify potential short-comings in the methodology employed, and to suggest potential remedies for consideration by the Plan Science Support Team (NSST). It is important to note that many factors influence the number of waterfowl that occupy a joint venture in any given year. Some of these factors are not determined by habitat availability and condition within the joint venture. Regional population targets then are best viewed as planning baselines, not as performance metrics.

Methods

I derived species-specific regional population objectives from Plan continental population objectives using a 4 step process. First, I computed the 1970-1979 mean proportion of the total continental (i.e., including the U.S. and Mexico) Mid-winter Inventory (MWI) count occurring in each U.S. state or in Mexico for each species. Second, I computed the 1970-1979 mean proportion of the total U.S. state harvest occurring in each county for each species. Third, I computed a county-level population objective for each species using

N_x~=~{rho_s_x~*~ phi_cs_x~*~O_x} OVER {0.85}

where, N_xis the derived county-level population objective for species x, rho_s_x is the proportion of the total 1970-1979 MWI count of species x occurring within state s, phi_cs_xis the proportion of state s's total 1970-1979 harvest of species x which occurred in the county c, O_xis the 1970-1979 mean continental population estimate (i.e., mean surveyed area estimate plus estimate of un-surveyed area population) for species x from the 1986 Plan, and the constant 0.85 is a conservative correction factor to account for mortality occurring between January and arrival on the breeding grounds. This correction factor was only applied to goose populations for which winter population objectives have been established. For geese I performed 2 separate computations where,O_x was the 2000-2002 mean population estimate or the population objective presented in the draft 2003 Update to the Plan. Because of difficulties in discriminating certain goose species and populations in the MWI database, I combined mean estimates and objectives for "light geese" (i.e., all populations of snow and Ross's geese) and "dark geese" (i.e., all populations of snow and Ross's geese).

Lastly, I assigned each U.S. county to a joint venture (Fig. 1) and a Bird Conservation Region (BCR; Fig. 2) based on the proportion of the county in a joint venture or BCR and summed county-level population objectives to derive joint venture and BCR regional population objectives. I then repeated these computations using MWI data from 1990-2002 and countylevel harvest estimates from 1990-1999. MWI state total counts were obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Division of Migratory Bird Management, Flyway Representatives. County-level harvest estimates were obtained from the USFWS, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Branch of Harvest Surveys. Mexican MWI data were obtained from the USFWS, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Branch of Migratory Bird Population Assessment. All computations were performed using the SAS statistical software package and the geographic information system Arc/Info.

<u>Results</u>

Table 1 presents the results of the derivation process for joint ventures based on 1970-1979 MWI and county harvest data. Table 2 presents results of the derivation for joint ventures based on 1990-2002 MWI data and 1990-1999 county harvest data. Tables 3 and 4 present results of the derivation for BCRs. Table 3 presents the derivation for ducks and geese for both the 1970-1979 and 1990-2002 periods where the continental population objective is the figure that is stepped-down. Table 4 presents the derivation for geese only for both the 1970-1979 and 1990-2002 periods where the 2000-2002 mean population estimate is the figure that is steppeddown The zip file speciesmaps.zip contains shaded maps of county-level population objectives for each species using both the 1970s and 1990-2002 data and are useful in assessing the adequacy of this approach on a species-by-species basis.

Limitations for Specific Species or Species Groups and Solutions

The approach I utilized did not perform well for geese other than brant nor for several ducks including the mottled duck, the whistling ducks, blue-winged and cinnamon teal, and wood ducks.

Geese – Mid-winter counts are biased low for geese in many states since all habitats, particularly upland habitats utilized by foraging geese, are not consistently surveyed by all states. Proportion of goose counts within states, therefore, is likely not a useful correlate of actual goose winter distribution. Another short-coming of this step-down approach for geese is the inability to distinguish certain species and populations in the MWI count and harvest estimate data. This necessitated the combined analysis for "light geese" and "dark geese." I suggest that a solution to these problems is to rely solely on the county-level harvest estimates to approximate winter distribution. It would then be necessary for joint ventures and the NSST to work with the USFWS and state biologists to estimate the population-specific proportions of the goose harvest in each county. The product of these proportions and the goose population status and objectives figures from the 2003 Plan Update would equal goose population-specific county objectives. County-level objectives could then be summed to derive regional objectives. Because of the magnitude of the task of estimating population-specific harvest proportions for each U.S. county, I did not attempt to implement this procedure at this point.

Mottled Duck and the Whistling Ducks – This approach did not adequately estimate mottled duck or whistling duck populations in the U.S. For mottled ducks, this was particularly true for Florida where the MWI is known to provide a poor index to abundance for this species. I made no attempt to adjust the process for mottled ducks. For whistling ducks, I used a modified process in which I computed the proportion of the MWI count in Mexico, Texas/Louisiana, and Florida during both the 1970s and 1990s. I then multiplied these proportions by the mean MWI count for the 1970s. Because of the restricted distribution of these species in the U.S., the best approach to setting regional population objectives may be the use of expert opinion.

Blue-winged and Cinnamon Teal – Most blue-winged teal winter in Latin and South America. Significant numbers, however, winter along the U.S. Gulf coast and in Mexico. Also, during the Mid-winter Inventory, blue-winged and cinnamon teal are not distinguished to the

species level, rather their counts are aggregated. I therefore utilized actual estimates of the numbers of blue-winged teal wintering on the Gulf coast and in Mexico and cinnamon teal wintering in the western U.S. and Mexico (Bellrose 1980) to derive regional population objectives. For cinnamon teal Bellrose (1980) reports the estimated proportion of the population wintering in Mexico versus the western U.S (primarily the Central Valley of California). I then multiplied these proportions with the estimated total breeding population reported by Bellrose (1980) and divided by the constant 0.85 to obtain regional population objectives for this species. Bellrose (1980) does not report specific proportions of blue-winged teal wintering on the U.S. Gulf Coast and Mexico so I could not base blue-winged teal regional objectives on the breeding population objective of the Plan. Instead I divided estimated wintering populations in these regions as reported by Bellrose (1980) by 0.85 to obtain the regional objectives for blue-wings.

Wood Duck – The wood duck is not well represented in MWI counts due to its cryptic coloration and use of wooded habitats. To derive regional population objectives for this species, I computed the mean total county-level harvest for all states within the primary and secondary winter range of this species (Bellrose 1980). As suggested for geese above, I assumed that the distribution of harvest at the county-level is a correlate of actual wood duck winter distribution. I computed the county-level objectives for wood ducks as the product of the proportion of county harvest to total harvest for states in the primary and secondary winter range and the continental population estimate for eastern and western populations of wood ducks from the 2003 Update divided by the 0.85 correction factor. County-level population objectives were then summed to derive joint venture and BCR objectives.

Other Limitations and Solutions

Population Objectives versus Total Use-days – The results of this derivation provide nonbreeding population objectives in January. More useful in developing habitat conservation objectives would be an assessment of season-long use of regional habitats by waterfowl including birds stopping during migration, but subsequently leaving the region of interest. This total season long use has been termed by some non-breeding joint ventures as "use-days." Estimation of total use-days has been attempted by some non-breeding joint ventures. For this continental analysis, it may be possible to use the migration chronology curves and migration regions (Fig. 3) in Bellrose (1980) in conjunction with the of species-specific county-level population objectives (which provide an estimate of population size in January) to compute total use-days by species for each county and sum these use-days for joint ventures and BCRs.

Use of Total Seasonal County Harvest Estimates versus Late-winter County Harvest Estimates – Because the data were readily available, I used total seasonal county harvest estimates. This may skew the estimated January distributions since proportional county harvest is the primary determinant of within-state distribution. For instance, I am not particularly familiar with habitats in northeast Texas, but I'm guessing that the large county-level population objectives computed for many of these counties may be reflective of harvest of migrating birds. This would cause problems but in the derivation of regional population objectives in computing total seasonal use-days. This issue, if deemed a significant problem, could be addressed by using only county harvest estimates for the winter period.

Appendix E, Part 4. DRAFT Methods used to estimate landbird population sizes (excerpt from Appendix B of the PIF North American Landbird Conservation Plan [Rich et al. In Press]).

Estimates of global population size were needed for each species of landbird covered by the Plan for several reasons:

- To score the Population Size factor (PS) in our species assessment. For this purpose, we needed order of magnitude resolution on population sizes, using to the extent possible a single methodology to give comparable estimates across all species;
- **To provide estimates of "current" population size for each landbird species.** This gives an impression of the size of the landbird resource, and more importantly it emphasizes the magnitude of the task of attaining listed population objectives;
- To provide a starting point for estimating population sizes in each Bird Conservation Region, and an understanding of the magnitude of attaining objectives regionally. We emphasize that additional work to check and refine estimates in each Region is highly desirable, because additional population data may be available, different analytical methods may provide more precision at the regional scale, and because assumptions applied at the continental level may need to be revisited within each region.

Population size estimates for the U.S., and for Canada south of the arctic:

We used Breeding Bird Survey data from the 1990s as the basis for population estimates across the U.S. and across Canada south of the arctic (i.e. excluding BCR 3, see next section). BBS-based estimates of abundance were calculated according to the following steps:

- 1) For each BBS route run within acceptable weather conditions, counts were averaged across years to give a single average count for the 1990s for each species recorded on each route.
- 2) In the boreal forest portions of Canada, where BBS routes are widely scattered, routes not run during the 1990s were added to augment geographical coverage, using data from other decades for these routes (boreal routes that were run during the 1990s still provided the bulk of boreal count data, and species counts from those routes were restricted to the 1990s).
- 3) Species counts were averaged across all BBS routes in each geo-political polygon defined by the intersection of a BCR and a province / state / territory for example, separate averages were calculated for each of the three U.S. states and three Canadian provinces that together comprise the Boreal Hardwood Transition (BCR 12).
- 4) Where a geo-political polygon was not sampled by BBS routes, we assigned averages from adjacent polygon(s) in the same BCR. In the U.S., unsampled polygons were typically smaller than 1,000 km2, so this procedure had minimal effect on continental population estimates. In boreal Canada, unsampled polygons were sometimes large (exceeding 100,000

km2 in two instances) so that population estimates for boreal BCRs are less likely to be representative of the whole region.

- 5) Indices of abundance were calculated for each geo-political polygon by multiplying average counts per BBS route (from step 4) times area of the geo-political polygon, and dividing by the theoretical area covered by a BBS route (25.1 km2, assuming 400m radius around each of the 50 count circles). For example, the index of abundance for Wood Thrushes in the Ontario portion of BCR 12 equals 2.33 birds/route (55 routes sampled in 1990s) x 202,860 km2 (area of Ontario in BCR 12) / 25.1 km2 (area per BBS route) equals approximately 19,000.
- 6) BCR-wide indices of abundance were calculated by simple addition across all polygons making up each BCR, thus giving a population index for Wood Thrushes in all of BCR 12 of approximately 40,000. (State and province-wide indices of abundance can be calculated in the same manner).
- 7) BCR-wide population indices were converted to population estimates by applying three correction factors (see Rosenberg and Blancher, in press, for more detail on these correction factors):
 - a. Pair correction indices were multiplied by two on the assumption that typically a single member of a breeding pair is observed during BBS tallies;
 - b. Detection area correction Most species are not detected out to the full 400m BBS count circle. Each species was placed into one of five detection distance categories, based on presumed effective detection during 3-minute BBS counts: 80m, 125m, 200m, 400m and 800m. Since area of detection increases as the square of detection distance, the detection area correction is then simply the square of the ratio between 400m (theoretical BBS count circle) and species-specific effective distance. For example for Wood Thrush, placed in the 200m class, the population index is multiplied by a detection area correction of 4 (square of 400/200). Note that effective detection distances are intended to incorporate not only the distance at which a species is normally heard and seen, but also the radius of its movement during a 3-minute count period this is why some wide-ranging species have been assigned an 800m detection distance despite being counted within a 400m BBS circle.
 - c. Time of day correction Almost all species show a temporal change in detection across the 50 BBS stops, some declining from a dawn chorus, others peaking after sunrise or later in the morning. A time of day correction is applied to the population index to adjust counts to the maximum time of detection. This adjusts for birds not detected at other times of the morning. The correction factor is the ratio of counts at the peak of detection (calculated using a polynomial curve fit to smooth out stop-by-stop variance) relative to the average count over whole BBS routes. Time of day correction factors were calculated from survey-wide BBS stop-by-stop data. For Wood Thrush, whose detectability declines from a peak at BBS stop 1, the time of day correction is 2.30.

For Wood Thrushes, the population estimate for BCR 12 = 40,000 (index from step 6) x 2 (pair correction) x 4 (detection area correction) x 2.30 (time of day correction) = approximately 740,000 breeding individuals.

Population size estimates for arctic Canada (BCR 3):

In the absence of BBS data, we used a combination of Breeding Bird Census (BBC) density estimates (Kennedy et al. 1999) and relative abundance data from the Northwest Territories / Nunavut Bird Checklist Survey

(<u>http://www.mb.ec.gc.ca/nature/migratorybirds/nwtbcs/index.en.html</u>) to estimate population size of landbirds in the arctic (BCR 3) portion of Canada, as follows:

- 1) Total landbird density was calculated from BBC data for each of three terrestrial ecozones that make up BCR 3 in Canada (Arctic Cordillera, Northern Arctic and Southern Arctic).
- 2) Total landbird density was split among three classes of landbirds those likely to be detected at long distances (raptors, ravens), those at intermediate distances (birds of open country) and the rest (birds of woods and scrub).
- 3) Relative abundance of each landbird species was calculated from Checklist data for each of the ecozones and classes of birds above. Checklist data were first screened to remove lists in which all bird species were not recorded, or the observer self-identified as "fair" at species identification, or month was not June or July. Counts per species were averaged across years within sites before further analysis.
- 4) The ratio of BBC density to checklist abundance (density conversion factor) was calculated for each ecozone and class of landbird. The two northern ecozones were collapsed into one due to lack of difference in conversion factors.
- 5) Density conversion factors were applied to checklist abundance data to provide density estimates of each landbird species at 649 sites across the arctic (those in BCR 3 in Canada).
- 6) Bird densities from checklist sites were averaged within each of 30 Arctic ecoregions, then multiplied by size of region to convert to a population estimate for that ecoregion. Estimates for unsampled ecoregions were derived as area-weighted averages from all sampled ecoregions in the same terrestrial ecozone. Population estimates were then summed across ecoregions to provide a total population estimate for each landbird species in the arctic.

Estimating global populations:

For species breeding entirely within the U.S. and Canada, our estimate of global population size was a simple sum of the above two estimates (BBS-based estimate plus arctic Canada estimate).
For species with broader breeding distributions, but still at least 10% of range in the U.S. and Canada, we extrapolated global population size on the basis of proportion of breeding range outside of the U.S. and Canada. Proportions of breeding range were estimated from range maps.

For species with more than 90% of breeding range outside the U.S. and Canada, we estimated global population size to order of magnitude (as for PS scores) based on range size and a comparison to population sizes of other landbird species that were judged to have similar relative abundance.

Exceptions to the methods presented above:

We accepted independent estimates of population size for some landbird species that have been surveyed by other methods more appropriate and specific to the species, for which continentalscale estimates were available or could be guesstimated at a level of accuracy deemed to be superior to our standard estimates.

Some assumptions in estimating population sizes:

For a variety of reasons, the population estimates presented here are rough estimates, and will need to be improved over time, especially for use at smaller scales. Without attempting to be comprehensive, a few main assumptions of the approach are mentioned here (see Rosenberg and Blancher, in press, for more information).

Habitats are sampled in proportion to their occurrence in the regional landscape: Though BBS is designed to provide a random sample of the landscape, limitations of a road-based survey mean that the landscape sampled is a biased representation of available habitat – for example species characteristic of high elevation habitats are likely to be undersampled by BBS simply because roads tend to follow valley bottoms in mountainous regions. In northern BCRs, there is a geographic bias, with most BBS data available from the southern portions of those BCRs. Checklist and Breeding Bird Census sites are determined by individual scientists and volunteers, so are not a random sample of arctic regions. We have not accounted for habitat bias in our continental estimates, in part because it will differ from region to region, and because the magnitude of bias has not yet been estimated in many regions or at a continental scale. Correction for habitat bias should be considered when using the methods described above at smaller scales.

Birds present but not detected during BBS counts are accounted for by one or more of the three density corrections applied above (pair, detection area, and time of day corrections): Species that have a peak of detection outside of the BBS sampling window (e.g. early-season breeders, most nocturnal species) are likely to have been underestimated. Pair corrections may result in over-estimation of population size, if a high proportion of counts involve either both members of a pair, or unmated birds.

Checklist / BBC-derived estimates from arctic Canada are comparable to BBS estimates: There are no BBS data from BCR3 in Canada to test this assumption. However, checklist/BBC-derived landbird density was 79 birds/km2 in the Canadian arctic, versus a BBS-derived 127 birds/km2 in the BCR 3 portion of Alaska. This difference is in the expected direction, since the Canadian arctic has a larger proportion of high arctic where landbird density is typically low.

Breeding density within the U.S. and Canada is similar to density elsewhere in the breeding range: Extrapolation of population size estimates to global population rely on this assumption, though it does not affect U.S./Canada population estimates, nor population objectives for the U.S. and Canada.

How accurate are the population estimates?:

Measures of precision for population estimates are not presented in the PIF North American Landbird Conservation Plan. Though variance associated with some of the parameters has been measured, others have yet to be estimated. Conversion of BBS relative abundance to estimated density depends on several adjustment factors, each of which carries associated variance. A high proportion of undetected birds, habitat bias and incorrect assignment of detection distance category have potential for large effects on estimates. Nevertheless, comparison with atlasderived population estimates suggests that population sizes are still well within the correct order of magnitude for landbirds regularly encountered on BBS routes (Rosenberg and Blancher, in press). Additional comparisons will be useful for refining the estimates.

Estimates of percent of global population:

Estimates of the percent of global population within BCRs and biomes were needed to assign BCRs to avifaunal biomes, to identify Stewardship Species in those biomes, to construct maps weighted by proportion of population in avifaunal biomes, and to provide an indication of degree of regional responsibility for Watch List and other species.

A. Breeding season:

For the breeding season, estimates of proportion of global population were calculated by dividing regional population estimates by global population estimates.

B. Winter percents:

For resident species, we assumed percent of global population was the same as in the breeding season. For migratory species, we based our estimates for the U.S. and Canada on Christmas Bird Count (CBC) data, calculated as follows:

1) For each CBC count circle surveyed between 1990/91 and 1997/98, birds observed per 100 party-hours were calculated and then averaged across years to give a single effort-adjusted count per species per count circle.

- 2) Effort-adjusted counts were averaged across all CBC count circles in each geo-political polygon defined by the intersection of a BCR and a province / state / territory. These average effort-adjusted counts were then multiplied by area of the geo-political polygon to yield an abundance index for each species in the polygon.
- 3) Abundance indices were summed across polygons within BCRs to give an abundance index for each BCR. Where a geo-political polygon was not sampled by CBC sites, an area-weighted average from other polygons in the same BCR was assigned. Most geo-political polygons without CBC count circles were in the boreal forest or arctic, where relatively few landbird species spend the winter.
- 4) Percent of U.S. and Canada winter population was then calculated for each BCR by dividing BCR abundance indices (from step 3) by the sum of all BCR indices across the U.S. and Canada.
- 5) Percent of global winter population was estimated in the same manner as summer population estimates, using proportion of winter range to estimate proportion of global range in the U.S. and Canada.

Some assumptions in estimating percent of population:

Habitat bias is consistent across the survey area: Because estimates of percent are relative measures, they are much less affected by habitat bias and density corrections than are population estimates, as long as biases are relatively consistent across the survey area. Thus percent of population based on CBC circles can be reasonably accurate despite strong potential for bias in the non-random placement of circles.

Differences in effort among CBC counts can be standardized by dividing by party-hour: In fact, species will respond differently to different types of effort (party-hour, party-mile, feeder counts, nocturnal effort). Also, response to increasing effort is likely to be non-linear, eventually becoming asymptotic. However, estimates of percent of winter population by BCR or avifaunal biome were relatively insensitive to these issues. Comparison of percents of winter population were similar whether calculated without any effort correction, correcting with party-miles, or using party-hours to correct effort. Only for a few northern species were there important differences depending on which method of error correction was used.



Appendix F. BCR 14 Habitat Focus Area maps and data sheets.

Fig. 8. Map of all habitat focus areas in BCR 14, illustrating areas of overlap among focus areas for two or more taxonomic groups. For more details, see <u>Waterbird Focus Areas</u>, <u>Landbird Focus Areas</u>, <u>Waterfowl Focus Areas</u>, and <u>Shorebird Focus Areas</u>.

Waterbird Focus Areas



Fig. 9. Map of waterbird focus areas (outlined in dark gray) in BCR 14. See the following page for a list of focus areas by province/state and links to data sheets for all focus areas.

<u>Waterbird Focus Areas in Quebec</u> include: <u>St. Lawrence Estuary</u>, <u>North Gaspé Penninsula</u>, <u>South Gaspé Penninsula</u>, and <u>Magdalen Islands</u>.

<u>Waterbird Focus Areas in New Brunswick</u> include: <u>Northeastern Coastal New Brunswick</u>, <u>Tantramar, Lower St. John River Flood Plain</u>, <u>Maine/New Brunswick Boarder Region</u>, and <u>Gulf</u> <u>of Maine/Bay of Fundy</u>.

<u>Waterbird Focus Areas in Prince Edward Island</u> include: Eastern PEI (no data sheet) and <u>Western PEI</u> (not identified on map).

Waterbird Focus Areas in Nova Scotia include: <u>Tantramar</u>, <u>Coastal Cape Breton</u>, <u>Eastern Shore</u> <u>Nova Scotia</u>, <u>St. Margaret's/Mahone Bay</u>, <u>Southwest Interior Nova Scotia</u>, <u>Southwest Nova</u> <u>Scotia</u>, and <u>Sable Island</u>.

<u>Waterbird Focus Areas in Maine</u> include: <u>Maine/New Brunswick Boarder Region</u>, <u>Gulf of</u> <u>Maine/Bay of Fundy</u>, <u>Central Maine Black Turn/Common Loon Areas</u>, and <u>Nulhegan/Rangeley</u> <u>Complex</u>.

<u>Waterbird Focus Areas in New Hampshire and Vermont</u> include: <u>Nulhegan/Rangeley Complex</u> and <u>Winnipesaukee</u>.

Waterbird Focus Areas in New York include: Adirondack Park.

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | St. Lawrence Estuary |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Rocky islands and coastline |
| Importance to this bird group | Razorbill, Black Guillemot, Black-legged Kittiwake, Virginia Rail, Sora, Black-crowned Night Heron |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spills Disturbance (kayakers, boat traffic) Fox predation |
| Protected status or area designation | Many islands are part of the National Wildlife Area (NWA) |
| Conservation action needed | Fox control |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca> <jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca></jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca></gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | North Gaspé Peninsula |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Coastline and Cliffs |
| Importance to this bird group | Black Guillemot (1,000+ pairs) |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spills |
| Protected status or area designation | Currently no protection |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> <gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | South Gaspé Peninsula |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Coastline, Cliffs, Islands, Sand bars |
| Importance to this bird group | Black Guillemot, Common Tern, Razorbill, Great Cormorant, Black- legged Kittiwake, Northern Gannet, Yellow Rail, Black-crowned Night Heron (37,000 pairs of gannets at Bonaventure Island) |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | oil spills disturbance interactions with fisheries bycatch predation (gulls, foxes) |
| Protected status or area designation | Bonaventure Island is a Provincial Park and MBS Forillon National Park St. Omer is a MBS |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> <gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Magdalen Islands |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | |
| Importance to this bird group | Northern Gannet, Razorbill, Black-legged Kittiwake, Black Guillemot, Great Cormorant, Common Tern, Pied-billed Grebe, Horned Grebe, Leach's Storm-Petrel |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | oil spills disturbance fox predation |
| Protected status or area designation | Pointe de l'Est – NWA Bird Rocks – MBS Brion Island – Provincial Ecological Reserve |
| Conservation action needed | Outreach |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> <gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca></jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Northeast Coastal New Brunswick |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Coastal islands from Kouchibouguac north to Acadian Peninsula (Miscou Island) |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Tern, Black-crowned Night Heron |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds (Piping Plover, migrating shorebirds), migratory waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | erosion human disturbance tourism |
| Protected status or area designation | RAMSAR site (Tabusintac Lagoon & River Estuary) National Park (Kouchibouguac) |
| Conservation action needed | Protection/management of common tern colony sites |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Atlantic Canada Tern Working Group <andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca></andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Western Prince Edward Island |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Prince Edward Island |
| Site description | Western coast of Prince Edward Island |
| Importance to this bird group | Great Cormorant Common Tern |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Human disturbance |
| Protected status or area designation | |
| Conservation action needed | Protections of largest common tern colony in province at Poverty Beach |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Dibblee – PEI |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Coastal Cape Breton |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal regions of Cape Breton |
| Importance to this bird group | Great Cormorant (various colonies) Atlantic Puffin Razorbill Black-legged Kittiwake |
| Importance to other bird groups | wintering waterfowl ? |
| Current and potential Threats | |
| Protected status or area designation | Bird Islands WMA Margaree Island NWA |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring great cormorant colonies |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton <miltongr@gov.ns.ca></miltongr@gov.ns.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Tantramar |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick, Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal NB/NS border region including dykelands |
| Importance to this bird group | Yellow Rail Black Tern Pied-billed Grebe Virginia Rail Sora |
| Importance to other bird groups | Waterfowl Shorebirds Landbirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Agricultural practices Wetland loss |
| Protected status or area designation | Tantramar Amherst Point John Lusby Marsh (NWA) Provincially significant wetlands (policy) |
| Conservation action needed | Outreach to agriculture and public outreach |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <kevin.connor@gnb.ca></kevin.connor@gnb.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Eastern Shore Nova Scotia |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Eastern 1/3 of coastal Nova Scotia, including numerous offshore islands on south side |
| Importance to this bird group | Great Cormorant Leach's Storm-Petrel Roseate Tern |
| Importance to other bird groups | Wintering waterfowl, harlequin ducks |
| Current and potential Threats | |
| Protected status or area designation | Eastern Shore WMA (provincial) |
| Conservation action needed | monitoring needs for Leach's storm-petrel continued work at Country Island is important roseate tern breeding colony |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca></andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | St. Margaret's/Mahone Bay |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal bays and associated islands southwest of Halifax |
| Importance to this bird group | Arctic Tern, Roseate Tern, Common Tern, Atlantic Puffin, Razorbill |
| Importance to other bird groups | Wintering waterfowl (harlequin duck/seaducks) |
| Current and potential Threats | Island development for human residential and recreational activities - tourism |
| Protected status or area designation | Pearl/Grassy Islands WMAs |
| Conservation action needed | Island protection/acquisition stewardship (conservation action) restoration |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Sherman Boates – Nova Scotia DNR |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Southwestern Nova Scotia |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal Nova Scotia from Wedgeport to Barrington, including islands |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Tern Roseate Tern Black Guillemot Leach's Storm-Petrel Atlantic Puffin |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds Waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | Colony disturbance |
| Protected status or area designation | "Brothers" have almost been designated as provincial WMA |
| Conservation action needed | Securement |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <kevin.connor@gnb.ca></kevin.connor@gnb.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Lower St. John River Floodplain |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Weltand complex under tidal influence below Mactaquac (?) Dam to estuary in St. John |
| Importance to this bird group | Pied-billed Grebe Yellow Rail Virginia Rail Sora Black Tern |
| Importance to other bird groups | waterfowl, landbirds, shorebirds |
| Current and potential Threats | agriculture development / land use practices (planning) wetland filling |
| Protected status or area designation | provincially significant wetlands (policy) water course alteration regulation environmental assessment regulation securement / managed wetlands (EHJV) |
| Conservation action needed | public outreach policy wetland management regulation enforcement best land use practices |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <kevin.connor@gnb.ca></kevin.connor@gnb.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Gulf of Maine / Bay of Fundy |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Maine |
| Site description | Coastal region: western boundary = western Casco Bay; eastern boundary = west of St. John's River; including Matinicus group/Grand Manan Archipeligo |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding: Arctic Tern, Common Tern, Roseate Tern, Razorbill, Atlantic Puffin, Black Guillemot, Great Cormorant, Black-legged Kittiwake, Black-crowned Night Heron, Leach's Storm-Petrel Wintering: Common Loon, Red-throated Loon, Horned Grebe, Red- necked Grebe, Black Guillemot, Razorbill, Atlantic Puffin, Great Cormorant, (Greater Shearwater – pelagic) |
| Importance to other bird groups | shorebirds (migration and wintering) and waterfowl (breeding and wintering) |
| Current and potential Threats | coastal development, fishery by-catch oil spills, contaminants, commercial fisheries high concentration and low number of breeding sites predation |
| Protected status or area designation | some islands under Federal, state, or provincial protection ME & NB oil spill plan/response plan (Natural Resource Protection Act – ME) Coastal Areas Protection Policies – NB |
| Conservation action needed | restoration/establishment of additional colonies (increase number and distribution) acquire additional islands (permanent protection) public outreach – recreation use of islands |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Scott Hall <shall@audubon.org> Linda Welch <linda_welch@fws.gov> Andrew Boyne <andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca></andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca></linda_welch@fws.gov></shall@audubon.org> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Nulhegan / Rangley Complex |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Vermont, Maine |
| Site description | Northeast Kingdom, VT and Rangley/Flagstaff, ME |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Loon, American Bittern, Pied-billed Grebe |
| Importance to other bird groups | Waterfowl (American Black Duck) Grassland Birds Boreal Species (Black-backed Woodpecker, Boreal Chickadee) |
| Current and potential Threats | Common Loon – human disturbance, low productivity |
| Protected status or area designation | Nulhegan Division – Conte NWR VT, NH, & ME state lands |
| Conservation action needed | Wetland protections Determine causes for COLO declines in ME |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Mark LaBarr <mlabarr@audubon.org> Dave Evers <david.evers@briloon.org></david.evers@briloon.org></mlabarr@audubon.org> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Sable Island |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Island / offshore sand spit |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Tern Arctic Tern Roseate Tern |
| Importance to other bird groups | Ipswich Sparrow |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil and gas development |
| Protected status or area designation | Migratory Bird Sanctuary IBA |
| Conservation action needed | Ongoing work through Sable Island Preservation Trust |
| References | Sable Island Conservation Plan – Environment Canada |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | <andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca></andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Central Maine Black Tern/Common Loon Areas |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | ME |
| Site description | lacustrine/palistrine emergent wetlands, lacustrine open water |
| Importance to this bird group | Black Tern Common Loon – core breeding area in ME (~200 pairs), high productivity loon breeding area |
| Importance to other bird groups | breeding habitat for rails, coots, moorhen, PBGR, waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | human disturbance predation, water levels small, geographically-isolated population shoreland development contaminants |
| Protected status or area designation | shoreland zoning, WMAs, FWS ownership, state ownership (Great Ponds Act) |
| Conservation action needed | public outreach |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Charlie Todd <charlie.todd@state.me.us> Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us> Dave Evers <david.evers@briloon.org></david.evers@briloon.org></tom.hodgman@state.me.us></charlie.todd@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Winnipesaukee |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Hampshire |
| Site description | Area surrounding Lake Winnipesaukee including Squam Lake and surrounding watershed |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Loon American Bittern (?) |
| Importance to other bird groups | Waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | Development |
| Protected status or area designation | |
| Conservation action needed | Common Loon monitoring and protection |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Southwest Interior Nova Scotia |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Lakes in interior of southwestern Nova Scotia |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Loon some small sites for Common Tern |
| Importance to other bird groups | landbirds, breeding waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | acidification, mercury deposition, disturbance |
| Protected status or area designation | Kejimkujik Nation Park Tobeatic Wilderness Area |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Joe Kerekes (?) – CWS, Dartmouth, NS |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Maine / New Brunswick Southern Border Region |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine, New Brunswick |
| Site description | southwestern NB and southeastern ME lakes along St. Croix watershed |
| Importance to this bird | Common Loon Block Term |
| group | |
| Importance to other bird | waterfowl, landbirds, some shorebirds |
| groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | contaminantsrecreational disturbance (expanding) |
| | |
| Protected status or area | - potential protected natural area status in NB |
| designation | - shoreline zoning |
| | |
| Conservation action needed | continue public outreach |
| | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |
| | Kevin Connor <kevin.connor@gnb.ca></kevin.connor@gnb.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Adirondack Park |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New York |
| Site description | Adirondack Park – six million acres of public and private land within the "Blue Line" as delineated by Environmental Conservation Law. Forest Preserve lands managed by NY DEC. Adirondack Park Agency responsible for park policy. Includes 2,759 lakes and ponds greater than 0.5 acres (246,270 acres) |
| Importance to this bird group | Common Loon American Bittern Pied-billed Grebe Sora Herring Gull Virginia Rail Common Moorhen |
| Importance to other bird groups | breeding waterfowl, breeding landbirds (including boreal forest species) |
| Current and potential Threats | Human disturbance Shoreline development Acid rain Contaminants (e.g., mercury deposition) |
| Protected status or area designation | Adirondack Park (Blue Line) Forest preserves within park boundary (Forever Wildlife) Wildlife Management Areas (NY DEC) State Parks (OPRHP) |
| Conservation action needed | Wetland protection, shoreline protection, bird population monitoring, water quality monitoring, contamination load assessment, education/outreach |
| References | Karl Parker. 1986. DFWMR report Nina Schoch. 2003. WCS report |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | David Adams <d jadams@gw.dec.state.ny.us=""></d> |

Landbird Focus Areas



Fig. 10. Map of landbird focus areas (outlined in light green) in BCR 14. See the following page for a list of focus areas by subregions and links to more detailed maps and data sheets for these focus areas. Note that these focus areas are primarily for saltmarsh and grassland habitats, as well as some high elevation forest in Canada and Maine. Also see the <u>Bicknell's Thrush habitat map</u> and the outputs from the bird-habitat modeling exercises for addition important landbird areas.

Landbird Focus Areas are presented in the following pages by groupings of provinces/states, with more detailed maps of each sub-region, followed by data sheets for the corresponding Focus Areas.

- Landbird focus areas in New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, and southern Quebec (click for map) include: Adirondack Park (NY1), Southern Lake Memphremagog (VT1), Ossipee Pine Barrens/Saco River Grassland Complex (NH1), Haverhill (NH2), Lower Connecticut River Valley (NH3), Upper Connecticut River Valley (NH4), Lower Kennebec River Marshes (ME1), Upper Sheepscot Marshes (ME1), Weskeag River Saltmarsh (ME2), Mendall Marsh (ME3), Bass Harbor Marsh (ME4), Downeast Saltmarsh Complex (ME5), Roque Bluffs (ME6), Downeast Blueberry Barrens (ME7), Saddleback-Sugarloaf Mtns. (ME8), Snow Mtn. (ME9), Kibby Mtn. (ME10), Mont Sutton (QB1), Mont Mégantic (QB2), and Monts Gosford and Marbre (QB3).
- Landbird focus areas in the Gaspe Peninsula and northern New Brunswick (click for map) include: Center of Gaspe peninsula (QB4), Forillon National Park (QB5), Percé vicinity and Île Bonaventure (QB6), New Brunswick-Québec border (QB8), St.-Pascal to St.-Andre (QM1), Cacouna to IsleVerte (QM2), Rimouski to Pointe-au-Père (QM3), Gaspé (QM4), Barachois de Malbaie (QM5), Rivière Nouvelle estuary (QM6), Pointe a la Croix (QM7), La Pocatière to Île Verte (QA1), Rimouski to Ste. Flavie (QA2), Valley of Matapédia (QA3), Upper Saint John River (MT1), Miramichi River (MT4), Bathurst (MT5), and North-central New Brunswick (MT10).
- Landbird focus areas in southern New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Nova Scotia (click for map) include: Mid-Saint John River/Grand Lake (MT2), Sussex (MT3), Prince Edward Island (MT6), New Brunswick-Nova Scotia Border Region (MT7), Annapolis Valley (MT8), Sable Island (MT9), Northern Cape Breton Island/Scaterie Island/St. Paul Island (MT11), and Îles de la Madeline (QB7).



Fig. 11. Map of Landbird Focus Areas in New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, and southern Quebec: <u>NY1</u>, <u>VT1</u>, <u>NH1</u>, <u>NH2</u>, <u>NH3</u>, <u>NH4</u>, <u>ME1-lower</u>, <u>ME1-upper</u>, <u>ME2</u>, <u>ME3</u>, <u>ME4</u>, <u>ME5</u>, <u>ME6</u>, <u>ME7</u>, <u>ME8</u>, <u>ME9</u>, <u>ME10</u>, <u>QB1</u>, <u>QB2</u>, <u>QB3</u>.

| Name of focus area | Adirondack Park |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map reference – NY 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New York |
| Site description | Adirondack Park – six million acres of public and private land within the "Blue Line" as delineated by Environmental Conservation Law. Forest Preserve lands managed by NY DEC. Adirondack Park Agency responsible for park policy. Includes 2,759 lakes and ponds greater than 0.5 acres (246,270 acres) |
| Importance to this bird group | High priority area for birds breeding in northern hardwood, conifer, and mountane forests |
| | Priority species include Bicknell's Thrush, Canada Warbler, Bay- breasted Warbler, Wood Thrush, Black-throated Blue Warbler, American Woodcock, Chestnut-sided Warbler, Olive-sided Flycatcher, Purple Finch, Eastern Wood-Pewee, Veery, Yellow-bellied Flycatcher |
| Importance to other bird groups | breeding waterbirds and waterfowl |
| Current and potential Threats | Human disturbance Shoreline development Acid rain Contaminants (e.g., mercury deposition) |
| Protected status or area designation | Adirondack Park (Blue Line) Forest preserves within park boundary (Forever Wildlife) Wildlife Management Areas (NY DEC) State Parks (OPRHP) |
| Conservation action needed | Wetland protection, shoreline protection, bird population monitoring, water quality monitoring, contamination load assessment, education/outreach |
| References | Karl Parker. 1986. DFWMR report Nina Schoch. 2003. WCS report |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tim Post <tjpost@gw.dec.state.ny.us> Mitch Hartley <mitch_hartley@fws.gov></mitch_hartley@fws.gov></tjpost@gw.dec.state.ny.us> |

| Name of focus area | Southern Lake Memphremagog |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – VT 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Vermont |
| Site description | Agricultural lands including mowed fields, pastures, plus Coventry Airport |
| Importance to this bird group | Relatively extensive grassland habitat for breeding Bobolink and other grassland birds; Northern Harriers also use area |
| Importance to other bird groups | Have had one pair of Upland Sandpipers at Coventry Airport; Overlaps with wetland IBA with Black Tern, Common Moorhen, and American Bittern |
| Current and potential Threats | changing agricultural practices are including more row crops (corn) timing and frequency of mowing airport expansion landfill |
| Protected status or area designation | Designated as an Audubon Vermont IBA, plus some state owned land |
| Conservation action needed | Conservation Reserve Program needs to be expanded in this area Airport management A state recovery plan is in draft form |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Steve Parren <sparren@fwd.gnr.state.vt.us></sparren@fwd.gnr.state.vt.us> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Ossipee Pine Barrens/Saco River Grassland Complex (Map Reference – NH 1) |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Hampshire, Maine |
| Site description | Extensive sandplain (2,000+ acres); pitch pine scrub oak barrens; floodplain system along Saco River is associated and has farms and grasslands |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding habitat for a wide variety of priority species: American Woodcock, Canada Warbler, Prairie Warbler, Olive-sided Flycatcher, Chestnut-sided Warbler, Veery, Yellow-bellied Sapsucker, Ruffed Grouse, Purple Finch, Bobolink, Northern Parula, Blackburnian Warbler, Whip-poor-will, Chimney Swift, Vesper Sparrow, Common Nighthawk, Purple Martin |
| Importance to other bird groups | Imbedded lakes support Common Loon |
| Current and potential Threats | Highly developable, extremely large gravel extraction, fire suppression |
| Protected status or area designation | 1200 acres protected by TNC but little to no funds available for enhancement/restoration of this fire dependent system |
| Conservation action needed | Enhancement, acquisition, restoration |
| References | Patterson (UMass), TNC, UVM |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | |

| Name of focus area | Haverhill |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – NH 2) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Hampshire |
| Site description | Mixed agricultural lands along Connecticut River valley |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding habitat for common grassland species: Bobolink, Eastern Meadowlark, Savannah Sparrow, occasionally used by Vesper Sparrow and Grasshopper Sparrow; migration habitat for Northern Harrier |
| Importance to other bird groups | Habitat for Upland Sandpiper |
| Current and potential Threats | Farm abandonment, conversion from hay/pasture to cropland |
| Protected status or area designation | Within Conte NFWR boundary |
| Conservation action needed | Maintain existing grassland acreages |
| References | Massachusetts Audubon grassland bird survey data |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Pam Hunt <phunt@nhaudubon.org></phunt@nhaudubon.org> |

| Name of focus area | Lower Connecticut River Valley |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – NH 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Hampshire, Vermont |
| Site description | Mixed agricultural lands along lower Connecticut River valley, roughly between Putney and Windsor, Vermont |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding habitat for common grassland species: Bobolink, Eastern Meadowlark, Savannah Sparrow, occasionally used by Vesper Sparrow and Grasshopper Sparrow; migration habitat for Northern Harrier |
| Importance to other bird groups | Habitat for Upland Sandpiper |
| Current and potential Threats | Farm abandonment, conversion from hay/pasture to cropland |
| Protected status or area designation | Within Conte NFWR boundary |
| Conservation action needed | Maintain existing grassland acreages |
| References | Massachusetts Audubon grassland bird survey data |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Pam Hunt <phunt@nhaudubon.org></phunt@nhaudubon.org> |

| Name of focus area | Upper Connecticut River Valley (Man Reference NH 4) |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Vermont. New Hampshire |
| | |
| Site description | 1/2 mile adjacent to VT&NH banks of Connecticut River from the junction of Johns River (Dalton, NH) to Canaan, VT. Grassland, pasture, corn adjacent to riparian wetlands – mostly privately owned. |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding habitat for grasslands birds, including sparrows, meadowlarks, and Northern Harrier |
| Importance to other bird groups | Breeding and migrating habitat for waterfowl, including Black Duck and Wood Duck |
| Current and potential Threats | development farming practices (early/frequent mowing) grazing practices |
| Protected status or area designation | majority unprotected NH wildlife management area NAWCA grant (2001) |
| Conservation action needed | protect from conversion to other uses develop incentives to change some agricultural practices (early/frequent mowing) |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Scot Williamson <wmisw@together.net>, Will Staats (NH Fish & Game), William Crenshaw (VT Fish & Wildlife)</wmisw@together.net> |

| Name of focus area | Lower Kennebec River Marshes |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – <i>lower part of</i> ME 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Includes five tidal marshes (along Sprague, Morse, and Little Rivers, Atkins Bay and behind Outer Head) with emergent vegetation (<i>Spartina-</i> dominated) near the mouth of the Kennebec River. |
| Importance to this bird group | All 5 sites occupied by both Nelson's and Saltmarsh Sharp-tailed Sparrows |
| Importance to other bird groups | Other species using this area include Willet, Yellowlegs, Least Sandpiper, and wintering Black Duck. Foraging areas for Least Tern – adjacent beaches are breeding sites for LETE and PIPL |
| Current and potential Threats | Ditch plugging may not benefit sparrows. Human use of parks not perceived to be a problem at present Preliminary data on sparrows indicate contaminants (e.g., Hg) could be significant - Defense contractor/ship builder just upstream on Kennebec River. Residential and summer home development. |
| Protected status or area designation | Outer Head and portions of Atkins Bay, Little and MorseRivers are State-owned (Popham Beach and Reid State Parks). All or most of Sprague River owned by TNC. |
| Conservation action needed | Population monitoring of sparrows and other saltmarsh birds Evaluate "restoration" effects on sparrows Investigate Hg and other contaminants in marsh wildlife especially high-trophic-level birds Conservation buffer needed at all sites. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 1998. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |
| Name of focus area | Upper Sheepscot Marshes |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – upper part of ME 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Two saltmarshes in upper Sheepscot River watershed: Dyer River and Dear Meadow Brook in town of Newcastle |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by both Nelson's and Saltmarsh Sharp-tailed Sparrow. In 1998, abundance of Nelson's of was higher than any other marsh surveyed along the midcoast region of Maine. Interestingly, Bobolink present at Dyer River in 1998. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Used by 20 or more different species including Black Duck, Mallards, Great Blue Heron, Lesser Yellowlegs, Least Sandpiper. |
| Current and potential Threats | - Development of surrounding lands because of lack conservation buffer. |
| Protected status or area designation | Privately owned though state property adjacent to Deer Meadow Brook. |
| Conservation action needed | Further inventory work to better define significance of area for breeding and nonbreeding birds. Basic land conservation activities needed starting at the land trust and municipal level by identifying and acquiring anchor parcels. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 1998. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Weskeag River Saltmarsh |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – ME 2) |
| State(s), Province(s) | South Thomaston, Maine |
| Site description | Tidal marsh associated with upper Weskeag River. Extremely detailed panne complex. Historically heavily ditched presumably for harvest of salt hay. |
| Importance to this bird group | Northernmost breeding location for Saltmarsh Sharp-tailed Sparrow. Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow also breeds here in good numbers |
| Importance to other bird groups | Very important shorebird stopover site; wintering waterfowl in abundance, especially Black Duck. Also, many rarities have been observed including Garganey, European Wigeon, Ruff |
| Current and potential Threats | Ditch-plugging efforts have been extensive and may effect sparrow nesting habitat. Concrete manufacturing facility nearby – potential contaminants |
| Protected status or area designation | Flowage itself and some adjacent upland is state-owned Wildlife Management Area (R. Waldo Tyler W.M.A.). State –owned uplands are actively farmed (comm. Vegetable prod.) though activities monitored by state staff. |
| Conservation action needed | Population monitoring of sparrows and other saltmarsh birds especially in light of ditch plugging activities Investigate Hg and other contaminants in marsh wildlife especially high-trophic-level birds Remove tidal restiction at Buttermilk Lane to open up additional sparrow habitat. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 1998 Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Mendall Marsh |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – ME 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Frankfort-Prospect, Maine |
| Site description | Brackish marsh where Marsh Stream enters Penobscot River. Site surrounded by coastal mountains with granite quarries. |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to other bird groups | Also used by Black Duck especially in winter. |
| Current and potential Threats | Potential Hg and Dioxin contamination from adjacent Penobscot River Surrounding hillsides likely to be developed for residences |
| Protected status or area designation | State-owned Wildlife Management Area (Howard Mendall W.M.A.)– Small upland area also in conservation ownership. Otherwise no conservation buffer exists, i.e., only "flowage rights" in conservation ownership. |
| Conservation action needed | Population monitoring of sparrows and other saltmarsh birds Investigate Hg and other contaminants in marsh wildlife especially high-trophic-level birds |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 1998. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| N | Deeg Harber March |
|---|--|
| Name of focus area | Bass Harbor Marsn |
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – ME 4) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Small saltmarsh located on Mt Desert Island. Although small, this is one of the first marshes to the east of Penobscot Bay, an area with little <i>Spartina</i> habitat. |
| Importance to this bird group | Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow breeds their annually and Sedge Wren has been reported breeding there in 1971 as well as possibly "prospecting" there in 1999. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Breeding record for Least Bittern at site in 2001. An important site for wintering black Ducks. |
| Current and potential Threats | Few, although adjacent land owners/users may not recognize the conservation importance of this site on the island. |
| Protected status or area designation | Most of the marsh itself and surrounding uplands are part of Acadia National Park |
| Conservation action needed | Further inventories of birds using site especially during spring and fall migration Complete acquisition or easement of a surrounding buffer. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW Witt, C. W. 1997. The birds of Mt. Desert Island and Acadia National Park |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <u>tom.hodgman@state.me.us</u> Bruce Connery bruce_connery@nps.gov |

| Name of focus area | Downeast Saltmarsh Complex |
|---|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – ME 5) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Several saltmarshes associated with rivers in Washington County, Maine including marshes along the Pleasant, Mill, Harrington, and Narraguagus Rivers and Curtis Creek. Individually these sites (except Pleasant) may not warrant consideration but because of their close proximity to one another justify a larger focus area. |
| Importance to this bird group | Nelson's sharp-tailed Sparrow breeds in all 5 marshes here. Little inventory work has been done, especially outside the breeding season. |
| Importance to other bird groups | The area in general, and some of the marshes in particular, are important shorebird habitat. Important for wintering Black Ducks and several Bald Eagle nests occur in area. Breeding season surveys indicated that Pleasant River has highest avian diversity (29 species) of 30 sites visited in eastern Maine. |
| Current and potential Threats | Surrounding land use may not be consistent with conservation of sites. Neighboring uplands vulnerable to coastal development. |
| Protected status or area designation | Little conservation ownership in area overall although awareness of importance of area has been heightened among land trusts. Maine DIFW owns at least one small parcel in the area. |
| Conservation action needed | Further inventory work to better define significance of area breeding and nonbreeding birds. Basic land conservation activities needed starting at the land trust and municipal level by identifying and acquiring anchor parcels. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 2001. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Roque Bluffs |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference - ME 6) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Saltmarsh along the Englishman River and adjacent bay in the town of Roque Bluffs. |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow. |
| Importance to other bird groups | High avian diversity relative to other marshes in region (22 species) including 6 species of shorebirds. Barrow's Goldeneye present in bay near mouth of River. |
| Current and potential Threats | Vulnerable to development of surrounding lands – lacks adequate conservation buffer |
| Protected status or area designation | Small portion owned by Maine DIFW. Nearby beach is Roque Bluffs State Park. |
| Conservation action needed | Further inventory work to better define significance of area breeding and nonbreeding birds. Basic land conservation activities needed starting at the land trust and municipal level by identifying and acquiring anchor parcels. |
| References | Hodgman et al. 2002. Wilson Bulletin 114(1)38-43. Hodgman et al. 2001. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Downeast Blueberry Barrens |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – ME 7) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Commercially-managed blueberry barrens largely, but not exclusively in the towns of Beddington, Deblois, Columbia, T18, T19, T24, and T25 MD BPP. |
| Importance to this bird group | Nesting habitat for Upland Sandpiper, Northern Harrier, Vesper and Savannah Sparrow; potential breeding habitat for Short-eared Owl. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Proximity to coast provides foraging habitat for Whimbrels. Small flowages and ponds are breeding habitat for Black Ducks and Ring-necked Duck. |
| Current and potential Threats | potential impact from pesticide/herbicide use intensive agriculture results in lack of herbaceous cover leading to a decline of nesting cover and perhaps increased predation |
| Protected status or area designation | Largely privately owned although small parcel(s) State-owned |
| Conservation action needed | Studies on impacts of pesticides/herbicides, impacts of prescribed burning at appropriate times (non-nesting season), and ways of providing areas with herbaceous cover within the barrens |
| References | Peter Vickery (multiple manuscripts), Jeff Wells (manuscript & dissertation), Weik and Purtell. 2001. Ecoregional Survey Report. MDIFW |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Peter Vickery <pre>epvickery@rcn.com></pre> , Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us>, Andy Weik <andy.weik@state.me.us></andy.weik@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Saddleback-Sugarloaf Mountains |
|---|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference - ME 8) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Mountaintop with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush. |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change, disturbance or further development from ski resorts |
| Protected status or area designation | None at this time |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat conservation; determine size of Bicknell's Thrush population using this area |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Snow Mountain |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference - ME 9) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Mountaintop with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush. |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change |
| Protected status or area designation | None at this time |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat conservation; determine size of Bicknell's Thrush population using this area |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Kibby Mountain |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference - ME 10) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Mountaintop with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush. |
| Importance to this bird group | Occupied by Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change |
| Protected status or area designation | None at this time |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat conservation; determine size of Bicknell's Thrush population using this area |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Tom Hodgman <tom.hodgman@state.me.us></tom.hodgman@state.me.us> |

| Name of focus area | Mont Sutton |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Mountaintops with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance - small population |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low – out of the range of most mature conifer forest priority species |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change ; this is a ski area so further development for ski or recreation in summer could be a threat |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Mont Mégantic |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 2) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Mountaintop with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – small population |
| Importance to other bird groups | High importance – Many mature conifer forest priority species occur in this area |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change |
| Protected status or area designation | There is a provincial park at the top of the mountain with signs warning not to disturb the thrush and its habitat |
| Conservation action needed | No important action to be done |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Monts Gosford and Marbre |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Mountaintops and coniferous forest regeneration patches for Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to this bird group | Highest importance – large population |
| Importance to other bird groups | High importance – Many mature conifer forest priority species occur in this area |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change, possible small recreational disturbance, no immediate threat from forestry because most of the are was cut 30 years ago and trees are still not tall enough |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection, agreement with forestry company |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |



Fig. 12. Map of Landbird Focus Areas in the Gaspe Peninsula of Quebec and northern New Brunswick: <u>QB4</u>, <u>QB5</u>, <u>QB6</u>, <u>QB8</u>, <u>QM1</u>, <u>QM2</u>, <u>QM3</u>, <u>QM4</u>, <u>QM5</u>, <u>QM6</u>, <u>QM7</u>, <u>QA1</u>, <u>QA2</u>, <u>QA3</u>, <u>MT1</u>, <u>MT4</u>, <u>MT5</u>, <u>MT10</u>.

| Name of focus area | Center of Gaspe peninsula |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 4) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Bicknell's Thrush habitat including mountain tops and coniferous forest regeneration patches |
| Importance to this bird group | Highest importance – large population |
| Importance to other bird groups | Highest importance – areas of abundance of Blackpoll Warbler, Bay- breasted Warbler, Cape May Warbler, Black-backed Woodpecker, and Purple Finch and nearly all the other conifer forest priority species |
| Current and potential Threats | Most of the area outside the park is being heavily logged, small recreational disturbance in the park |
| Protected status or area designation | Part of the area is included in a provincial park |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection, agreement with forestry companies |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Forillon National Park |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 5) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Mountain tops and coniferous forests close to the St. Lawrence River for Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – small population |
| Importance to other bird groups | High importance – Many mature conifer forest priority species occur in this area |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change, but no other threats except maybe small recreational disturbance |
| Protected status or area designation | National park |
| Conservation action needed | No important action to be done |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Percé vicinity (Mt. Blanc and Mt. Ste. Anne) and Île Bonaventure |
|---|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 6) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Mountain tops and island covered with coniferous forests propitious for Bicknell's Thrush |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – small population |
| Importance to other bird groups | Moderate - Many mature conifer forest priority species occur in this sector but the area is not very large |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change, but no other threats except maybe small recreational disturbance and communication towers on Mt. Blanc |
| Protected status or area designation | Île Bonaventure is a provincial park |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection on Mt. Blanc and Mt. Ste. Anne |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | New Brunswick-Québec border |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 8) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Mountaintops with coniferous forests for Bicknell's Thrush ? |
| Importance to this bird group | Uncertain : the Quebec side still has to be surveyed but there is a population on the New Brunswick side just over the border |
| Importance to other bird groups | Probably high - Most mature conifer forest priority species probably nest in this area |
| Current and potential Threats | Uncertain with the exception of general threats like atmospheric deposition and global climate change |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection, surveys to clarify the status of this population |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | StPascal to StAndre |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt Marshes (brackish water) for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – not a very large population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys) |
| Importance to other bird groups | High importance – very important area for Short-eared Owl and Northern Harrier |
| Current and potential Threats | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Protected status or area designation | Some small and scattered protected lots along the shore |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of the habitat and survey to update population status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Cacouna to IsleVerte |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 2) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt Marshes (brackish water) for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | High importance – large population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys) |
| Importance to other bird groups | High importance – very important area for Short-eared Owl and Northern Harrier |
| Current and potential Threats | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Protected status or area designation | National Wildlife Refuge at Île Verte, Env. Canada also owns some land in the Cacouna area |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of the habitat and survey to update population status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Rimouski to Pointe-au-Père |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt Marshes (brackish water) for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – small population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys) |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low importance – probably supports Northern Harrier |
| Current and potential Threats | ? |
| Protected status or area designation | There is a National Wildlife Refuge at Pointe-au-Père |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of the habitat and survey to update population status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Gaspé (Darmouth and York rivers estuaries) |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 4) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt marsh for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – small population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys) |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low to moderate importance – Short-eared Owls and Northern Harriers but the area is small in size |
| Current and potential Threats | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of habitat and survey to update status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Barachois de Malbaie |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 5) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt marsh for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – not a very large population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low to Moderate importance – possible use by Short-eared Owls and Northern Harriers but small area |
| Current and potential Threats | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of habitat and survey to update status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Rivière Nouvelle estuary $(48 \circ 06' \text{ N} 66 \circ 16' \text{ W})$ |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 6) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt marsh for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate - not a very large population (needs to be reconfirmed by recent surveys) |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low to moderate importance – possible use by Short-eared Owls and Northern Harriers but small area |
| Current and potential Threats | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of habitat and survey to update status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Pointe a la Croix |
|----------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QM 7) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Salt marsh for Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Importance to this bird | Thought to be of highest importance – had a large population in 1985; |
| group | |
| | |
| Importance to other bird | Low to Moderate importance – possible use by Short-eared Owls and |
| groups | Northern Harriers but area is small |
| | |
| Current and potential | Degradation and loss of habitat |
| Threats | |
| | |
| | |
| Protected status or area | A small area has been set aside by a conservation society |
| | |
| | |
| Conservation action needed | Protection of habitat and survey to update status |
| | |
| - | |
| Keferences | |
| | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles falardeau@ec.gc.ca=""></gilles></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |
| | Sines I and Cau Sgines. Iana Geau & C.ge.Ca/ |

| Name of focus area | La Pocatière to Île Verte |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QA 1) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Relatively traditional agriculture/grasslands and pastures for Short- eared Owl, Northern Harrier, Eastern Meadowlark, Vesper Sparrow, Bobolink, Horned Lark, swallows, Eastern Bluebird, and Upland Sandpiper |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – close to the northeast breeding limit of these species, so they are not as abundant as farther south, except for Short-eared Owl, for which this is an important area in Quebec |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low – too far north for most of the shrub-associated priority species and not in the mature conifer or mature deciduous area |
| Current and potential Threats | Farmland abandonment and changes in farming practices |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Set aside some grassland for the conservation of these species |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Rimouski to Ste. Flavie (Map Reference – OA 2) |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| | |
| Site description | Relatively traditional agriculture/grasslands and pastures for Short- eared Owl, Northern Harrier, Eastern Meadowlark, Vesper Sparrow, Bobolink, Horned Lark, swallows, Eastern Bluebird, and Upland Sandpiper |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate importance – close to the northeast breeding limit of these species, so they are not as abundant as farther south, except for Short-eared Owl, for which this is an important area in Quebec |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low – too far north for most of the shrub-associated priority species and not in the mature conifer or mature deciduous area |
| Current and potential Threats | Farmland abandonment and changes in farming practices |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Set aside some grassland for the conservation of these species |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Valley of Matapédia |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QA 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Relatively traditional agriculture/grasslands and pastures for Northern Harrier, Vesper Sparrow, Bobolink, and swallows |
| Importance to this bird group | Moderate to low importance – close to the northeast breeding limit of these species, so they are not as abundant as farther south, some are even rare or absent |
| Importance to other bird groups | Low – too far north for most of the shrub-associated priority species and not in the mature conifer or mature deciduous area |
| Current and potential Threats | Farmland abandonment and changes in farming practices |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Set aside some grassland for the conservation of these species |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area | Upper Saint John River |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MTT) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Human created grassland complex. Includes the St John river valley area between Perth-Andover and Woodstock. Cropland is the dominate grassland like feature. |
| Importance to this bird group | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Has a relatively high density of breeding Mallards. |
| Current and potential Threats | No current threats identified. Potential threats include impacts of pesticides and changing farming practices. |
| Protected status or area designation | None. |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this area. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and expected changes of grassland habitats in this area |
| References | None. |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | None. |

| Name of focus area | Miramichi River |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 4) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Human created grassland complex. Includes the Miramichi river valley area between Boistown and Miramichi Bay. Very linear in distribution. |
| Importance to this bird group | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow breed in this area. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Of low importance. |
| Current and potential Threats | Current threats could include succession of fields to forest. Potential threats include impacts of pesticides and changing farming practices. |
| Protected status or area designation | None. |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this area. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and expected changes of grassland habitats in this area |
| References | None. |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | None. |

| Name of focus area | Bathurst |
|---|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 5) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Human created grassland complex. Includes the settled, coastal areas of the north east corner of New Brunswick (the Bathurst area and Acadian Penninsula). |
| Importance to this bird group | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Large numbers of waterfowl stage in Bathurst harbor during migration. |
| Current and potential Threats | Current threats could include succession of fields to forest. Potential threats include impacts of pesticides and changing farming practices. |
| Protected status or area designation | All coastal wetlands are designated Provincially Significant Wetlands and no development within 30 meters is permitted. |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this area. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and expected changes of grassland habitats in this area |
| References | None. |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | None. |

| Name of focus area | North-central New Brunswick |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 10) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | A varied, high elevation mountainous forest landscape dominated by industrial forestry. |
| Importance to this bird group | Home of one of the best known Bicknell's Thrush populations. Although part of the area is protected in Mount Carleton Provincial Park most of the BITH range is under constant disturbance from forestry activities. |
| Importance to other bird groups | None of particular importance. |
| Current and potential Threats | Forestry activities, particularly pre-commercial thinning, is known to occur during the nesting season in areas occupied by BITH. There appears to be a significant overlap between the time that second growth forest becomes suitable BITH habitat and the time forestry companies do thinning. Other habitat issues also probable. |
| Protected status or area designation | Mount Carleton Provincial Park protects perhaps 5% of the population in that area. |
| Conservation action needed | Agreements with forestry companies to protect occupied habitats from thinning. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca |



Fig. 13. Map of landbird focus areas in New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Nova Scotia, plus Magdelein Island and Sable Island: <u>MT2</u>, <u>MT3</u>, <u>MT6</u>, <u>MT7</u>, <u>MT8</u>, <u>MT9</u>, <u>MT11</u>, and <u>QB7</u>.

| Name of focus area | Mid-Saint John River/Grand Lake |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 2) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| | |
| Site description | Mostly a human created grassland complex. Includes the St John river |
| | valley, Oromoncto river valley, Grand Lake area and large grass |
| | dominated area within Canadian Forces Base Gagetown between Eredericton and Evandale Seasonally flooded grassland (largest in |
| | Atlantic Canada) is a significant component in this area |
| | r thankie Canada) is a significant component in ans area. |
| Importance to this bird | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. Large numbers of |
| group | Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow breed in this area. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Importance to other bird | Large numbers of waterfowl stage in this area during migration, large |
| groups | numbers of Wood Ducks and Common Goldeneye breed here as well |
| | as good numbers of bitterns and rails. Area contains largest area of |
| | floodplain forest in the Maritimes. |
| Current and potential | Current threats include rates and extent of flood plain forest harvesting |
| Inreats | and conversion of seasonally flooded grassiands to permanent wettands by Ducks Unlimited Potential threats include impacts of pesticides |
| | and changing farming practices. |
| | |
| Protected status or area | Partially (approximately 25%, all Crown land area) is in a Provincially |
| designation | designated Protected Area (complete habitat protection). All |
| | floodplain wetlands are designated Provincially Significant Wetlands |
| | and no development within 50 meters is permitted. |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this |
| | area. Determining the impact of DU conversion areas and loss of |
| | floodplain forest on associated bird populatiuons, especially for |
| | priority species. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and |
| Boforoncos | None |
| Kelerences | None. |
| | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Kevin Connor (kevin.connor@gnb.ca) |
| | |
| | |

| Name of focus area | Sussex |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 3) |
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Human created grassland complex. Includes the Kennebecasis river valley area between Hampton and Penobsequis. Dairy farming is the dominate form of farming. |
| Importance to this bird group | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow breed in this area. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Moderate numbers of waterfowl stage in this area during migration. |
| Current and potential Threats | Early hay cutting may be a threat in this area given the large number of dairy farms. No other current threats identified. Potential threats include impacts of pesticides and changing farming practices. |
| Protected status or area designation | All floodplain wetlands are designated Provincially Significant Wetlands and no development within 30 meters is permitted. |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this area. Determining the extent and impact of early haying on priority grassland bird species. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and expected changes of grassland habitats in this area |
| References | None. |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | None. |

| Name of focus area | Prince Edward Island |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 6) |
| State(s), Province(s) | PEI |
| Site description | Grassland/Agricultural Complex Coastal Salt Marsh |
| Importance to this bird group | Exceptional man-made habitat to a suite of grassland birds that are in decline. Coastal Marsh and grassland areas of importance to Sharp-tailed Sparrow |
| Importance to other bird groups | Important waterfowl staging; important shorebird feeding, staging and, in the case of Willet, breeding |
| Current and potential Threats | Decline of the farming industry. Pressure for farms to become more efficient by intensive pesticide and fertilizer use, improving marginal lands, increased monoculture (potatoes). Loss of salt marsh to development |
| Protected status or area designation | Salt marshes are protected now. No control of grassland and agricultural areas. |
| Conservation action needed | Continued protection of salt marshes and coastal grasslands. Research and cooperative agreements with farm landowners to allow better management practices |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Rosemary Curley - <u>frcurley@gov.pe.ca</u> Dan Busby – <u>Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca</u> Dan McAskill – jdmcaskill@gov.pe.ca |
| Name of focus area | New Brunswick-Nova Scotia Border Region |
|---|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick, Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Mostly a human created grassland complex. Dyked lands are a significant component in this area. |
| Importance to this bird group | This is an area of concentrated breeding habitat. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Large numbers of waterfowl stage and breed in this area, as well as good numbers of bitterns and rails. Area contains largest area of dyked lands in the Maritimes. |
| Current and potential Threats | Early hay cutting may be a threat in this area. No other current threats identified. Potential threats include impacts of pesticides, changing farming practices and land development. |
| Protected status or area designation | There is some area with National Wildlife Area status and a very small area with park status. All coastal wetlands are designated Provincially Significant Wetlands and no development within 30 meters is permitted |
| Conservation action needed | Monitoring the distribution and status of priority bird species in this area. Monitoring/determining the amounts, distribution and expected changes of grassland habitats in this area. |
| References | None. |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Al Hanson (CWS, Sackville, NB) |

| Name of focus area | Annapolis Valley |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 8) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Grasslands, agricultural, orchard |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Importance to this bird | Important area for grassland species, many of which are in decline |
| group | |
| | |
| | |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Prouls. | |
| Current and notential | Changes in agriculture – pesticides, wetland drainage, monocultures – |
| Threats | reduce diversity of food species. Shorter rotation of hay crop brings |
| | cutting times in to overlap with nesting times. |
| | |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| | |
| | |
| Conservation action needed | Continued protection of salt marshes and coastal grasslands. Research |
| | and cooperative agreements with farm landowners to allow better management practices |
| | |
| References | |
| | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Dan Busby – <u>Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca</u> Loe Nocerra – nocera@unb.ca |
| | |

| Name of focus area | Sable Island |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – MT 9) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal/Island Dune for Ipswich Sparrow |
| Importance to this bird group | Critical – only location this subspecies breeds |
| Importance to other bird groups | Other island breeders present but none known to be critical |
| Current and potential Threats | Currently none. Theoretically – climate change and rising sea levels; changes to land ownership (although none predicted) |
| Protected status or area designation | Migratory Bird Sanctuary |
| Conservation action needed | Population and genetics studies; wintering area importance and threats |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca Andy Horn – aghorn@is.dal.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Northern Cape Breton Island, Scaterie Island, St. Paul Island, Nova Scotia (Map Reference – MT 11) |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Two offshore Islands, dominated by stunted fir and spruce. The northern tip of the northern penninsula, a high, plateau region topped with stunted spruce and fir and subjected to high snow cover and insect outbreaks. All natural habitat. |
| Importance to this bird group | Perhaps the best BITH sites in the Maritimes. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Other high elevation species such as Blackpoll Warbler and Fox Sparrow. |
| Current and potential Threats | No immediate threats |
| Protected status or area designation | Cape Breton Highlands National Park protects significant proportion of the total area – perhaps as much as half the BITH population in the area. |
| Conservation action needed | Official protection of the two islands and the Cape North part of the northern penninsula. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Dan.Busby@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area | lles de la Madeline |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| (with coordinates) | (Map Reference – QB 7) |
| State(s), Province(s) | Québec |
| Site description | Coniferous forests propitious for Bicknell's Thrush on islands in the Gulf of St. Lawrence |
| Importance to this bird group | Low importance – small population ; Bicknell's is quite rare over there |
| Importance to other bird groups | Moderate – Many mature conifer forest priority species nest on the archipelago but these forests cover small areas |
| Current and potential Threats | Atmospheric deposition, global climate change |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Habitat protection, surveys to clarify status |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Yves Aubry <yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> Jean Gauthier <jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca> Gilles Falardeau <gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca></jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca></yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca> |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Habitat Units depicted on "A Conservation Assessment of Bicknell's Thrush Habitat in the Northeastern U.S." – Lambert 2002 (see map on |
|--|---|
| | next page) |
| State(s), Province(s) | NY, VT, NH, ME |
| Site description | See map Note unprotected sites near Saddleback Mtn, ME and just south of Maine/Quebec border Note habitat "core areas" of the Adirondacks and White Mtns |
| Importance to this bird group | Map depicts location of potential Bicknell's Thrush breeding habitat in the U.S.; importance values can be produced for any habitat unit or combination of units |
| Importance to other bird groups | Areas also include breeding habitat for Blackpoll Warbler and Yellow- bellied Flycatcher |
| Current and potential Threats | See BITH species assessment sheet |
| Protected status or area designation | 27% of U.S. habitat in forest preserve 37% in national forest 7% in state forest/ME public reserve lands 10% in other conservation ownership |
| Conservation action needed | Acquisition/easement near Saddleback Mtn, ME Favorable habitat management in high timberlands of Maine Protection of natural landcover and natural disturbance processes in large units of public lands |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Dan Lambert <dlambert@vinsweb.org>, Chris Rimmer <crimmer@vinsweb.org>, Kent McFarland <kmcfarland@vinsweb.org></kmcfarland@vinsweb.org></crimmer@vinsweb.org></dlambert@vinsweb.org> |



Fig. 14. Map of predicted Bicknell's Thrush habitat and conserved land in the U.S. portion of BCR 14.

Waterfowl Focus Areas



Fig.15. Map of waterfowl focus areas (outlined in light blue) in BCR 14. See the following page for more information on where to find descriptions of these focus areas.

Waterfowl Focus Areas are presented in the following pages by groupings of provinces/states, with more detailed maps of each sub-region, followed by data sheets for the corresponding Focus Areas:

- Waterfowl focus areas in Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, southern New Brunswick (click for map) include: Minas Basin, Annapolis Valley, Digby-Brien Island, Yarmouth/Shag Harbor, Southeast Nova Scotia, Port Moulton (no data sheet), Musquodobit/Cole Harbor/Lawrencetown, Eastern Shore Islands Management Unit, Canso Nova Scotia, Lake Ainslie, Antigonish Harbor, Northumberland Strait-Nova Scotia, Northumberland Strait-PEI, Gulf of St. Lawrence/North PEI, Bay of Fundy Dykelands, St. John River Floodplain, and St. Andrews to Grand Manan Island (no data sheet).
- Waterfowl focus areas in Quebec, northern New Brunswick, and northern Maine (click for map) include: North Shore of St. Lawrence Estuary, South Shore of mid-St. Lawrence Estuary, Gulf of St. Lawrence (no data sheet), <u>Gaspé Penninsula Rivers, Restiquoche Estuary</u>, Magdalen Islands, <u>Tabusintac/Acadian Penninsula</u>, and <u>Aroostook County Agricultural Lands</u>.
- Waterfowl focus areas in Coastal Maine (click for map) include: Coastal Maine Focus Area, Ile au Haux Sub-focus Area, Eider Moulting Sub-focus Area, Bays and Intertidal Zones, and Goldeneye Sub-focus Area.
- New Hampshire and Vermont (<u>click for map</u>) include: Lake Umbagog, Connecticut River, and Lake Memphramagog. Data sheets have not been completed for these three focus areas, but they have already been identified as focus areas by the Atlantic Coast Joint Venture and further information can be obtained on the <u>ACJV website</u>.



Fig. 16. Map of Waterfowl Focus Areas in Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, and southern New Brunswick.

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Minas Basin, Bay of Fundy |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Tidal mud flat Saltmarsh Agricultural fields |
| Importance to this bird group | Wintering habitat for ABDU and CAGO Moulting area for ABDU |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Contaminants Highway construction Shipping |
| Protected status or area designation | Small provincial wildlife management area. |
| Conservation action needed | Maintain winter inventory |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton, NS Dept. of Natural Resources |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Annapolis Valley |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Alluvial lands and dyked marshes of Annapolis River from Wolfeville to Annapolis Royal. |
| Importance to this bird group | High density ABDU breeding area with AGWT, BWTE, and RNDU. Fall staging ABDU and CAGO |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Agricultural drainage Peat extraction; Cranberry bog. |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated land-use planning |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton, NS Dept of Natural Resources |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Digby-Brien Island |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal waters of St. Mary's Bay from Brien Island to Digby, NS. |
| Importance to this bird group | Wintering area for SUSC, COEI, and COGO. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Ecotourism |
| Protected status or area designation | |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated management plan |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith.mcaloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Yarmouth/Shag Harbor |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal saltmarsh Eel grass flats; Estuaries; Mud flats; Cobble beaches |
| Importance to this bird group | Critical wintering area for ABDU, CAGO, and GRSC; Critical breeding area for COEI on coastal islands. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds Waterbirds (specifically seabirds) |
| Current and potential Threats | Recreational development; Oil spills; Rockweed harvest; Aquaculture; Ecotourism |
| Protected status or area designation | Several islands are crown-owned along with some salt marsh; Small waterfowl sanctuary |
| Conservation action needed | Continue purchase of coastal islands; Wildlife Management Area designation. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton, NS Dept. of Natural Resources |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Southeast Nova Scotia |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Port Mouton to Barrington south shore. Coastal bays and estuaries and offshore waters |
| Importance to this bird group | Moulting area for 25,000 COEI Wintering area for ABDU and CAGO |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Aquaculture expansion |
| Protected status or area designation | 2 Federal sanctuaries – Port Tali and Port Hebert for wintering CAGO |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated coastal zone management to preserve moulting areas. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith McAloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Musquodobit/Cole Harbor/Lawrencetown |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Coastal saltmarsh; Eel grass flats; Mud flats; Estuary; Sand dunes |
| Importance to this bird group | Critical wintering habitat for ABDU and CAGO. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds Waterbirds Landbirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Urban and recreational development Oil spills Loss of eel grass beds |
| Protected status or area designation | Provincial waterfowl sanctuary Provincial Park for approximately half the area |
| Conservation action needed | Purchase of islands and securement of buffer zone around sanctuary. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton, NS Dept. of Natural Resources |

| Eastern Shore Islands Management Unit |
|---|
| Nova Scotia |
| Coastal islands, partially crown owned, some islands grassy while others in different degrees of forest cover (mostly spruce/fir), beeches ????? where present, most island with cliff. |
| Critical breeding habitat for COEI; Important wintering habitat for HADU and LTDU; Important staging area for SUSC. |
| Sea birds and landbirds |
| Oil spills; Development of privately owned islands; Ecotourism; Aquaculture. |
| Provincial Wildlife Management Area |
| Purchase of privately held islands Expansion of Management Area |
| |
| Randy Milton, NS Dept. of Natural Resources |
| |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Canso NS |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Bays of Atlantic ocean – Larry's river to Canso |
| Importance to this bird group | Spring staging of SUSC and COEI |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Aquaculture expansion |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated coastal zone management to migration habitat. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith.mcaloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Lake Ainslie |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Inland lake with shallow emergent bays and coves |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding: COGO – only breeding area in province of any significance. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Recreational and urban development. |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | Purchase or secure buffer strip around breeding and brood rearing sites Maintain nest box program. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton, NS Dept. of Natural Resources |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Antigonish Harbour |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Eel grass flats and Estuary |
| Importance to this bird group | Wintering: CAGO, ABDU, COGO |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds, waterbirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spills |
| Protected status or area designation | Provincial sanctuary |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Milton NS Department of Natural Resources |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Northumberland Strait Nova Scotia |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Nova Scotia |
| Site description | Shallow bays and intertidal flats from New Brunswick border to Pictou, Nova Scotia. |
| Importance to this bird group | Migration for ABDU, CAGO, GRSC; Winters some BAGO Breeding – high density ABDU breeding zone. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Cottage development Aquaculture expansion Decline in eel grass beds |
| Protected status or area designation | One National Wildlife Area Rest unprotected |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated coastal zone management Eel grass bed inventory |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith.McAloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Northumberland Strait |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Prince Edward Island |
| Site description | Coastal bays and estuaries Eel grass flats |
| Importance to this bird group | Wintering: ABDU, COGO, LTDU |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Development |
| Protected status or area designation | None |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Dibblee Keith McAloney |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Gulf of St. Lawrence/ North PEI |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Prince Edward Island |
| Site description | Coastal bays and estuaries Eel grass flats Agricultural fields (staging CAGO) Freshwater wetlands (breeding ABDU) |
| Importance to this bird group | Staging: ABDU, CAGO Wintering: BAGO Breeding: ABDU |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds Waterbirds |
| Current and potential Threats | Aquaculture; Development; Habitat degradation - agriculture |
| Protected status or area designation | Scattered Management Units |
| Conservation action needed | |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Randy Dibblee Keith McAloney |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Bay of Fundy Dykelands |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | NS/NB |
| Site description | 150,000 acres of dyked marshes and associated saltmarsh and mud flats in Shepody and Chiqnecto Bays. |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding: primary breeding area for ABDU, RNDU, BWTE, AMWI Moulting for WODU |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebirds – migration Upland game birds – pheasant |
| Current and potential Threats | Intensifying agriculture Urban expansion |
| Protected status or area designation | 3 National Wildlife Areas |
| Conservation action needed | Integrated land use planning Habitat conservation via stewardship |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith.McAloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Saint John River Floodplain |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Riparian hardwood and floodplain marshes from head of tide upstream to Hawkshaw, including Grand Lake. Approximately 40,000 acres |
| Importance to this bird | Major NB black duck breeding area, wood duck, common goldeneye |
| group | Spring staging of all dabbling and diving duck species before ice-out on inland areas. |
| | Fall staging and migration for ABDU, COGO, BAGO, and GRSC. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential | Loss of cavity trees to forestry (i.e. pulp mills); |
| Inreats | Cranberry farm development on wetlands. |
| Protected status or area | 10,000 acres National Wildlife Area (CWS) |
| designation | 6,000 acres Provincial WMA. |
| Conservation action needed | Improved forestry practices; Land securement. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Kevin Conner – <u>Kevin.conner@qub.ca</u> Keith McAloney |



Fig. 17. Map of Waterfowl Focus Areas in Quebec, northern New Brunswick, and northern Maine.

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | North Shore of St. Lawrence Estuary |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | La Malbaie to Point-des-Monts Habitat types: estuarine open water nearshore marine. |
| Importance to this bird group | Wintering: BAGO: 70%, ABDU 2,000-4,000 at Grandes-Bergeronnes, COGO 4,000, LTDU up to 40,000 individuals. Molting: BLSC and SUSC – 100,000 ind. Migrating: BLSC and SUSC – 200,000 ind. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spills Hunting Contamination |
| Protected status or area designation | Parc marin du Saguenay |
| Conservation action needed | Preparedness to oil spills Monitoring wintering, staging, and migrating |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Daniel.bordage@ec.gc.ca Christine.lepage@ec.gc.ca P_dulude@ducks.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | South Shore of the mid-St. Lawrence Estuary |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | From Kamouraska to Grand-Metis Estuarine open water and emergent marsh, nearshore marine, peatlands (bogs). |
| Importance to this bird | Breeding: 25% of NA total <i>dresseri</i> population. Very productive site |
| group | Wintering: BAGO (70% of NA pop.) and COGO (early winter, about |
| | 4,000 individuals. |
| | Spring migration: ATBR (15% of eastern NA pop). |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential | Oil spills; |
| Threats | Peamoss extraction; |
| | Epidemia within colonies |
| D 4 4 1 4 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 | Loss of estuarine emergent marsh (zostera and spartina) |
| Protected status or area designation | L'Isle-Verte National Wildlife Area; Iles de l'Estuaire National Wildlife Area; |
| | Some islands protected by Duvetnor, Inc. |
| Conservation action needed | Preparedness to oil spills; |
| | Peatlands need to be protected; Monitoring: wintering, moulting, and migration |
| | Research on epidemia causes (COEI) |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Daniel.bordage@ec.gc.ca, christine.lepage@ec.gc.ca, P_dulude@ducks.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Gaspe Peninsula Rivers |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Quebec |
| Site description | Rivers: Port-Daniel, Bonaventure, Madeleine, Hall, Ste-Anne, Cascapedia and from Port-Daniel to Newport and Bonaventure Island. Fast running rivers for breeding and estuaries of these rivers and Bonaventure Island for molting. |
| Importance to this bird group | Breeding, moulting, and staging: HARD |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Forestry practices Oil spills (offshore) when molting. |
| Protected status or area designation | Parc National de la Gaspesie Larger riverine strips along salmon rivers. |
| Conservation action needed | Defer to conservation plan (COSEWIC) |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Daniel.bordage@ec.gc.ca, michel.robert@ec.gc.ca, christine.lepage@ec.gc.ca, p_dulude@ducks.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Restiquoche Estuary |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick and Quebec |
| Site description | Shallow tidal estuary from Dalhousie to Campbellton on south side, bounded by Gaspe, Que on north side. |
| Importance to this bird group | Spring staging 4-5 weeks for 100,000 black scoter (Flyway pop) and 15,000 surf scoter. Winter area for 100-200 Barrows goldeneye |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Habitat quality and contamination of food chain by 6 pulp mills and one power plant. Potential aquaculture threat |
| Protected status or area designation | Main BAGO (1 x 7 km coastal strip) closed to hunting No habitat protection |
| Conservation action needed | Shoreline protection to reduce disturbance Water quality and benthic improvement Monitoring spring migration and winter research on food quality. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith McAloney, CWS, Daniel Bordage, Pierre Dulude, and Christine Lepage. |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Tabusintac/Acadian Peninsula |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | New Brunswick |
| Site description | Near shore waters (shallow coastal bays and lagoons) from Miramichi to Shippegan Island. |
| Importance to this bird group | Major spring migration for BLSC and SUSC. Fall migration stop-over for ABDU and CAGO. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Piping Plover |
| Current and potential Threats | Rapid expansion of aquaculture |
| Protected status or area designation | Ramsar IBA |
| Conservation action needed | Preservation of access to migration habitat. |
| References | |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Keith.mcaloney@ec.gc.ca |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Aroostook County Agricultural Lands (6) |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Agricultural lands and associated freshwater lakes, rivers, ponds, bogs, and emergent marshes. Agriculture primarily potatoes, barley, and wheat. |
| Importance to this bird group | Key stopover areas during fall migration for a significant portion of the NAP CAGO population. Myriad of wetlands important for waterfowl breeding habitat. Occasional GSGO stopover. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Important to grassland birds. |
| Current and potential Threats | Development, potential wide scale changes in land use and/or farming practices. |
| Protected status or area designation | Limited conservation ownership on those privately-owned agricultural lands. Some refuge ownership (Aroostook NWR) but different land use (not ag. lands). |
| Conservation action needed | Landowner agreements; Acquisition of grasslands important to that group; Research |
| References | Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us |



Fig. 18. Map of Waterfowl Focus Areas in coastal Maine.

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Maine Coast Focus Area (1) |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Coastal bays, estuaries, coastal islands, rocky coastline, marine open water. |
| Importance to this bird group | ATBR – spring migration, COGO – wintering, COEI – wintering and breeding/nesting islands, LTDU – wintering, SUSC – wintering, BLSC – migration |
| Importance to other bird groups | Broad importance to numerous species year-round. |
| Current and potential Threats | Development along shoreline and islands; Oil spills Aquaculture |
| Protected status or area designation | Many protected nesting islands and shoreline by government and various NGO's. |
| Conservation action needed | Continue acquisition of key islands and islands and mainland parcels; Research on COEI survival, improved monitoring of sea ducks in general |
| References | Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Isle au Haut Sub-focus area (2) |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Rocky coastline along islands of various sizes, offshore marine open water. |
| Importance to this bird group | Key wintering area for E. population of HARD; also includes COEI breeding and wintering habitat. Migration habitat for SUSC and BLSC. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Purple sandpipers |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spill; Disturbance associated with commercial fisheries where large % of population winters. |
| Protected status or area designation | Much of shoreline is protected by Acadia National Park; Other islands owned by conservation agencies. |
| Conservation action needed | Oil spill preparedness; Additional acquisition; Periodic HARD monitoring and survival work. |
| References | Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us Glen Mittelhause |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Maine Coastal Eider Moulting Sub-focus Area (3) |
|--|--|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Marine open water habitats |
| Importance to this bird group | Shallow water likely associated with large concentrations of blue mussels; Undisturbed area. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Oil spills and vulnerability associated with large concentrations of birds in a small area. |
| Protected status or area designation | None – open water marine habitats |
| Conservation action needed | Oil spill preparedness Better definition of key moulting areas is needed. |
| References | Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us |
| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Maine Coastal Bays and Intertidal Zones (4) |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Estuarine open water, saltmarsh, intertidal zone, coastal bays, coastal shoreline – key areas along the Maine coast for wintering black ducks – includes key areas of ACJV focus areas. |
| Importance to this bird group | Coastal wintering habitat primarily, but also includes migration and staging habitat as well. |
| Importance to other bird groups | Shorebird and wading bird feeding habitat, in Cobscook Bay 2 COEI nesting islands. Habitat for many waterfowl species of lower priority. |
| Current and potential Threats | Shoreline development (residential and industrial); Aquaculture; Oil spill. |
| Protected status or area designation | Some conservation action directed towards riparian ownership. |
| Conservation action needed | Shoreline acquisition of key sites; Continued survey and inventory is needed. |
| References | ACJV Focus Areas Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us |

| Name of focus area (with coordinates) | Goldeneye Subfocus Area (5) |
|--|---|
| State(s), Province(s) | Maine |
| Site description | Site sub-focus areas; 2 riverine and 4 coastal bays, near shore marine habitat. |
| Importance to this bird group | Key wintering areas for majority of BAGO wintering in Maine and important migration and wintering habitat for COGO. Also, important to many wintering and migrating waterfowl populations. One subfocus area (Trenton) also important to GRSC. |
| Importance to other bird groups | |
| Current and potential Threats | Development; Aquaculture; Harvest Implications for very small BAGO population; |
| Protected status or area designation | Limited protection at this site. Some shoreline protection with ownerships/easements by NGOs. |
| Conservation action needed | Restrictions on legal harvest of BAGO in discrete areas; BAGO winter ecology, survival, and movements. |
| References | Brad Allen |
| Key contacts (e-mail) | Brad.allen@state.me.us |



Fig. 19. Map of Waterfowl Focus Areas in New Hampshire and Vermont.

Shorebird Focus Areas



Fig. 20. Map of shorebird focus areas (outlined in brown) in BCR 14. See the following pages for more detailed maps of focus areas around the Bay of Fundy and Gulf of St. Lawrence and a list of focus areas by province/state.



Fig. 21. Shorebird focus areas around the Bay of Fundy and southeastern New Brunswick.



Fig. 22. Shorebird focus areas around the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

More complete descriptions of the shorebird focus areas are being developed, but the following list provides information on general location and the species/season of interest for each area.

| Focus Area Name | State/Province | Species/Season of Interest |
|------------------------|----------------|--|
| #1 - Casco Bay | Maine | migrating shorebirds – staging area |
| #2 - Small Point | Maine | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #3 - St. George River/ | Maine | migrating shorebirds – staging area |
| Weskeag Marsh | | |
| #4 – Outer Penobscot | Maine | wintering Purple Sandpiper |
| Bay | | |
| #5 – Deblois Barrens | Maine | breeding Upland Sandpiper, stop-over |
| | | area for Whimbrel |
| #6 – Western Bays | Maine | migrating shorebirds – staging area |
| #7 – Great Wass | Maine | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #8 - Culter and | Maine | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Machias Bay | | |
| #9 – Outer Quoddy | Maine/New | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Bay | Brunswick | |
| #10 – Indian Head | New Brunswick | wintering Purple Sandpiper |
| #11 – Grand Manan/ | New Brunswick | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Kent Islands | | |
| #12 – Mace's Bay / | New Brunswick | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| Point LaPreau | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #13 – Saints Rest | New Brunswick | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| marsh | | |
| #14 – Quaco Bay | New Brunswick | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #15 – Shepody Bay / | New Brunswick | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Mary's Point | | |
| #16 - Maccan | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #17 – Economy Point | Nova Scotia | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #18 – Minas Basin | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #19 – Digby Neck | Nova Scotia | wintering Purple Sandpiper |
| #20 – Brier Island | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #21 – Cook's Beach | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #22 – Cape Sable | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #23 – Cherry Hill | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #24 – Port Joli | Nova Scotia | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #25 – Cadden Bay | Nova Scotia | breeding Piping Plover |
| #26 – Sable Island | Nova Scotia | migrating shorebirds, wintering Purple |
| | | Sandpiper, breeding Least Sandpiper |
| | | and Semipalmated Plover |
| #27 – Conavoy Beach | Nova Scotia | breeding Piping Plover |

| #28 – Pomquet Beach | Nova Scotia | breeding Piping Plover |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| #29 – Eastern PEI | Prince Edward Island | breeding Piping Plover |
| #30 – East Point | Prince Edward Island | breeding Piping Plover |
| #31 – PEI National | Prince Edward Island | breeding Piping Plover and migrating |
| Park | | shorebirds |
| #32 – Malpeque | Prince Edward Island | breeding Piping Plover |
| Dunes | | |
| #33 – Malpeque Bay | Prince Edward Island | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #34 – Holman Island | Prince Edward Island | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #35 – Cape Jouramain | New Brunswick | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #36 – Cap Pelé | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover |
| #37 – Buctouche | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover |
| Beach | | |
| #38 – Kouchibouguac | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover |
| National Park | | |
| #39 – Miramichi Bay | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover |
| #40 - Tracadie | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover |
| #41 – Mal Bay (??) | New Brunswick | breeding Piping Plover and migrating |
| | | shorebirds |
| #42 – New Richmond | Quebec | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #43 – Chandler to | Quebec | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| Percé | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #44 – Rimouski to | Quebec | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Pointe-au-Père | | |
| #45 – Cacouna to | Quebec | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| Trois-Pistoles | | |
| #46 – Ile Rouge | Quebec | migrating shorebirds and wintering |
| | | Purple Sandpiper |
| #47 – Ile-aux-Coudres | Quebec | staging area for migrating shorebirds |
| #48 – Magdalen | Quebec | breeding areas for Piping Plover, |
| Islands | | Semipalmated Plover, Least |
| | | Sandpiper, Greater Yellowlegs, |
| | | Willet; staging areas for migrating |
| | | shorebirds |
| #49 Salisbury | New Brunswick | breeding Upland Sandpiper |

Appendix G. Priority projects (conservation, research/monitoring, and education) identified during the BCR 14 workshop held in Maine (December 2002), grouped by major habitat type (coastal, freshwater wetland, forest, agricultural grassland). Also a list of on-going and recently completed research and monitoring projects.

| Project Type | Project description and location | Species affected | Key contacts | Possible funding |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Conservation Action | Downeast Coastal habitat protection project Acquisition Easement Ongoing work by Maine Coastal Conservancy? | Staging shorebirds Salt marsh landbirds Wintering waterfowl | Linda Welch, USFWS Lindsay Tudor, Maine | NAWCA, land trusts, Pew trusts |
| | Isle au Haute Acqusition in association with refuge Easements Harvest managements Invertebrate management Oil spill planning | Shorebirds Waterbirds Landbirds Waterfowl | Brad Allen, Maine Bruce Connery | NAWCA, land trusts, Pew trusts, SWG |
| | Kennebec/MMB Acquisition/ easements Enforcing discharge permits outreach | Shorebirds Waterbirds Waterfowl | Sandy Ritchie | SWG, NAWCA, land trusts |
| | Cobscock Bay TNC focus area Acquisition and easements Regulate discharge from aquaculture | Shorebird Waterfowl Waterbird | Tom Hodgman | TNC, NAWCA, SWG |
| | St. Lawrence Estuary Acquisition to fill gaps in current protection | Waterfowl Waterbirds Shorebirds | Quebec Region, CWS | |

Coastal Habitats

| | (National Wildlife Areas) | Landbirds | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | Ile Rouge, Batture aux Loups Marins, Cacouna, | | | |
| | South Shore Gaspe Waterbird protection is quite complete Protection needed for inland waterbirds, shorebird, terns Barachois de Malbaie, Douglastown, Chandler, Bonaventure, New Richmond, Pointe a la Croix | Inland waterbirds Shorebirds Terns Waterfowl | Quebec Region, CWS | |
| | Magdalen Islands Acquisition to infill Pointe de l`Est NWA Human management, particularly on beaches Acquisition of Havre aux Basques Bird Rocks, habitat management would increase Gannets (remove buildings) | Waterbirds Waterfowl Shorebirds Landbirds | Quebec Region, CWS | |
| | Maritime Gulf of St. Lawrence Coast Development in coastal zone increasing Acquisition and stewardship of lands necessary for beach and island nesters | Waterbirds Waterfowl Shorebirds | Al Hanson, CWS | |
| | St. Margaret`s Bay, Mahone Bay Acquisition and stewardship of islands On-going work | Waterbirds Waterfowl | Andrew Boyne, CWS | |
| | Upper Bay of Fundy Acquisition and stewardship of coastal salt marsh and flats Ongoing work through EHJV | Shorebirds Landbirds | Reg Melanson, EHJV | |
| Research / Monitoring | Pelagic monitoring Highlighted by Greater Shearwaters and phalaropes Currently nothing in US portion and CWS is in a renewal phase Year round monitoring | Phalaropes Greater Shearwaters Pelagics in general | Tony Lock, CWS Scott Hall, NAS Tom Hodgman | CWS, USFWS, SWG, USGS, NFWF |

| • | Phalarope monitoring Ask International Shorebird Group to ID phalarope species as focal species Develop proposal | Shorebirds | Stephen Brown, Manomet John Chardine | |
|-----------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| • | Requirement for surveys and general status assessment (may just require paper exercise or BNA account may cover) | Clear Comorants | Andrew Boyne | |
| | Shorebird Migration Monitoring (PRISM) Protocol development Implementation Volunteer network Migration monitoring | Shorebirds | Stephen Brown, Manomet Peter Hicklin, CWS | |
| Wint | ering monitoring Purple Sandpipers (PRISM) and Harlequin Ducks | Purple Sandpipers Harlequin Ducks | Lindsay Tudor, Maine | |
| • | Gulf of St. Lawrence Common Tern work Issues in PEI, NB, Gaspe, and Magdalens Determine threats, limiting factors | Terns | Jean-Francois Rail, CWS | |
| • | Seabird colony restoration Potential exists at several sites throughout BCR for this activity | Waterbirds | | |
| • | Develop Salt Marsh Monitoring Program Needed throughout BCR 14 Develop implementation network Salt marsh sparrows highlighted for need | Waterbird Landbird Waterfowl Shorebirds | CWS, Bird Studies Canada Tom Hodgman | CWS, USFWS, USGS, SWG |
| • | Seabird by-catch and oil spill issues International issues Need to monitor impact of threats Develop oil spill plans | Waterbirds Seabirds waterfowl | John Chardine, Tony Lock, CWS | |
| Wint • | er surveys for Seaducks throughout BCR 14 Some surveys already ongoing but | Waterfowl Purple Sandpipers | Keith McAloney, CWS | CWS, USFWS |

| | maintenance and increases necessary Population delineation, breeders to wintering sites Includes loons and grebes | Wintering waterbirds (loons, grebes) | Dan Bordage, CWS Brad Allen, Maine | |
|----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----|
| | SeaNet Initiative Long-term monitoring effort to evaluate seabird mortality rates Volunteers walk beaches monthly to collect seabird mortality data | Waterbirds | David Adams, NY DEC Rebecca Harris, Tufts Univeristy | |
| Outreach | Coastal ethics outreach and education (BCR-wide) USFWS Island Ethics brochure | Waterfowl Waterbirds Shorebirds | Various GoM expedition | |
| | Expand Marine Conservation Education Look at Puffin Project model | All | Scott Hall | SWG |
| | Development of Coastal Education Center | All | Linda Welch | |
| | Control visitor disturbance at Shorebird Roosts Upper Bay of Funday and US sites | Shorebirds | Colin Mackinnon, CWS Lindsay Tudor | |
| | Control recreation And development in coastal marshes Increase regulatory agency awareness | All | Al Hanson, CWS GoM Program, USFWS Refuge Program USFWS | |

Inland Wetlands

| Project Type | Project description and location | Species affected | Key contacts | Possible funding |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Conservation Action | Guidelines for Man-made Wetlands Develop guidance/recommendations on the importance and management of man-made wetlands. To what extent are man-created wetlands desirable or beneficial to wetland birds in BCR 14? This issue has implications for dam maintenance and re-licensing, and for design, funding, and regulatory review of projects that would create or modify wetlands. | waterbirds, waterfowl, shorebirds | Inland Wetland group | SWG |
| | Guidelines for Beaver-created Wetlands Develop guidance/ recommendations on the importance of beaver-created wetlands to wetland birds in BCR-14. This issue has implications relative to both local and regional beaver management, including removal of "nuisance" dams, and future modifications of trapping regulations. | waterbirds, waterfowl, landbirds | Inland Wetland group | SWG |
| Research / Monitoring | Inventories of Inland Wetlands Conduct comprehensive inventories to determine distributions and relative abundances of birds of inland wetlands. Greatest need is in Canadian portions of BCR 14. | Birds associated with inland wetlands | Inland Wetland group | SWG, CWS, USFWS, USGS |
| | Standardized Monitoring Implement standardized, region-wide monitoring of inland wetland bird abundance and distribution. Monitoring should be coordinated and consistent with monitoring protocols and programs for wetland birds in other BCRs and continent-wide. | Birds associated with inland wetlands | Inland Wetland group | SWG, CWS, USFWS, USGS |
| | Data Sharing Develop mechanisms for data sharing. Ensure that | Birds associated with inland wetlands | Inland Wetland group | SWG, CWS |

| | inventory and monitoring data are available to help inform and guide conservation programs, including habitat acquisition and management. | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|----------------|--|
| Outreach/ | Coordinate with other BCRs | All birds | Inland Wetland | |
| Education | Coordinate with other BCR's south of BCR 14 to ensure that conservation actions are being taken to reduce threats to inland wetland birds and their habitats during migration and in winter. Potential threats include wetland loss or modification, exotic vegetation, disease, oil spills, and wind tower development. | | group | |

Forest Habitats

| Project Type | Project description and location | Species affected | Key contacts | Possible funding |
|--------------|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| Conservation | Conservation of early successional species | Early successional | Scot Williamson | LIP |
| Action | Identify and conserve natural barrens and shrub | species | | |
| | communities; work with managers of powerline rights- | | | |
| | of-way; integrate efforts with those for New England | | | |
| | Cottontail and/or Lynx; integrate efforts with others | | | |
| | pursuing a strategy for increased funding in 2005 | | | |
| | Protect Bicknell's Thrush habitat | Bicknell's Thrush | Tom Hodgman | LIP, Pew |
| | Bicknell's Thrush habitat protection needed in parts of | | Dan Busby | Charitable |
| | CA and ME | | Dan Lambert | Trusts |
| Research / | Bird-Habitat Models | Forest birds | Modeling | USGS, |
| Monitoring | This BCR requires an integrated bird-habitat/forest | | Subcommittee: | USFWS, |
| _ | model to determine where priority birds currently are, | | John Hagen, | TNC, |
| | land ownership patterns, what habitat changes are | | Scott Makepeace, | USFS, |
| | likely in the future, and what habitat bottlenecks might | | Mark Anderson, | CWS |
| | occur in the future. Regional differences, especially | | Andrew Milliken, | |

| with reg likely to landowr BCR. | ard to intensity of forest management, are be very significant. Tools for use by ners will be useful, at least in some parts of the | | Randy Dettmers, Luc Belanger, John Sauer, Mike Berger, David King | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Compile availabl each da | Data Directory e database/directory of what data sources are e across the region and contact information for ata source | | Pam Hunt | SWG |
| 1. Ran mon 2. Infor conr anal is ch 3. Othe acco 4. Wor Dom 5. Enco State 6. Enco repa Neo prior | Bicknell's Thrush ge-wide population assessment and itoring, especially in ME and parts of CA. mation on natal dispersal and migratory nectivity, information on mercury levels, and ysis of existing data to determine if population nanging. er species, e.g. Blackpoll Warbler, will be ommodated in monitoring plan. k is required on wintering grounds in ninican Republic, Cuba, etc. ourage states to fund this piece-meal through e Wildlife Grants. ourage VINS and Andrew Milliken to nckage last year's proposal and submit to tropical Act; this work is a consensus high ity for this BCR! | Bicknell's Thrush | Chris Rimmer, Dan Busby | USFWS, CWS, USGS, NFWF, NSF, NMBCA, SWG, USFS |
| Mon Standar protoco protoco | itoring of Nocturnal Species and Raptors of protocols are required; look at RMBO owl I and Quebec and New Brunswick raptor I. Volunteer-based protocols are desired. | Owls, raptors, nighthawks, chimney swifts | | SWG, NFWF, NSF, USFWS, CWS, USGS |

| | Basic Research on High Priority Forest Birds Species include Canada Warbler, Bay-breasted Warbler, Wood Thrush, and Olive-sided Flycatcher; What are the causes of declines of these species? What are the limiting factors? Need basic research and modeling. Examine links to needs in other BCRs. | High priority forest birds | Subcommittee: Ken Rosenberg, Dan Busby, Jim Chase | SWG, NFWF, NSF, USFWS, CWS, USGS |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Outreach/ | Monitoring and Research for "wet" forest birds Species include Rusty Blackbird and Olive-sided Flycatcher. Develop better monitoring programs and investigate limiting factors and causes of declines Develop Better Mechanisms for Communicating | All birds | | SWG, USGS |
| Education/ Policy | Biological Information Need to develop mechanisms to better communicate information from the science group to those working in policy and landowner stewardship arenas. Policy should be coordinated with more effective information gathering and dissemination. | | | |
| | Enhance Use Of Existing Funding Opportunities Especially need to look at funding for on-the-ground conservation (e.g. Farm Bill, NAWCA) as well as helping to support increased agency funding | All birds | | |

Agriculture-dominated Landscapes

| Project Type | Project description and location | Species affected | Key contacts | Possible funding |
|--------------|---|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Conservation | Connecticut River Valley Conservation Easements | Bobolink | | Farm Bill, |
| Action | | Meadowlark | | NAWCA, |
| | | Savannah Sparrow | | LIP/PSGP |

| | Regional Airport Land Management / BMPs | Bobolink Meadowlark Savannah Sparrow Grasshopper Sparr Vesper Sparrow Upland Sandpiper Ring-billed Gull Canada Goose Snow Bunting | Mark LaBarr | SWG, LIP/PSGP |
|------------|---|---|-------------|--|
| Research | Blueberry Industry Best Management Practices | Vesper Sparrow Upland Sandpiper Wild Turkey | | Farm Bill, SWG, NWTF |
| | Bird Usage and Productivity in Blueberry Lands in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Maine | Vesper Sparrow Savannah Sparrow Upland Sandpiper Whimbrel Wild Turkey American Kestrel Am. Woodcock Gull species | | Wildlife Habitat Canada, SWG, NWTF |
| Monitoring | Region Grassland Bird Data Synthesis/Maintenance | All species | Pam Hunt | State Wildlife Grants |
| | Monitoring Blueberry Lands in Maritime Provinces | Vesper Sparrow Savannah Sparrow Upland Sandpiper | | Wildlife Habitat Canada |
| Outreach | Back to the Land Initiative (Exurbanite land management practices) | Bobolink Meadowlark Savannah Sparrow | | SWG, LIP, PSGP |
| | Blueberry Industry Best Management Practices Outreach | Vesper Sparrow Upland Sandpiper Wild Turkey Gull species | | Wildlife Habitat Canada, SWG, NWTF |

On-going or Recently Completed Research and Monitoring Projects in BCR 14

| Conservation Plan or Project description (with location) | Responsible Jurisdiction/ Organization | Species addressed | Key contacts (e-mail) | Citation, if published or available on Web, or Completion date |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Programme de surveillance des marais (PSM)/ | Bird Studies | All marsh bird | Karl Lévesque | In progress |
| Marsh Monitoring Program (Mime) | Canada/CWS | species | <u>Nan.ievesque@ec.gc.ca</u> | |
| Plan conjoint sur le Canard noir (PCCN)/ Black Duck Joint Venture (BDJV): Monitoring: Annual helicopter breeding pair survey in boreal forest (8 plots in BCR 14 on the Gaspé peninsula) Ground breeding pair monitoring along the shore of the St. Lawrence | CWS | Waterfowl (21 species) | Daniel Bordage <u>Daniel.bordage@ec.gc.ca</u> Christine Lepage <u>Christine.lepage@ec.gc.ca</u> | On-going |
| Plan conjoint des canards de mer (PCCM)/ Sea Duck Joint Venture (SDJV): Research: Habitat Use by Common Eider brood in the St. Lawrence Common Eider Population Dynamic: Female banding Molting and staging of Harlequin Duck in the Gaspé Peninsula, Québec Wintering ducks in the Estuary and Gulf of the St. Lawrence, Québec Monitoring: Monitoring of Scoters, eiders and mergansers molting sites in the St. Lawrence, Québec Complete survey of Common Eider wintering in the St. Lawrence Gulf (Québec, Newfoundland, St-Pierre-et- Miquelon) (February 2003) | CWS | Seaduck (Common Eider, Harlequin Duck, Scoters and Mergansers) | Jean-Pierre L. Savard Jean-pierre.savard@ec.gc.ca | On-going |
| Plan d'action pour la Grande Oie des neiges/ Greater Snow Goose Action Plan - Monitoring: Annual Spring survey along the St.Lawrence | CWS | Greater Snow Goose | Luc Bélanger luc.belanger@ec.gc.ca | Due ? |
| Plan de gestion pour la Bernache du Canada résidente/ Resident Canada Goose Managing plan - Molting bird survey along the St. Lawrence (July 2003) | CWS | Resident Canada Goose | Jean Rodrigue <u>Jean.rodrigue@ec.gc.ca</u> | In progress Due March 2004 |

| Atlantic Brant Spring survey in the St. Lawrence Estuary (Springs 2002 and 2003) | CWS | Atlantic Brant | Pierre Brousseau Pierre.brousseau@ec.gc.ca | |
|--|----------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Plan canadien de gestion pour la Grive de Bicknell/ Bicknell's Thrush Canadian Managing Plan - Research: - Population structure (genetic) - Habitat Selection | CWS | Bicknell's Trush | Yves Aubry <u>Yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca</u> | In progress Plan Due 2005 |
| Shorebird Monitoring in staging areas - St. Lawrence estuary - Magdalen Islands | CWS | Shorebird | Yves Aubry <u>Yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca</u> | Planned to be around 2004-2006 |
| Species at Risk Program: Monitoring of use of nesting sites by populations of bird species at risk in Québec Recovering Plan for the species below - Barrow's Goldeneye Research - Wintering sites (1999, 2002) - Telemetry - Genetic - Harlequin Duck Research - Breeding sites on the Gaspé Peninsula (1999-2000) - Molting, time budget, site occupation chronology - Piping Plover Monitoring of population on Magdalen Islands (on-going) Banding Program - Horned Grebe Monitoring of population on Magdalen Islands (on-going) Research - Genetic studies - Roseate Tern Monitoring of population on Magdalen Islands (on-going) | CWS/AQGO | Barrow Goldeneye, Harlequin Duck, Piping Plover, Horned Grebe, Roseate Tern | Michel Robert <u>Michel.robert@ec.gc.ca</u> François Shaffer <u>Francois.shaffer@ec.gc.ca</u> | |
| American Woodcock - Annual Singing-ground survey | CWS | American Woodcock | Jean Rodrigue Jean.rodrigue@ec.gc.ca | |
| Station de baguage à la RNF baie de L'Isle-Verte/ Baie de L'Isle-Verte NWA Banding Station | CWS | Dabbling Ducks | Jean Rodrigue Jean.rodrigue@ec.gc.ca | |

| Study of Bicknell's Thrush biology Mt. Gosford, and Gaspe Provincial Park | Canadian Wildlife Service | Bicknell's Thrush | Yves Aubry <u>Yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca</u> | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Chimney Swift Survey | Canadian Wildlife Service | Chimney Swift | Jean Gauthier Jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca | There is a Status Report in preparation |
| High Elevation Landbird Monitoring Program – Maritime Provinces | Bird Studies Canada | See project description | Becky Whittam Becky.Whittam@ec.gc.ca | http://www.bsc- eoc.org/regional/atlanpr ograms.html |
| target species: Bicknell's Thrush, Winter Wren, Blackpoll Warbler, Swainson's Thrush, White-throated Sparrow | | | | <u> </u> |
| Atlantic Nocturnal Owl Survey - Mainly set up to monitor Barred Owls on forestry lands but has developed into a full program | Bird Studies Canada | Barred Owl, Great Horned Owl, Saw- whet Owl | Becky Whittam Becky.Whittam@ec.gc.ca | http://www.bsc- eoc.org/regional/atlanpr ograms.html |
| Cape Breton Beached Bird Survey – surveys for oiled seabirds washed up on beaches | Bird Studies Canada | seabirds | Becky Whittam Becky.Whittam@ec.gc.ca | http://www.bsc- eoc.org/regional/atlanpr ograms.html |
| Atlantic Bird Observatory Migration Monitoring Station - a member station of the Canadian Migration Monitoring Network | Acadia University | landbird migrants | Dr. Phil Taylor, Acadia University philip.taylor@acadiau.ca | http://landscape.acadia u.ca/abo/ |
| Mountain Bird Watch – monitoring program targeting Bicknell's Thrush, Winter Wren, Blackpoll Warbler, Swainson's Thrush, and White-throated Sparrow in the northeastern U.S. – now coordinated with the High Elevation Monitoring Program in the Maritime Provinces | Vermont Institute of Natural Science | see project description | Dan Lambert dlambert@vinsweb.org | http://www.vinsweb.org/ cbd/mtn_birdwatch.html |
| Vermont Forest Bird Monitoring Program - tracks long-term population trends of forest interior birds while collecting habitat- specific baseline data across a broad range of forest types | Vermont Institute of Natural Science | forest interior birds | Steve Faccio sfaccio@vinsweb.org | http://www.vinsweb.org/ cbd/FBMP.html |

| Adirondack Cooperative Loon Program | NY DEC and | Common | Nina Schoch | First report is available from |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Research on migration timing, routes, stopover and | partners | Loon | <aclp2@juno.com></aclp2@juno.com> | Nina, second report due in |
| wintering locations; Monitoring impacts of mercury on | | | | 2004 |
| productivity and conducting annual population census | | | | |
| Wild Turkey Translocation | MDIFW | Wild Turkey | andy.weik@maine.gov | |
| | | | | |
| Annual trap and transfer effort of wild turkeys into suitable | | | | |
| habitat at the margins of existing range in Maine | | Levisione | Tom hadaman @maina.a. | |
| Louisiana waterinrush Surveys and an evaluation of rapid survey methods | IVIDIE VV | Waterthrush | <u>rom.noogman@maine.g</u> | Wilson 2002 Louisiana |
| memous | | Watertindsh | <u></u> | Waterthrush, Pages 85-91 in |
| An examination of the distribution of Louisiana Waterthrush in | | | | H. Givens, T.P. Hodgman, |
| southern Maine based on point count surveys along streams in | | | | and P. deMaynadier, eds. A |
| York, Cumberland and Oxford Counties. Also, includes | | | | survey of rare, threatened, |
| discussion of variables affecting detection and suggests | | | | and endangered fauna in |
| methods to rapidly document occurrence. | | | | and South Coastal regions |
| | | | | (2000-2001) MDIFW |
| | | | | Bangor, ME 04401 |
| Shrubland Bird Surveys | MDIFW | Blue-winged | Tom.hodgman@maine.g | Hodgman, T.P. and P.U. |
| | | Warbler and | <u>ov</u> | Wilson. 2002. Blue-winged |
| An evaluation of the distribution of Blue-winged Warbler in | | other | | Warbler. Pages 78-84 in H. |
| southern Maine following the protocol of Cornell's Golden- | | shrubland | | Givens, I.P. Hodgman, and |
| winged Warbler atlasing project. | | nasserines | | survey of rare threatened |
| | | passennes | | and endangered fauna in |
| | | | | Maine: Southwest Interior |
| | | | | and South Coastal regions |
| | | | | (2000-2001). MDIFW, |
| | | l la la a d | A a du una ile @ an a in a a ann | Bangor, ME 04401 |
| Grassland Bird surveys | NIDIEW IN | Upland | Andy.weik@maine.gov | Veik, A.P. 1999. Conservation of grassland |
| A three year evolution of the meet important graceland | Mass Audubon | Grasshopper | | birds in Maine: field survey |
| habitats in Maine for breeding birds Includes many sites | | sparrow, | | of breeding birds 1997-1998. |
| managed for commercial blueberry production in addition to | | Vesper | | Maine Dept of Inland |
| pasture and hayland. | | Sparrow, | | Fisheries and Wildlife, |
| | | among others | | Bangor ME 04401. |

| Grasshopper Sparrow Census | MDIFW, TNC | Upland | T. Parker Schuerman | Annual data summary and |
|---|------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Annual census of Grasshopper Sparrow and other grassland birds at Kennebunk Plains Wildlife Mgt. Area and other occupied sites. | | Grasshopper sparrow, Vesper Sparrow, among others | or <u>Charlie.todd@maine.gov</u> | Also: Weik, A.P. 1999. Conservation of grassland birds in Maine: field survey of breeding birds 1997-1998. Maine Dept of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. |
| | | | | Bangor ME 04401. Weik, A.P. and R. Pertell. 2000. Grassland Birds. Pages 116-126 in A.P. Weik, P. deMaynadier, and T.P. Hodgman, eds. A survey of rare, threatened, and endangered fauna in Maine: East Coastal and Eastern Interior regions (1999-2000). MDIFW, Bangor, ME 04401 |

Appendix H. List of BCR 14 partner organizations and contact information for individuals participating in the BCR 14 initiative.

BCR 14 Partner Organizations

State/Provincial Wildlife Agencies Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife Massachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy New Hampshire Fish and Game Department New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources Prince Edward Island Societe de la Faune et des Parcs du Quebec Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department

<u>Federal Agencies</u> Canadian Wildlife Service National Park Service - Acadia National Park Natural Resource Conservation Service U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Forest Service U.S. Geological Survey

Non-Governmental Organizations/Universities American Bird Conservancy Audubon New York **Biodiversity Research Institute** Center for Conservation Biology Cornell Lab of Ornithology Ducks Unlimited. Inc. Ducks Unlimited Canada International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies Maine Audubon Massachusetts Audubon Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences National Audubon Society The Nature Conservancy/Nature Conservancy Canada New England Forestry Foundation University of New Hampshire Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences Wildlife Management Institute

<u>Corporations</u> Fraser Huber Resources International Paper

Contact Information for Individuals Participating in the BCR 14 Initiative

Brad Allen

Bird Group Leader Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4469 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: brad.allen@maine.gov

Arnold Banner

Senior Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 4R Fundy Road Falmouth ME 04105 Telephone: 207 781-8364 Fax: 207 781-8369 Email: arnold banner@fws.gov

Andrew Boyne

Canadian Wildlife Service 45 Alderney Drive, 16th Floor Dartmouth NS B2Y 2N6 Telephone: 902-426-1900 Fax: 902-426-4457 Email: andrew.boyne@ec.gc.ca

Mike Burger

Audubon New York 159 Sapsucker Woods Rd. Ithaca NY 14850 Telephone: 607-254-2441 Fax: 607-254-2111 Email: mburger@audubon.org

Gilles Chapdelaine

Seabird Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 route de l'Eglise Ste-Foy PQ G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418-649-6127 Fax: 418-648-5511 Email: gilles.chapdelaine@ec.gc.ca

Kevin Connor

Wildlife Biologist New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources & Energy PO Box 6000 Fredericton NB E3B 5H1 Telephone: 506 453-2440 Fax: 506 453-6699 Email: kevin.connor@gnb.ca

Mark Anderson

Ecologist/Director of Science The Nature Conservancy 11 Avenue de La Fayette Boston MA 02111 Telephone: 617 542-1908 ext.215 Fax: Email: manderson@tnc.org

Heloise Bastien

Coordonmatrice Avifaune et Petit Gibien Societe de la Faune et des Parcs du Quebec 675-Boul. Rene-Levesque Est., 11e etage Quebec QC G1R 5V7 Telephone: 418-521-3875 Fax: 418-646-6863 Email: heloise.bastien@fapaq.gouv.qc.ca

Stephen Brown

Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences PO Box 1770 Manomet MA 02345 Telephone: 508-224-6521 Fax: 508-224-9220 Email: sbrown@manomet.org

Daniel Busby

Wildlife Biologist Canadian Wildlife Service PO Box 6227,17 Waterfowl Lane Sackville NB E4L 1G6 Telephone: 506-364-5037 Fax: 506-364-5062 Email: dan.busby@ec.gc.ca

Barbara Charry

Maine Audubon 22 Gilsland Farm Road Falmouth ME 04105 Telephone: 207-781-2330x225 Fax: 207-781-0974 Email: bcharry@maineaudubon.org

Randy Dettmers

Nongame Bird Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley MA 01035 Telephone: 413 253-8567 Fax: 413 253-8424 Email: randy_dettmers@fws.gov

Yves Aubry

Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 route de l'Eglise, C.P. 10100 Ste-Foy QC G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418-649-6135 Fax: 418-648-5511 Email: yves.aubry@ec.gc.ca

Daniel Bordage

Senior Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 Route de l'Eglise Sainte-Foy PQ G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418 649-6133 Fax: 418 649-6475 Email: daniel.bordage@ec.gc.ca

Barry Burgason

Huber Resources PO Box 554 Old Town ME 04468 Telephone: 207-827-7195x120 Fax: Email: wpbnb@huber.com

Jennifer Casey

Asst. Regional Refuge Biologist USFWS PO Box 240 Errol NH 03579 Telephone: 603 482-3415 Fax: Email: jennifer_casey@fws.gov

Bruce Connery

Biologist Acadia National Park PO Box 177 Bar Harbor ME 04609 Telephone: 207-288-5463 Fax: Email: bruce_connery@nps.gov

Gary Donovan

Wildlife Ecologist International Paper PO Box 885 Bucksport ME 04416 Telephone: 207 469-1578 Fax: 207 469-1347 Email: gary.donovan@ipaper.cm

Pierre Dulude

Ducks Unlimited Canada 710, rue Bouvier, bureau 260 Quebec QC G2J 1C2 Telephone: 418-623-1650 Fax: Email: P_dulude@ducks.ca

Richard Elliot

Head, Migratory Bird Conservation Canadian Wildlife Service PO Box 6227,17 Waterfowl Lane Sackville NB E4L 1G6 Telephone: 506-364-5014 Fax: 506-364-5062 Email: richard.elliot@ec.gc.ca

Gilles Falardeau

Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 route de l'Eglise, C.P. 10100 Ste-Foy QC G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418-648-3926 Fax: 418-648-5511 Email: gilles.falardeau@ec.gc.ca

Jean Fujikawa

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 4R Fundy Road Falmouth ME 04105 Telephone: 207-781-8364 Fax: 207-781-8369 Email: Jean_Fujikawa@fws.gov

Scott Hall

Research Coordinator National Audubon Society, Seabird Restoration Program 41 Northport Avenue Belfast ME 04915 Telephone: 207-338-4069 Fax: Email: shall@audubon.org

Rob Hoelscher

U.S. Forest Service 99 Ranger Road Rochester VT 05767 Telephone: 802-767-4261x528 Fax: Email: rhoelscher@fs.fed.us

Gene Dumont

Supervisor, Wildlife Management Section Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 284 State Street #41 Augusta ME 04333 Telephone: 207 287-5253 Fax: 207 287-6395 Email: eugene.dumont@maine.gov

Ken Elowe

Chief, Bureau of Resource Management Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 284 State Street #41 Augusta ME 04333 Telephone: 207 287-5252 Fax: 207 287-6395 Email: ken.elowe@maine.gov

Stewart Fefer

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 4R Fundy Road Falmouth ME 04105 Telephone: 207-781-8364 Fax: 207-781-8369 Email: stewart_fefer@fws.gov

George Haas

Game Bird Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley MA 01035 Telephone: 413-253-8576 Fax: Email: george_haas@fws.gov

Chuck Hayes

Asst. Coordinator, Atlantic Coast Joint Venture U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service PO Box 307 Charlestown RI 02813 Telephone: 401 364-9124 ext37 Fax: 401 364-0170 Email: Chuck_Hayes@fws.gov

Pam Hunt

Biodiversity Project Coordinator Audubon Society of New Hampshire 3 Silk Farm Road Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 603 224-9909 Fax: 603 227-0501 Email: phunt@nhaudubon.org

Charles Duncan

The Nature Conservancy, Migratory Bird Program 76 Emery Street Portland ME 04102 Telephone: 207-871-9295 Fax: 207-842-6496 Email: cduncan@tnc.org

Dave Evers

Executive Director Biodiversity Research Institute 411 Route 1, Suite 1 Falmouth ME 04105 Telephone: 207-781-3324 Fax: 207-781-2804 Email: david.evers@briloon.org

Carol Foss

26 Penacook Street Penacook NH 3303 Telephone: 603-753-6088 Fax: Email: crfoss@attbi.com

John Hagan

Director of Forest Conserv. Program Manomet 14 Maine Street Brunswick ME 04001 Telephone: 207 721-9040 Fax: 207 721-9144 Email: jmhagan@ime.net

Tom Hodgman

Wildlife Biologist Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4482 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: tom.hodgman@maine.gov

Scott Johnston

USFWS, Div. of Migratory Birds 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley MA 01035 Telephone: 413-253-8557 Fax: 412-253-8424 Email: Scott_Johnston@fws.gov

Andrea Jones

Bird Conservation Biologist Massachusetts Audubon Society PO Box 390 Marshfield Hills MA 02051 Telephone: 781-834-7545 Fax: 781-837-9497 Email: ajones@massaudubon.org

Greg Kehm

Spatial Ecologist and Lab Manager The Nature Conservancy 11 Avenue de La Fayette, 5th Floor Boston MA 02111 Telephone: 617-542-1908x216 Fax: 617-542-1620 Email: gkehm@tnc.org

Dan Lambert

Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences 27023 Church Hill Rd. Woodstock VT 05091 Telephone: 802-457-2779 Fax: Email: dlambert@vinsweb.org

Christine Lepage

Migratory Birds Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 Route de l'Eglise Sainte-Foy PQ G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418 649-6506 Fax: 418 649-6475 Email: christine.lepage@ec.gc.ca

George Matula

Supervisor, Wildlife Division Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4470 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: george.matula@maine.gov

Kent McFarland

Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences 27023 Church Hill Rd. Woodstock VT 05091 Telephone: 802-457-2779 Fax: Email: kmcfarland@vinsweb.org

John Kanter

Nongame and Endangered Wildlife New Hampshire Fish and Game Dept. 2 Hazen Drive Concord NH 03229 Telephone: 603 271-3017 Fax: 603 271-1438 Email: jkanter@wildlife.state.nh.us

David King

U.S. Forest Service 201 Holdsworth Hall, Univ. of Massachusetts Amherst MA 01003 Telephone: 413 545-0357 Fax: Email: seiurus@yahoo.com

Sandra Lary

New England Aquatic Biologist Natural Resource Conservation Service 967 Illinois Avenue Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207-990-9565 Fax: 207-990-9599 Email: sandra.lary@me.usda.gov

Jerry Longcore

U.S. Geological Survey South Annex A 108, University of Maine Orono ME 04469 Telephone: 207 581-2874 Fax: 207-581-3783 Email: Jerry_Longcore@usgs.gov

Keith McAloney

Wildlife Biologist Canadian Wildlife Service PO Box 6227,17 Waterfowl Lane Sackville NB E4L 1G6 Telephone: 506-364-5013 Fax: 506-364-5062 Email: keith.mcaloney@ec.gc.ca

Reg Melanson

EHJV Coordinator Canadian Wildlife Service PO Box 6227,17 Waterfowl Lane Sackville NB E4L 1G8 Telephone: 506 364-5036 Fax: 506 364-5062 Email: reginald.melanson@ec.gc.ca

Rick Kearney

Migratory Bird Coordinator International Assoc. of Fish & Wildlife Agencies 444 N. Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 544 Washington DC 20001 Telephone: 202 624-8917 Fax: 202 624-7891 Email: rkearney@sso.org

Mark LaBarr

Conservation and Stewardship Director Vermont Audubon 225 Sherman Hollow Rd. Huntington VT 05462 Telephone: 802-434-3068 Fax: 802-434-4686 Email: mlabarr@audubon.org

Stacy Lemieux

Wildlife Biologist U.S. Forest Service 719 N. Main Street Laconia NH 03246 Telephone: 603-528-9536 Fax: 603-528-8783 Email: slemieux@fs.fed.us

Scott Makepeace

Wildlife Biologist New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources & Energy PO Box 6000 Fredericton NB E3B 5H1 Telephone: 506 453-2440 Fax: 506 453-6699 Email: scott.makepeace@gnb.ca

Dan McAuley

U.S. Geological Survey University of Maine, South Annex A Orono ME 04469 Telephone: 207-581-3357 Fax: 207-581-3783 Email: Dan_McAuley@usgs.gov

Scott Melvin

Senior Zoologist Massachusetts Division of Fish & Wildlife Route 135 Westborough MA 01581 Telephone: 506 792-7270 ext.150 Fax: Email: scott.melvin@state.ma.us

Andrew Milliken

ACJV Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley MA 01035 Telephone: 413 253-8269 Fax: 413 253-8487 Email: andrew_milliken@fws.gov

Glen Parsons

EHJV Program Manager Nova Scotia Dept. of Natural Resources 136 Exhibition Street Kentville NS B4N 4E5 Telephone: 902 679-6223 Fax: 902 679-6176 Email: parsongj@gov.ns.ca

Tim Post

Wildlife Biologist New York Dept. of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway Albany NY 12233-4754 Telephone: 518-402-8903 Fax: 518-402-8925 Email: tjpost@gw.dec.state.ny.us

Chris Rimmer

Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences 27023 Church Hill Rd. Woodstock VT 05091-9642 Telephone: 802-457-2779 Fax: Email: crimmer@vinsweb.org

Raymond Sarrazin

Chief Migratory Bird Division, Quebec Region Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 Route de l'Eglise Sainte-Foy PQ G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418 649-6300 Fax: 418 649-6475 Email: raymond.sarrazin@ec.gc.ca

Ellen Snyder

University of New Hampshire Cooperative Extension 214 Nesmith Hall, 131 Main St. Durham NH 03824 Telephone: 603-862-4277 Fax: 603-862-0107 Email: ellen.snyder@unh.edu

Steve Parren

Vermont Fish & Wildlife Department 111 West Street Essex Junction, VT 05452 Telephone: 802-879-5669 Fax: Email: sparren@fwd.anr.state.vt.us

David Pashley

US NABCI Coordinator American Bird Conservancy PO Box 249 The Plains VA 20198 Telephone: 540-253-5780 Fax: 540-253-5782 Email: dpashley@abcbirds.org

Jean-Francois Rail

Seabird Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 Route de l'Eglise Ste-Foy PQ G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418-648-4001 Fax: 418-648-5511 Email: jean-francois.rail@ec.gc.ca

Sandy Ritchie

Wildlife Planner Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 284 State Street #41 Augusta ME 04333-0041 Telephone: 207-287-5265 Fax: 207-287-6395 Email: sandy.ritchie@maine.gov

John Sauer

Wildlife Biologist USGS 11510 American Holly Drive Laurel MD 20770 Telephone: 301 497-5662 Fax: 301 497-5666 Email: John_R_Sauer@usgs.gov

Mark Stadler

Director, Wildlife Division Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 284 State Street, SHS #41 Augusta ME 04333 Telephone: 207 287-5252 Fax: 207 287-6395 Email: mark.stadler@maine.gov

Kathy Parsons

Manomet Center for Conservation Science 81 Stage Point Road, PO Box 1770 Manomet MA 02345 Telephone: 508-224-6521 Fax: 508-224-9220 Email: parsonsk@manomet.org

Diane Pence

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley MA 01035 Telephone: 413-253-8577 Fax: Email: diane_pence@fws.gov

Frank Reed

New England Forestry Foundation PO Box 1099 Groton MA 01450 Telephone: 802-728-3163 Fax: Email: phurter@sover.net

Ken Rosenberg

NE PIF Coordinator Cornell Lab of Ornithology 159 Sapsucker Woods Rd. Ithaca NY 14850 Telephone: 607-254-2412 Fax: Email: kvr2@cornell.edu

Nancy Sferra

The Nature Conservancy - Maine Chapter 14 Maine St., Suite 401 Brunswick ME 04011 Telephone: 207 729-5181x212 Fax: 207 729-4118 Email: nsferra@tnc.org

Charlie Todd

Wildlife Biologist Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4468 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: charlie.todd@maine.gov

Lindsay Tudor

Wildlife Biology Specialist Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4479 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: lindsay.tudor@maine.gov

Linda Welch

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Petit Manan NWR, PO Box 279 Milbridge ME 04658 Telephone: 207-546-2124 Fax: 207-546-7805 Email: linda_welch@fws.gov

Randy Milton

Nova Scotia Dept. of Natural Resources 136 Exhibition Street Kentville NS B4N 4E5 Telephone: 902 679-6224 Fax: 902 679-6176 Email: miltongr@gov.ns.ca

John Gobeille

VT Dept. of Fish and Wildlife 111 West Street Essex Junction, VT 05452 Phone: 802-879-5696 Email: john.gobeille@anr.state.vt.us

Bill Crenshaw

VT Dept. of Fish and Wildlife 111 West Street Essex Junction, VT 05452 Phone: 802-879-5699 Email:Bill.Crenshaw@anr.state.vt.us

Steve Kress

Vice President for Bird Conservation National Audubon Society Phone: 607/257-7308 Email: skress@audubon.org

Peter Vickery

President Center for Conservation Biology PO Box 127 Richmond ME 04357 Telephone: 207-737-2550 Fax: Email: pvickery@rcn.com

Jeff Wells

National Audubon Society 159 Sapsucker Woods Rd. Ithaca NY 14850 Telephone: 607-254-2441 Fax: Email: jw32@cornell.edu

Luc Belanger

Biologist Service Canadien de la Faune 1141 route de l'Eglise, C.P. 10100 Ste-Foy QC G1V 4H5 Telephone: 418-649-6130 Fax: 418-649-6475 Email: luc.belanger@ec.gc.ca

Rosemary Curley

Dept. of Fisheries, Aquaculture, & Environ. Division of Conservation and Management 11 Kent Street P.O. Box 2000 Charlottetown, PE C1A 7N8 Telephone: (902) 368-4807 Fax: (902) 368-5830 Email: frcurley@gov.pe.ca

Tom Decker

VT Dept. of Fish and Wildlife 103 South Main Street Waterbury VT 05671-0501 Phone: 802-241-3247 Email: tomd@anr.state.vt.us

David Adams

Waterbird Biologist New York State Dept. of Env. Conservation 625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-4654 Phone: 518-402-8902 Fax: 518-402-8925 Email: djadams@gw.dec.state.ny.us

Andy Weik

Wildlife Biologist Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife 650 State Street Bangor ME 04401 Telephone: 207 941-4474 Fax: 207 941-4450 Email: andy.weik@maine.gov

Scot Williamson

Wildlife Management Institute RR 1 Box 587, Spur Rd. N. Stratford NH 03590 Telephone: 603-636-9846 Fax: Email: wmisw@together.net

Jean Gauthier

Environment Canada Canadian Wildlife Service 1141, route de l'Église, 9th floor C.P. 10 100 Sainte-Foy (Qc) G1V 4H5 Canada

Email: jean.gauthier@ec.gc.ca

Jim Chase

Biology Department Villanova University 800 Lancaster Ave Villanova, PA 19085-1699 Telephone: 610-519-7534 Fax: 610-519-7863 Email: jameson.chace@villanova.edu

Joe Dowhan

USFWS – NWRS 300 Westgate Center Drive Hadley, MA 01035 Telephone: 413-253-8498 Email: joe_dowhan@fws.gov